

Criteria for assessing the application for co-financing under the Operational Programme Environment, Energy, Climate Change under the EEA FM and NMF 2014-2021
"Improving energy efficiency in buildings, industry and municipalities"

Substantive criteria PA12 – cogeneration

1ST DEGREE SUBSTANTIVE CRITERIA

Eligibility criterion – To be assessed by the National Fund for Environmental Protection and Water Management

No.	Criterion	Criterion description	Assessment rules: Yes/No	Comment
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
	Project eligibility	It will be assessed whether the type of project fits in the catalogue indicated on the open call announcement. Basis for assessment: application form with attachments	Yes/No	

State aid appraisal –To be filled in by the technical unit responsible for the assessment in the field of state aid

No.	Criterion name	Criterion description	Assessment rules: Yes/No	Comments
1.	The financing is in line with the state aid rules	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • appraisal if the co-financing constitutes state aid; • if the grant constitutes state aid, appraisal if the aid complies with the provisions/regulations governing the state aid 	Yes/No	

Financial evaluation - To be completed by the technical unit responsible for the financial evaluation of the project

No.	Criterion name	Criterion description	Assessment rules: Yes/No	Comments
1.	Applicant's financial standing/situation	As part of the criterion, the following will be assessed, in particular:	Yes/No/Non-applicable	

	<p>–current and forecasted - including feasibility and financial durability analysis</p>	<p>1) the applicant's ability to ensure the feasibility and financial sustainability of the project on the basis of analysis of the applicant's financial reports and projections;</p> <p>2) the correctness of the assumptions used for financial analysis;</p> <p>3) confirmed, reliable sources of project co-financing (if applicable);</p> <p>4) possibility of establishing a financial security (if applicable).</p> <p>The criterion will be assessed using the provisions of the Instruction: "Securing the NFEPWM's claims arising from contracts for project co-financing", regarding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - rules for setting collaterals for any claims under loans/subsidies arising from concluded contracts and contracts in the process of conclusion for co-financing projects from the NFEPWM, including projects co-financed from non-returnable foreign funds, and - defining the general principles for carrying out financial evaluations of grant applications. <p>Basis for assessment: application form with attachments.</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

Equality criterion – To be assessed by the National Fund for Environmental Protection and Water Management

No	Criterion	Criterion description	Assessment rules: Yes/No/Not applicable	Comment
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
	<p>The project is in line with equal opportunities and non-discrimination rules</p>	<p>As part of the criterion, it will be assessed whether the project has a positive (or at least neutral) influence on in particular:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accessibility for people with disabilities. • Gender equality 	Yes/No/Not applicable	

2nd DEGREE SUBSTANTIVE CRITERIA

Mandatory evaluation criteria to fill in: reduction of primary energy consumption as a result of the investment (5), CO₂ reduction as a result of the investment(6), meeting the requirements of high-efficiency cogeneration (9), costs eligibility (10)

No.	Criterion name	Criterion evaluation principles	Weight	Max scoring	Max result
1.	Project Implementation Readiness.	<p>Number of points awarded for the subsequent stages of project preparation (max. 5 points):</p> <p>1 point - the project has a valid environmental decision covering the entire investment</p> <p>1 point - the project has a construction design (may be unapproved) for 100% of the material scope of the project</p> <p>1 point - the project has received a construction permit</p> <p>1 point - the investor has secured funds to finance the own contribution</p> <p>1 point - the investor has a documented right to dispose of the land or objects for the investment</p> <p>Note: If a given readiness stage does not apply to given project, it receives 1 point for this stage.</p>	2	5	10
2.	Expenditure from the EEA FM grant per 1 MWh of planned annual energy production (heat and electricity)	<p>For projects in which planned scope includes using non-RES achieving the value of the indicator X (calculated as the quotient of the grant amount from the EEA FM for the project (PLN) for the annual planned production of electricity and heat (MWh)), the following points will be awarded within individual ranges:</p> <p>5 points – $X \leq 100$; 4 points – $100 < X \leq 200$; 3 points – $200 < X \leq 300$; 2 points – $300 < X \leq 400$; 1 point – $X > 400$.</p> <p>For projects in which planned scope includes using RES achieving the value of the indicator X (calculated as the quotient of the grant amount from the EEA FM for the project (PLN) for the annual planned production of electricity and heat (MWh)), the following points will be awarded within individual ranges:</p> <p>5 points – $X \leq 400$; 4 points – $400 < X \leq 500$; 3 points – $500 < X \leq 650$; 2 points – $650 < X \leq 800$; 1 point – $X > 800$.</p>	4	5	20
3	Expenditure from the EEA FM grant for unit reduction of CO ₂ emissions	<p>For achieving the value of the indicator X (calculated as the quotient of the grant amount from the EEA FM for the project (PLN) for the annual potential reduction of 1 ton of CO₂), the following points will be awarded within individual ranges:</p>	5	5	25

No.	Criterion name	Criterion evaluation principles	Weight	Max scoring	Max result
		5 points - $X \leq 300$; 4 points - $300 < X \leq 600$; 3 points - $600 < X \leq 900$; 2 points - $900 < X \leq 1500$; 1 point - $X > 1500$			
4.	Production of energy from renewable sources	The criterion is considered to be met if renewable energy is the only type of primary fuel. 1 point - for installations using energy from renewable sources 0 points – for installations using non-renewable sources	2	1	2
5.	Reduction of primary energy consumption as a result of the investment	In the case of construction/reconstruction of high-efficiency cogeneration installations, the installation contributes to reducing primary energy consumption by at least 10%. For achieving the value of the indicator X (achieved primary energy saving as a result of project implementation (%)), the following points will be awarded within individual ranges: 5 points - $X > 50$; 4 points - $40 < X \leq 50$; 3 points - $30 < X \leq 40$; 2 points - $20 < X \leq 30$; 1 point - $10 \leq X \leq 20$; 0 points $X < 10$. Obtaining 0 points in this criterion causes the application to be rejected	4	5	20
6.	CO ₂ reduction as a result of the investment	In the case of construction/reconstruction of high-efficiency cogeneration installations, the installation contributes to a reduction of CO ₂ emissions by at least 30%. For achieving the value of the indicator X (achieved CO ₂ reduction as a result of the project (%)), the following points will be awarded within individual ranges: 5 points - $X > 50$; 4 points - $45 < X \leq 50$ 3 points - $40 < X \leq 45$ 2 points - $35 < X \leq 40$; 1 point - $30 \leq X \leq 35$; 0 points – $X < 30$ Obtaining 0 points in this criterion causes the application to be rejected	4	5	20
7.	Implementation of energy management systems	2 points - the applicant holds an ISO 50001 certificate or an equivalent certificate confirming the implementation of an energy management system 0 points - the applicant does not have an energy management system certificate	1	2	2
8.	Increasing public awareness of energy efficiency	5 points – the project's scope includes carrying out an informational campaign on project's impact on the environment 0 points – the projects' scope does not include carrying out any informational campaign on project's impact on the environment	1	5	5
9.	Meeting the requirements of	Does the installation meet the requirements of the high-efficiency cogeneration specified	1	1	1

No.	Criterion name	Criterion evaluation principles	Weight	Max scoring	Max result
	high-efficiency cogeneration	in Annex II to Directive 2012/27/EU? 1 point - the installation meets the requirements 0 points - the installation does not meet the requirements Obtaining 0 points in this criterion causes the application to be rejected			
10.	Costs eligibility	1 point - all costs planned to be incurred are necessary to project implementation and its effect achievement and comply with eligible costs catalogue 0 points - not all expenses planned to be incurred are necessary to implement the project and its effect achievement and comply with eligible costs catalogue Obtaining 0 points in this criterion causes the application to be rejected.	1	1	1
11	Participation of partners from Norway, Iceland or Liechtenstein	3 points – The project is implemented in partnership with a partner from Donor States: Norway, Iceland or Liechtenstein (letter of intent or partnership agreement) 1 point – The project is implemented in cooperation with a partner from Donor States: Norway, Iceland or Liechtenstein (other documented partner participation/cooperation) – 0 points – The project is not implemented in partnership/cooperation with a partner from Donor States: Norway, Iceland or Liechtenstein	1	3	3