





Appendix A

Harmonia^{+PL} – procedure for negative impact risk assessment for invasive alien species and potentially invasive alien species in Poland

QUESTIONNAIRE

A0 | Context

Questions from this module identify the assessor and the biological, geographical & social context of the assessment.

a01. Name(s) of the assessor(s):

first name and family name

- 1. Katarzyna Bzdęga
- 2. Alina Urbisz
- 3. Barbara Tokarska-Guzik

acomm01.	Comments:					
		degree	affiliation	assessment date		
	(1)	dr	Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection, University of Silesia in Katowice	26-01-2018		
	(2)	dr hab.	Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection, University of Silesia in Katowice	27-01-2018		
	(3)	prof. dr hab.	Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection, University of Silesia in Katowice	31-01-2018		

a02. Name(s) of *the species* under assessment:

Polish name: Rdestowiec czeski (rdestowiec pośredni)*

Latin name: Reynoutria ×bohemica Chrtek & Chrtkova

English name: Bohemian knotweed







acomm02.

Comments:

* NOTF:

In the appendix to the Regulation of the Minister of the Environment of 9 September 2011 on the list of plants and animals of alien species that could be a threat to native species or natural habitats in case of their release into the natural environment (Regulation 2011 - P) two Polish name for the species are given: "rdestowiec czeski" and "rdestowiec pośredni"; the latter name is currently prefered (Mirek at al. 2002 - P).

The Latin and Polish names are given according to the Flowering plants and pteridophytes of Poland - a checklist (Mirek et al. 2002 – P). In addition to the Latin synonyms given below, the species is described under many other names: *Fallopia sachalinensis* var. *intermedia* (Tatew.) Yonek. & H. Ohashi, *Polygonum sachalinense* var. *intermedium* Tatew., *Reynoutria* ×*mizushimae* Yokouchi ex T. Shimizu, *Reynoutria sachalinensis* var. *intermedia* (Tatew.) Miyabe & Kudô, *Reynoutria* ×*vivax* auct., non J. Schmitz & Strank (The Plant List 2013 – B).

The taxonomic affiliation and nomenclature of species commonly referred to as knotweeds has been subject to many changes depending on the state of knowledge and the authors' approach (Schuster et al. 2011, 2015 – P). Currently, due to the similarity of their morphological, biological, ecological and other properties, invasive species of the genus *Reynoutria* (*Fallopia*): *R. japonica*, *R. sachalinensis* and their crossbreed *R. ×bohemica*, are often included as one group under the name *Reynoutria* spp., *Fallopia* spp. or *Fallopia* complex (e.g., Tiébré et al. 2007, Lamberti-Raverot et al. 2017 – P). The name Japanese knotweed s.l. is also often found – Asian (Japanese) knotweeds, which now includes all taxa (parent and hybrid species) along with hybrids resulting from backcrosses and crosses with other related species, including *Fallopia* baldschuanica (Bailey and Wisskirchen 2006, Bailey et al. 2009 – P).

Polish name (synonym I)

Rdest pośredni

Latin name (synonym I)
Fallopia ×bohemica

English name (synonym I)

Hybrid knotweed

Polish name (synonym II)

Rdest czeski

Latin name (synonym II)

Polygonum ×bohemicum

English name (synonym II)

a03. Area under assessment:

Poland

acomm03. Comments:

a04. **Status** of *the species* in Poland. *The species* is:

native to Poland
alien, absent from Poland
alien, present in Poland only in cultivation or captivity
alien, present in Poland in the environment, not established

X alien, present in Poland in the environment, established

aconf01. Answer provided with a low medium high level of confidence

acomm04. Comments:

Reynoutria ×bohemica has the status of an invasive kenophyte in Poland (Tokarska-Guzik 2005 - P). In 2012, the species was included in the group of alien, established and invasive species (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2012 – P, Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015a and b – I, Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2017 – P). The R. ×bohemica hybrid in Poland is the least well-known of the Reynoutria group, as is indicated by the relatively small number of recorded sites of this

species (over 300). It should be emphasized that the distribution of the species requires further research, and the number of identifications quoted is certainly an underestimate, due to frequent difficulties in distinguishing the hybrid from the parent species (especially Japanese knotweed) (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I).

Initially, the species was considered to be one of the varieties of R. japonica. Plants under this name were distributed from Botanical Gardens around the world. They were probably derived from hybrid seeds (CABI 2018 - B).

a05. The impact of *the species* on major domains. *The species* may have an impact on:

Х	the environmental domain
Х	the cultivated plants domain
Х	the domesticated animals domain
	the human domain
Х	the other domains

acomm05.

Comments:

Reynoutria xbohemica has a direct effect on the natural environment and poses a serious threat to it (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2012 - P), through the formation of dense and extensive single-species populations, especially in habitats in river valleys where it effectively competes with native plant species, preventing their regeneration (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2009, Toews 2012, Parepa et al. 2013, Chmura et al. 2015, Duqette et al. 2016 - P). The species limits and prevents the germination of seedlings of native plant species, creating a thick and slowly decaying layer of fallen leaves and stems (Gioria i Osborne 2010, Moravcová et al. 2011 - P), and also through the release of allelopathic substances inhibiting the growth of other plant species (Vrchotová and Šerá 2008, Murrell et al. 2011, Parepa et al. 2013 – P). Like the other knotweeds, it changes the physical and chemical properties of the soil and affects the activity of soil microorganisms (Siemens and Blossey 2007, Dassonville et al. 2011, Salles and Mallon 2014 - P). There are more and more cases of knotweed introduction into crops and unused fields, which may lead to difficulties or restrictions on the use of agricultural land (both through the physical and chemical effects on arable crops). To a limited extent, Reynoutria ×bohemica (similarly to its parent species) can affect animal breeding, causing wounds or digestive disorders. Reynoutria ×bohemica can negatively affect crops, among others by growing over arable fields that become unsuitable for cultivation (Onete et al. 2015 - P, Bzdega 2017 - A). The mass presence of the species impedes access to water margins, limiting the possibilities of their economic and recreational use, leads to damage to hydrotechnical equipment and flood protection (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015a - I). The growing rhizomes destroy the surfaces of roads, and pavements and may cause cracks in walls, and even penetrate inside buildings (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015a - I).

A1 | Introduction

Questions from this module assess the risk for *the species* to overcome geographical barriers and – if applicable – subsequent barriers of captivity or cultivation. This leads to *introduction*, defined as the entry of *the organism* to within the limits of *the area* and subsequently into the wild.

a06. The probability for *the species* to expand into Poland's natural environments, **as a result of self-propelled expansion** after its earlier introduction outside of the Polish territory is:

Х	low medium high					
acor	nf02.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence

acomm06.

Comments:

Reynoutria \times bohemica, analogously to its parental species, belongs to the group of strongly invasive plants in many European countries, including those neighbouring Poland, from where numerous populations have been confirmed (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I, CABI 2018 – B). The species is already widespread in many parts of the country, but it can still migrate into Poland from the border areas with the Czech Republic, Slovakia and Germany along river valleys and spread mainly through the dispersion of rhizomes with water (especially with river flow in flood) (Tokarska-Guzik and in. 2015b, Duqette et al. 2016 – P). Because the plant is characterized by a high regeneration potential, even from small fragments of rhizomes, the probability of self-expansion is high.

a07. The probability for *the species* to be introduced into Poland's natural environments by **unintentional human actions** is:

Х	low medium high					
aconf03.		Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
	07					•

acomm07. Comments:

Both seeds and rhizomes of knotweed can be dispersed due to unintended human actions. The main way of their introduction in this case is the transportation of "contaminated" soil over long distances (also with contaminated machines and equipment) and then its use in other places, e.g. in river valleys during works related to the strengthening of banks, during construction works related to e.g. the construction of roads, parking lots, or clearing or deepening of drainage ditches (Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B, Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015a and b, – I, Bzdęga and Tokarska Guzik 2006-2017 – A). The rhizomes have the greatest importance in the introduction of the hybrid. This is the most probable route of hybrid introduction in many European countries, especially in the eastern, southern and northern parts of the European secondary range (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I, Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2017 – P). There is also a likelihood for seeds to be brought along with road and rail transport, but this path does not play a significant role in knotweed spread.

a08. The probability for *the species* to be introduced into Poland's natural environments by **intentional human actions** is:

X	low medium high					
aconf04.		Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence

acomm08. Comments:

Knotweeds (*R. japonica* Japanese knotweed and *R. sachalinensis* giant knotweed) due to their decorative qualities (plant form and size, striking inflorescences and aggregate fruits) were introduced to cultivation both in Europe and North America, initially to botanical gardens, and then also to home gardens (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I, Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2017 – P). *R. ×bohemica*, the hybrid knotweed, was first described in the 1980s in the Czech Republic (Chrtek and Chrtková 1983 – P), and then confirmed from other European countries and beyond (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I, Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2017 – P). Initially it was not distinguished from one of the parent species, *R. japonica* Japanese knotweed, the observed morphological differences suggesting that it fitted within the variability of this species. Considering that both *R. japonica* and *R. sachalinensis* were grown as ornamental plants in nurseries in Leiden in the Netherlands (Siebold's Garden of Acclimatization), it can be assumed that a hybrid was formed right there, and was subject to distribution later on. In this way botanical gardens around the world, with collections

having the two above-mentioned species growing next to each other, disseminated seeds of a hybrid character, as Japanese knotweed. Studies on herbarium specimens carried out in the United Kingdom have also enabled the partial historical reconstruction of the spread of the hybrid outside of cultivation. Based on them, 1954 is considered to be the earliest date of the hybrid's emergence in wild conditions in the United Kingdom, in County Durham (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I and literature cited therein). Reynoutria ×bohemica, along with the other species japanese knotweed and giant knotweed, are included in the group of energy (biomass production) plants; all taxa (species and hybrid) have been recommended as melliferous plants and their utility value is known, primarily as plants used in herbal medicine. These properties undoubtedly contributed to their intentional introduction by man. However, due to the threat they pose (Anioł-Kwiatkowska and Śliwiński 2009, Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b - I), their cultivation is strictly forbidden throughout the country (Regulation of the Minister of the Environment of 9 September 2011 on the list of plants and animals of alien species that could be a threat to native species or natural habitats in case of their release into the natural environment - Regulation 2011 - P). However, Reynoutria xbohemica grows in many places of its past and present cultivation (municipal and backyard gardens, cemeteries), from where it can "escape" as a result of improper care actions, e.g. depositing plant fragments outside the cultivation area (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). It is also impossible to completely exclude the introduction of the species into the environment due to deliberate human activities, especially in municipal areas (gardens, wastelands), from where the plants can spontaneously spread.

A2 | Establishment

Questions from this module assess the likelihood for *the species* to overcome survival and reproduction barriers. This leads to *establishment*, defined as the growth of a population to sufficient levels such that natural extinction within *the area* becomes highly unlikely.

a09. Poland provides climate that is:

non-opt sub-opt optimal		ecies			
aconf05.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acomm09.	Comments:				

Within the borders of the natural range of the parental species, their hybrid $R. \times bohemica$ knotweed, known from Europe since 1982, was first noticed as late as at the end of the twentieth century and was described under the name $Reynoutria \times mizushimae$ Yokouchi ex T. Shimizu (Bailey 2003 - P). Next, the presence of this taxon was confirmed in 1999 and 2000 by Bailey from the north-western part of the Honshu Island (Bailey 2003 - P). The maps illustrating the European reach of the two species — Japanese knotweed and giant knotweed — were published in the late 1970s (Jalas and Suominen 1979 — P), while for $R. \times bohemica$, only at the beginning of the 21^{st} century (Bailey and Wisskirchen 2006 — P).

The area where *Reynoutria* ×bohemica hybrid knotweed is present in Europe has not been precisely recognized. Until now, this taxon was most frequently recorded in northern and central Europe (Bailey 2003 - P), including, for the first time, from the Czech Republic (Chrtek and Chrtková 1983 - P), and then from the United Kingdom (Bailey et al. 1995 - P), Germany (Keil and Alberternst 1995 - P), Hungary (Balogh 1998 - P), as well as from Poland (Fojcik and Tokarska-Guzik 2000 - P). The previous data in the literature describe its contemporary range on the European continent as being between 43°S and 67°N, as well as between 10°W and 25°E (Balogh 2008 - P). The maps partly illustrating the extent of *R.* ×bohemica were published for Europe by Bailey and Wisskirchen (2006 - P) and for the Czech Republic by Mandák et al. (2004 - P). However, its range is gradually expanding, reaching the Mediterranean region (where it is also found in cultivation, e.g. on the Istrian

Peninsula in Croatia, Tokarska-Guzik 2006-2017 – A). Outside of Europe, it is also present in North America, both in Canada and the USA (CABI 2018 – B).

Similarly to the parental species, knotweed reproduces primarily vegetatively via the rhizomes, allowing quick and effective area occupation. Both rhizomes and shoots are characterized by fast growth rates and high regenerative abilities. A new plant may develop from a small fragment of a rhizome or a shoot segment containing a single node placed in soil or water (CABI 2018 – B). As in the case of the parent species, sexual reproduction in the hybrid is not common, and seedlings rarely form as a result of backcrossing with one of the parental forms (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015a and b – I, CABI 2018 – B). According to Bailey et al. (2009) knotweed seedlings are rare in Europe's climatic conditions. It has been confirmed that their dieback is associated with too little water, and a temperature of -5°C present for longer than for two days eliminates half of them (Funkenberg et al. 2012 – P).

Based on the history of the origin and the spread of the hybrid within the limits of the European secondary range and its observed tendencies to expand its range (including increasingly frequent seedling listings) it should be assumed that the climatic conditions in Poland are optimal.

Reynoutria ×bohemica prefers a temperate, mesothermic climate, with two rainy seasons and two dry periods, with the mean temperature of the coldest month in the range between 0°C and 18°C, and with the mean temperature of the warmest month exceeding 10°C (CABI 2018 – B).

a10. Poland provides habitat that is

sub-opt	a-optimal -optimal imal for establishment of <i>the species</i>						
aconf06.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence		
acomm10.	Comments:						
	Reynoutria ×bohemica in t and habitat spectrum. Its	requireme	ents for soil ty	pe, pH or	humidity do not diffe		

Reynoutria ×bohemica in the secondary range demonstrates a wide ecological amplitude and habitat spectrum. Its requirements for soil type, pH or humidity do not differ significantly from those preferred by the parent species (CABI 2018 – B). The hybrid, like R. japonica, demonstrates a clear tolerance to salinity (CABI 2018 - B) which is confirmed by its present in salt marsh habitats in the USA (Richards et al. 2008 – P). Reynoutria ×bohemica also dominates other habitats analogous to those occupied by R. japonica, especially riverside (riparian) and ruderal ones, e.g. roadsides, railway embankments or urban wastelands (Fojcik and Tokarska-Guzik 2000 – P, CABI 2018 – B). The hybrid is also often found in the close vicinity of fresh water, such as rivers and streams, as well as in habitats typical for urban and suburban zones (CABI 2018 – B). The hybrid is more and more often recorded in agricultural areas, e.g. in maize (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2009 – P, Bzdęga 2017 own observation – A).

A3 | Spread

Questions from this module assess the risk of *the species* to overcoming dispersal barriers and (new) environmental barriers within Poland. This would lead to spread, in which vacant patches of suitable habitat become increasingly occupied from (an) already-established population(s) within Poland.

Note that spread is considered to be different from range expansions that stem from new introductions (covered by the Introduction module).

a11.	The capacity	of the species to	disperse with	in Poland by	natural m	eans, with n	o human a	assistance,	is

very low
low
medium

high
X very high

aconf07.

Answer provided with a

low medium high level of confidence

acomm11.

Comments:

Similarly to the parental forms, the hybrid also spreads primarily in a vegetative way by multiplying rhizomes, which enables quick and effective takeover of new areas. Rhizomes and shoots are characterized by fast growth rates and high regenerative abilities, and new plants can develop from small fragments of either (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015a and b – I, Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2017 – P and literature quoted therein). Winged fruits - nuts (formed in the so-called mixed populations in which parental species occur side by side. Note that a wider description of sexual reproduction and the possibility of hybrid seed formation can be found in the study by Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I), falling mostly near the parental plants can be transferred to new areas by wind (so–called anemochory) and water (so–called hydrochory), but their role in establishment in new places is limited (Tiébré et al. 2007 - P). At the same time propagation by seeds in *Reynoutria* ×bohemica is considered to be the main factor determining its invasive character, through the strategy of forming new more invasive genotypes (Buhk and Thielsch 2015, Strgulc and Dolenc 2015 – P, Bzdęga and Tokarska-Guzik 2010-2017 – A).

Dispersion from a single source (type A data). It can be assumed that in case of *Reynoutria* ×bohemica, as in the parental forms, there is the possibility of spreading seeds within a dozen or so metres beyond the parent population. In case of *R. japonica*, this distance is up to 16 m (dispersion is very low) away from the parent population (Tiébré et al. 2007 – P). Rhizomes may grow a few or several metres from the mother plant. These distances can be increased by strong winds (seeds) or water; both seeds and rhizomes can be transported by water over long distances, especially with flood waters (very high dispersion).

Population expansion (type B data). Based on the data so far collected, it is difficult to assess the rate of expansion of the R. ×bohemica range. A small number of the records of the hybrid in Poland probably still result from problems with distinguishing it from the parental species (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b - I). For example, such mistakes were confirmed during the revision of herbarium material originating from Montenegro, Croatia and Bulgaria, in which it was confused with giant knotweed (Širka et al. 2013 - P), as well as in herbarium materials from Poland (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b - I). Gradually, data about the presence of *Reynoutria* ×bohemica p are supplemented, confirming that its distribution is wider than previously expected.

Estimation (type C data). A determination of the ability of Bohemian knotweed to increase the area it inhabits based on an estimation of its biological mobility indicates an extremely high capability for the hybrid to spread without human involvement. Like the parental species, the hybrid is characterized by enormous possibilities for vegetative reproduction. The new plant may develop from a 1 cm rhizome section weighing not more than 0.7 g, as well as from a small section of the shoot containing a single node, placed in soil or in water (Bailey et al. 2009 – P, Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B). Bímová and others (2003 - P) indicated differences in the ability and speed of regeneration of individual taxa depending on the conditions (water/soil). Reynoutria ×bohemica presents the highest regeneration rate when compared with the parent species (61%). The share of sexual reproduction in spread is also increasing (Bzdega et al. 2016 – P).

a12. The frequency of the dispersal of *the species* within Poland by **human actions** is:

	low
	medium
X	high

aconf08.

Answer provided with a

low	medium	high X	level o
-----	--------	------------------	---------

level of confidence

acomm12.

Comments:

The conscious introduction of the invasive knotweeds, including $Reynoutria \times bohemica$, into a new environment is not allowed (Regulation 2011 – P, Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I), however, due to the decorative qualities of the plant (including the large decorative leaves, the late blooming), it is not possible to exclude intentional introduction of the species by humans, especially in the urban environment (home gardens, wastelands), from where it can spread to adjacent areas spontaneously.

It is possible to consciously introduce *Reynoutria* ×bohemica to use its biomass for energy purposes (Pude and Franken 2001 – P), including for the production of biogas (Strašil and Kára 2010 – P). The high productivity of *Reynoutria* ×bohemica biomass and its suitability in the process of co-fermentation with maize and apple pomace has been demonstrated, creating the potential for using the plant as an alternative source of biogas (Kupryś-Caruk et al. 2014 – P). However, this is another source of potential threat to the environment, therefore knotweed cultivation is absolutely undesirable throughout the country. All knotweeds can also be used as heating material, yet obtaining it from places where it already exists should be recommended (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2009 – P). Knotweeds can present great importance in the human economy as a raw material (a valuable source of resveratrol) obtained from both natural and controlled crops, intended for use in the pharmaceutical industry and for applications in herbal medicine (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). Experimental cultivars of *Reynoutria* ×bohemica indicate the possibility of obtaining 2.6 t from dry matter and 8.5 kg of stilbene from 1 hectare (Kovářová et al. 2010 – P) which is used in the chemical industry.

The quite frequent (yet still insufficiently documented) *Reynoutria* ×bohemica presence in many regions of the country, in various habitat types, creates a high probability of further spreading of fragments of the hybrid during various types of earthworks (e.g. construction of roads, power lines) and regulatory works (regulation of river channels, strengthening flood embankments), along with soil, water and with equipment being used (including in winter, when snow ploughs are used for snow removal). The frequency of spread is also influenced by improperly performed treatments for the elimination and utilization of both above-ground and underground parts of plants.

A4a | Impact on the environmental domain

Questions from this module qualify the consequences of *the species* on wild animals and plants, habitats and ecosystems.

Impacts are linked to the conservation concern of targets. Native species that are of conservation concern refer to keystone species, protected and/or threatened species. See, for example, Red Lists, protected species lists, or Annex II of the 92/43/EWG Directive. Ecosystems that are of conservation concern refer to natural systems that are the habitat of many threatened species. These include natural forests, dry grasslands, natural rock outcrops, sand dunes, heathlands, peat bogs, marshes, rivers & ponds that have natural banks, and estuaries (Annex I of the 92/43/EWG Directive).

Native species population declines are considered at a local scale: limited decline is considered as a (mere) drop in numbers; severe decline is considered as (near) extinction. Similarly, limited ecosystem change is considered as transient and easily reversible; severe change is considered as persistent and hardly reversible.

a13. The effect of the species on native species, through predation, parasitism or herbivory is:

X	inapplic	able							
	low								
	medium	medium							
	high								
				T.	I	1			
aconf09.		Answer provided with a	low	medium	high	level of confidence			

acomm13.	Comments:
	The species is a non-parasitic plant, it does not cause this type of interactions.

a14. The effect of *the species* on native species, through **competition** is:

low medium	1				
aconf10.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acomm14.	Comments: Reynoutria ×bohemica, lik			•	·

velv competes with native plant species, often preventing them from growing and regenerating (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2009, Toews 2012, Parepa et al. 2013, Chmura et al. 2015, Dugette et al. 2015 - P, Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b - I, Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2017 - P). Due to the dense setting of the large leaves on the stems, it achieves a competitive advantage over native plants by limiting their access to light (Siemens and Blossey 2007 - P). Plants form a thick and slowly decaying layer of fallen leaves and stems. This litter limits the development of species specific to the habitat (Chmura et al. 2015 – P, Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). Also, allelopathic effects or interaction of the hybrids with soil microorganisms may contribute to the crowding out of native species at sites occupied by R. ×bohemica populations (Siemens and Blossey 2007 - P). Among the undesirable interactions, the most harmful includes Reynoutria ×bohemica penetration into protected areas, including riverside areas, including the Natura 2000 area - "Graniczne Meandry Odry" ["Border Meanders of the Oder"] (Koszela and Tokarska-Guzik 2008, Bzdega and Tokarska-Guzik 2006-2017 - A). The latest data provided by Kampinoski National Park and Pieniński National Park confirm the presence of Reynoutria ×bohemica in the area of the parks and in their buffer zones (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b - I). All species of knotweeds have a significant effect on the biodiversity of natural and semi-natural habitats, and in particular on riparian ecosystems, posing a threat to native plants and invertebrates (Gerber et al. 2008 - P).

a15. The effect of the species on native species, through interbreeding is:

X no / very low

m	ow nedium igh ery high					
aconf11	1. Ans	wer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acomm	In Rey ger hyk R. j jap R. s	noutria ×bohemica into nus, Reynoutria japonico orid described under th aponica and R. sachalir onica var. japonica × Re sachalinensis – found in	erbreeds with a and <i>R. sach</i> ne name <i>Rey</i> nensis, two ba ynoutria ×boh n Wales (Baila	the other twalinensis, presence the contriler than	o invasive spent in the comica resultive been descondended on Reynoutria	weed could interbreed. pecies of the <i>Reynoutria</i> puntry. In addition to the ng from the crossing of cribed so far: <i>Reynoutria</i> exclude the presence of
	hyb – A		ackerossing als	so in Poland (B	szaęga and T	okarska-Guzik 2010-2017

	very low					
Х	low					
	medium					
	high					
	very high	1				
acor	f12.	Answer provided with a	low X	medium	high	level of confidence
acor	nm16.	Comments:			1	1
ne ef	fect of <i>the</i>	For Reynoutria ×bohemical transferring pathogens or due to the problems with those found in R. japonical case, there is no more details species on ecosystem integral.	parasites harn its identificati are also pres iiled data on p	nful to them (on. It can be o ent in the hyb athogen or pa	Balogh 2008 - expected that orid (CABI 2016 orasite transfe	- P), which may also a significant portion 8 – B). However, in th
Х	low medium high	1				
acor	f13.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
acor	nm17.	Comments:				
		(Dassonville et al. 2011 –				or son microorganish
		can directly regulate the arbiological denitrification I resources in the soil and the facilitate effective invasion is accompanied by a signifiturn may lead to changes of and its availability. The dark knotweed species include floods which contributes to also includes the accumulate which may lead to dam submersions and floods (especially for herbaceous ecosystem. Mass present changes in the processes of the hydrophilous tall herb 6430, Tokarska-Guzik et al.	mount of avail by soil bacter us enables the (Salles and Mocant increase over the course gerous effects the uprootion of a large age to their Tokarska-Guzi species is alle of Reynous courring in halfringe committed.	y with the otlable nitrogen ria, which lead plants to ground allon 2014 — in biomass both of biogeoches of river and song of large pofembankment of the colume of biogeoches at al. 2015 an important of the column in the column	ther knotweed resources by it ads to the arm with their bioma P). Invasion of the above and emical cycles, a stream banks batches of the ats (Bergstrom omass on hydwell as beind b - I). Limitifactor affecting can cause g special care	s, the hybrid knotwernhibiting the process occumulation of nitral significant in the process occumulation of nitral significant in the process of the practically irreversible (including, in particular secuments).
he ef	low	can directly regulate the ar biological denitrification I resources in the soil and th facilitate effective invasion is accompanied by a signifiturn may lead to changes of and its availability. The dark knotweed species include floods which contributes to also includes the accumula which may lead to dam submersions and floods (especially for herbaceous ecosystem. Mass present changes in the processes of the hydrophilous tall herb 6430, Tokarska-Guzik et al.	mount of avail by soil bacter us enables the (Salles and Mocant increase over the course gerous effects the uprootion of a large age to their Tokarska-Guzi species is an e of Reynous ccurring in halfringe comme 2015b – I).	y with the otlable nitrogen ria, which lead plants to ground lallon 2014 — in biomass both of biogeoches of river and song of large post embankment of extructure, as ket al. 2015 in important fitria xbohemic pitats requiring unities of plain	ther knotweed resources by it ads to the arm with their bioma P). Invasion of the above and emical cycles, a stream banks batches of the omass on hydwell as being being a can cause g special care in s and of more	nhibiting the process of ccumulation of nitral assintensely and thus of <i>Reynoutria</i> × bohemic below ground, which as well as cycle of wat being dominated by an ese plants during raplet al. 2008 – P). Effect rotechnical equipment of the cause of local and the access to light practically irreversib (including, in particular securing the particular including, in particular securing the practically irreversib
ne ef	_	can directly regulate the ar biological denitrification I resources in the soil and th facilitate effective invasion is accompanied by a signifiturn may lead to changes of and its availability. The dark knotweed species include floods which contributes to also includes the accumula which may lead to dam submersions and floods (especially for herbaceous ecosystem. Mass present changes in the processes of the hydrophilous tall herb 6430, Tokarska-Guzik et al.	mount of avail by soil bacter us enables the (Salles and Mocant increase over the course gerous effects the uprootion of a large age to their Tokarska-Guzi species is an e of Reynous ccurring in halfringe comme 2015b – I).	y with the otlable nitrogen ria, which lead plants to ground lallon 2014 — in biomass both of biogeoches of river and song of large post embankment of extructure, as ket al. 2015 in important fitria xbohemic pitats requiring unities of plain	ther knotweed resources by it ads to the arm with their bioma P). Invasion of the above and emical cycles, a stream banks batches of the omass on hydwell as being being a can cause g special care in s and of more	s, the hybrid knotwe nhibiting the process ccumulation of nitral significant in the process intensely and thus free Reynoutria × bohemic below ground, which as well as cycle of ward peing dominated by a peing dominated by a peing dominated by a peing the cause of long the access to light the integrity of the practically irreversible (including, in particul

acomm18.

Comments:

Revnoutria ×bohemica is considered to be a more invasive species compared with the parental forms (GB NNSS 2018 - I), characterized by a greater potential for creating dense patches (as a result of vegetative propagation) in large sunlit, nitrogen-rich and humid areas (GB NNSS 2018 - I). The plants cause major changes in the structure and species composition of local ecosystems, including riparian forests, willow shrubberies and riparian herbaceous vegetation. They compete effectively with native plant species, preventing their regeneration (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2009, Chmura et al. 2015 – P). Allelopathic chemical substances produced by knotweeds inhibit the germination and growth of other plants (Vrchotová and Šerá 2008, Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). The species can compete with native plants for pollinators, however on account of its late flowering this phenomenon is limited to native plants flowering in late summer. The penetration of the species into protected areas should be also listed among the adverse effects (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015a and b - I, Bzdęga and Tokarska-Guzik 2006-2017 - A). The species has a negative effect on Natura 2000 natural habitats, including mainly: alpine rivers and their ligneous vegetation with Salix elaeagnos (3240), alpine rivers and their ligneous vegetation with Myricaria germanica (3230), hydrophilous tall herb fringe communities of the plains and of montane to alpine levels (6430), alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (Alno-Pandion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae) (91E0), riparian mixed forests of Quercus robur, Ulmus laevis and Ulmus minor, Fraxinus excelsior or Fraxinus angustifolia, along major rivers (Ulmenion minoris) (91F0) (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b - I, Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2017 - P). In the Czech Republic, a negative influence of the knotweed on an endangered species was found in the case of Myricaria germanica German tamarisk, which is present on gravel sites along mountainous rivers with periodic floods. Reynoutria ×bohemica penetrates this type of habitats and completely eliminates the communities of willow-tamarisk shrubs, including M. germanica (CABI 2018 - B). Due to its large size and rapid growth in the initial period of the vegetation season, Reynoutria xbohemica significantly reduces the number of native species in locations where it is present. Its dense populations transform the native soil seed bank (Bzdęga and Tokarska-Guzik 2006-2017 -A). This manifests itself in the depletion of the species composition typical for specific communities. The dense knotweed patches can also provide a refuge for many animal species.

A4b | Impact on the cultivated plants domain

Questions from this module qualify the consequences of *the species* for cultivated plants (e.g. crops, pastures, horticultural stock).

For the questions from this module, consequence is considered 'low' when presence of *the species* in (or on) a population of target plants is sporadic and/or causes little damage. Harm is considered 'medium' when *the organism's* development causes local yield (or plant) losses below 20%, and 'high' when losses range >20%.

a19. The effect of *the species* on cultivated plant targets through **herbivory or parasitism** is:

	inapplica	able				
Х	very low	•				
	low					
	medium					
	high					
	very hig	n				
						٦
acor	nf15.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high	level of confidence
					Х	
acor	mm19.	Comments:				
		The species is a plant, it als	o has no par	asitic properties		

a 20 . 7	he eff	fect of the	species on cultivated plant	targets throug	gh competition	is:	
		inapplica	able				
		very low					
	X	low					
		medium					
		high	L				
		very hig	n				_
	acon	f16.	Answer provided with a	low	medium X	high	level of confidence
	acon	nm20.	Comments:				
			Like other invasive knotw including by entering field (Onete et al. 2015 – P, Bzdi in other knotweeds, inhibit 2008 – P, Tokarska-Guzik of the chemical interactions effect will influence less th case the condition of plants	ls and meado ega 2017 – A). the germinat et al. 2015b – require furth an 1/3 of crop	ws which then Allelopathic clion and growth I). These phe er study and rose being invade	n become un nemicals proc of other plan nomena are i monitoring. It ed (low proba	suitable for cultivation duced by the species, as nts (Vrchotová and Šerá not common yet, while is estimated that the ability) and in the worst
	plants	themselv inapplic	able	targets throu	gh interbreed i	ng with relat	ed species, including the
	Х	no / ver	y low				
		low medium	,				
		high	I				
		very hig	;h				
							1
	acon	f17.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high	level of confidence
						Х	
	acon	nm21.	Comments:				
			Reynoutria ×bohemica, as and R. sachalinensis, may i difficult to control popula parental species, e.g. witl Bailey 2013 – P). The hybri with their participation, maneadows which then beco – A). However, Reynoutria in Poland.	ndirectly affections (CABI 20) h. R. sachaline id, similarly to ay negatively me unsuitable	et the condition of the	n and yield of te are also ki I introgressio forms, as well g. by growing (Onete et al.	crop plants by creating nown backcrosses with in) (Bailey et al. 2009, I as the hybrids formed g over arable fields and 2015 – P, Bzdęga 2017
a22. 1	he eff	fect of the	e species on cultivated plant	targets by aff	ecting the culti	vation syster	n's integrity is:
		very low	,				
		low					
	Х	medium					
		high					
		very high	n				
	acon	f18.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
	acon	nm22.	Comments:				
			The presence of all species	s from the <i>Re</i>	ynoutria genu:	s, including <i>R</i>	. ×bohemica, limits the
			agricultural use of land (To			_	

	become more frequent at Analogously, as with the eassumed that they can	nd abundant effect of these affect the c	in crops, e.g. e taxa on distu ondition or yi	in Switzerla rbing ecosy feld of cro	stem integrity, it can be ps via changes in the
them is: X very low low medium high		targets by hos	medium	s or parasite high	es that are harmful to
			•	-	
tions from this als, companion alstons. The effect of the very low low medium high	module qualify the consequanimals). It deals with both the species on individual animals below.	iences of <i>the</i> the well-being	organism on d	nimals and	the productivity of animal
aconf20.	Answer provided with a Comments: The species is a plant.	low	medium	high	level of confidence
hazardous upor very low low medium high	ne species on individual anin contact, is: Answer provided with a Comments: Dry and sharply-broken she	low oots of <i>R. jap</i>	medium X onica, as well a	high s <i>R. sachali</i> i	level of confidence
	them is: X very low low medium high very high aconf19. acomm23. Impact of the sals, companion sations. The effect of the very low low medium high very high aconf20. acomm24. The effect of the hazardous upor very low low medium high very high aconf21.	become more frequent a Analogously, as with the e assumed that they can agroecosystem properties. study and monitoring. The effect of the species on cultivated plant them is: X very low low medium high very high aconf19. Answer provided with a acomm23. Comments: There is insufficient data or is a host or vector of patholic	become more frequent and abundant Analogously, as with the effect of these assumed that they can affect the cagroecosystem properties. These phen study and monitoring. The effect of the species on cultivated plant targets by host them is: X very low low medium high very high aconf19. Answer provided with a low acomm23. Comments: There is insufficient data on the effect of is a host or vector of pathogens and parallel in the parallel in th	become more frequent and abundant in crops, e.g. Analogously, as with the effect of these taxa on distu assumed that they can affect the condition or yi agroecosystem properties. These phenomena are no study and monitoring. The effect of the species on cultivated plant targets by hosting pathogens them is: X very low low medium high very high aconf19. Answer provided with a low medium X acomm23. Comments: There is insufficient data on the effect of the hybrid on is a host or vector of pathogens and parasites harmful to lis, companion animals). It deals with both the well-being of individual a actions. The effect of the species on individual animal health or animal production wery low low medium high very high aconf20. Answer provided with a low medium high very high aconm24. Comments: The species is a plant. The effect of the species on individual animal health or animal production medium high very high aconf20. Answer provided with a low medium high very high aconf21. Answer provided with a low medium high very high aconf22. Comments: The offect of the species on individual animal health or animal production medium high very high aconf21. Answer provided with a low medium high very high aconf22. Comments: Dry and sharply-broken shoots of R. japonica, as well a acomm25. Comments: Dry and sharply-broken shoots of R. japonica, as well and the production of the species of the spec	become more frequent and abundant in crops, e.g. in Switzerla Analogously, as with the effect of these taxa on disturbing ecosy assumed that they can affect the condition or yield of croagroecosystem properties. These phenomena are not common study and monitoring. The effect of the species on cultivated plant targets by hosting pathogens or parasite them is: X very low low medium high very high aconf19. Answer provided with a low medium high wery high acomm23. Comments: There is insufficient data on the effect of the hybrid on crops associ is a host or vector of pathogens and parasites harmful to these plantions from this module qualify the consequences of the organism on domesticated als, companion animals). It deals with both the well-being of individual animals and ations. The effect of the species on individual animal health or animal production, through policy very low low medium high very high aconf20. Answer provided with a low medium high very low low medium high very high aconf21. Answer provided with a low medium high very high low medium high low medium high low medium high very high low medium high low

			×bohemica.				
			e species on individual anim	al health or a	nimal producti	on, by hostir	ng pathogens or parasites
	that a	re harmfu	Il to them, is:				
	X	inapplica					
		very low					
		low medium					
		high					
		very high	1				
	acon	f22.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high	level of confidence
	acon	nm26.	Comments:				
			The species is a plant. Plan	ts are not hos	ts nor vectors of	of animal pa	rasites/pathogens.
<u>A4d</u>] Im	ipact o	<u>n the human domai</u>	<u>n</u>			
Quest	ions f	rom this	module qualify the conseq	uences of the	organism on	humans. It	deals with human health,
			ate of complete physical, m		_		
or infi	rmity	(definitio	n adopted from the World H	Health Organiz	ation).		
27 ⊺	ha aff	ect of the	species on human health th	hrough narasi t	tiem ic:		
a27. I			•	ii Ougii pai asi i	(13111 13.		
	X	inapplica					
		very low low					
		medium					
		high					
		vert high	l				
	acon	faa	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high	level of confidence
	acon	125.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high	level of confidence
	2600	nm27.	Comments:				
	acon	111127.	The species is not a parasit	ic organism			
			The species is not a parasit	ic organism.			
a28. T	he eff	ect of the	species on human health, b	y having prop	erties that are	hazardous u	upon contact , is:
	Х	very low					
		low					
		medium					
		high					
		very high	1				
	acon	f24	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high	level of confidence
	acon	127.	Answer provided with a	1000	mediam	X	level of confidence
	acon	nm28.	Comments:				
	acon	111120.	A negative effect of <i>Reynol</i>	utria ianonica	and R. sachali	n <i>ensis</i> on hu	man health has not heen
			demonstrated (Alberterns				
			situation applies in the ca				
			stream banks can cause di			_	
			also cause a risk of tripping	g, for example	on pavements	and footwa	ys (GB NNSS 2018 – P).

although animals feeding on giant knotweed demonstrated temporary anorexia and hypothermia (CABI 2018 – B). Presumably, this situation may also apply to *Reynoutria*

X inapplicable very low low medium high level of confidence acomm29. Comments: The species is a plant. Plants are not hosts or vectors of human parasites/pathogens. A4e Impact on other domains The species is a plant. Plants are not hosts or vectors of human parasites/pathogens. A4e Impact on other domains Comments: The species on causing damage to infrastructure is: very low low medium high high	a29.	The effect o	f the species on human health	by hosting pat	hogens or para	asites that are	harmful to humans, is:
low medium high very high aconf25. Answer provided with a low medium high level of confidence acomm29. Comments: The species is a plant. Plants are not hosts or vectors of human parasites/pathogens. A4e Impact on other domains Cuestions from this module qualify the consequences of the species on targets not considered in modules A4a-d. a30. The effect of the species on causing damage to infrastructure is: very low low medium high x very high very high very high x very high level of confidence x very low low medium high x very high level of confidence x very low low low low level of confidence x very low							
high very high aconf25. Answer provided with a low medium high level of confidence acomm29. Comments: The species is a plant. Plants are not hosts or vectors of human parasites/pathogens. A4e Impact on other domains Questions from this module qualify the consequences of the species on targets not considered in modules A4a-d. a30. The effect of the species on causing damage to infrastructure is: very low low medium high X very high aconf26. Answer provided with a low medium high level of confidence x Reynoutria ×bohemica, as with the parental species, R. japonica and R. sachalinensis, is a serious threat in river valleys, as it can destroy flood protection and hydrotechnical constructions. Dead matter remaining above ground and underground plants can significantly limit or inhibit water flow. The hybrid, like the other knotweeds, occurs in areas with housing and economic infrastructure. As in the case of the other species, it can cause damage by the growth of the rhizomes. By penetrating the ground (intensive annual growth), Reynoutria ×bohemica, rhizomes can damage foundations, building walls and drainage channels, road surfaces, pedestrian walkways or car parks (Beerling 1991 – P, Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B, Tokarska-Guzik et al.). 2015a and b, GB NNSS 2018 – I). With its presence along roads, Reynoutria ×bohemica can limit visibility and obscure road signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). A5a Impact on ecosystem services Questions from this module qualify the consequences of the organism on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes are used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of the species.			IOW				
very high acont25. Answer provided with a low medium high level of confidence acomm29. Comments: The species is a plant. Plants are not hosts or vectors of human parasites/pathogens. A4e Impact on other domains Questions from this module qualify the consequences of the species on targets not considered in modules A4a-d. a30. The effect of the species on causing damage to infrastructure is: very low low medium high X very high acont26. Answer provided with a low medium high X very high acont26. Answer provided with a low medium high I level of confidence acomm30. Comments: Reynoutria × bohemica, as with the parental species, R. japonica and R. sachalinensis, is a serious threat in river valleys, as it can destroy flood protection and hydrotechnical constructions. Dead matter remaining above ground and underground plants can significantly limit or inhibit water flow. The hybrid, like the other knotweeds, occurs in areas with housing and economic infrastructure. As in the case of the other species, it can cause damage by the growth of the rhizomes. By penetrating the ground (intensive annual growth), Reynoutria × bohemica, rhizomes can damage foundations, building walls and drainage channels, road surfaces, pedestrian walkways or car parks (Beerling 1991 – P, Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B, Tokarska-Guzik et al.). 2015a and b, GB NNSS 2018 – I). With its presence along roads, Reynoutria × bohemica can limit visibility and obscure road signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). A5a Impact on ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also included any approach and the services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also included about management of the species.							
A4e Impact on other domains Questions from this module qualify the consequences of the species on targets not considered in modules A4a-d. a30. The effect of the species on causing damage to infrastructure is: very low low medium high level of confidence wery high							
A4e Impact on other domains Questions from this module qualify the consequences of the species on targets not considered in modules A4a-d. a30. The effect of the species on causing damage to infrastructure is: very low low medium high level of confidence wery high		aconf25	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high	level of confidence
A4e Impact on other domains Questions from this module qualify the consequences of the species on targets not considered in modules A4a-d. a30. The effect of the species on causing damage to infrastructure is: very low low medium high wery high aconf26. Answer provided with a low medium high level of confidence with real in river valleys, as it can destroy flood protection and hydrotechnical constructions. Dead matter remaining above ground and underground plants can significantly limit or inhibit water flow. The hybrid, like the other knotweeds, occurs in areas with housing and economic infrastructure. As in the case of the other species, it can cause damage by the growth of the rhizomes. By penetrating the ground (intensive annual growth), Reynoutria *bohemica, rhizomes can damage foundations, building walls and drainage channels, road surfaces, pedestrian walkways or car parks (Beerling 1991 – P, Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B, Tokarska-Guzik et al., 2015a and b, GB NNSS 2018 – I). With its presence along roads, Reynoutria *bohemica* can limit visibility and obscure road signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I).		acom25.	Allswer provided with a	1000	mediam	111611	level of confidence
Questions from this module qualify the consequences of the species on targets not considered in modules A4a-d. a30. The effect of the species on causing damage to infrastructure is: very low low medium high wery high aconf26. Answer provided with a low medium high level of confidence acomm30. Comments: Reynoutria vbohemica, as with the parental species, R. japonica and R. sachalinensis, is a serious threat in river valleys, as it can destroy flood protection and hydrotechnical constructions. Dead matter remaining above ground and underground plants can significantly limit or inhibit water flow. The hybrid, like the other knotweeds, occurs in areas with housing and economic infrastructure. As in the case of the other species, it can cause damage by the growth of the rhizomes. By penetrating the ground (intensive annual growth), Reynoutria vbohemica, rhizomes can damage foundations, building walls and drainage channels, road surfaces, pedestrian walkways or car parks (Beerling 1991 – P, Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B, Tokarska-Guzik et al.). 2015a and b, GB NNSS 2018 – I). With its presence along roads, Reynoutria vbohemica can limit visibility and obscure road signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). A5a Impact on ecosystem services Questions from this module qualify the consequences of the organism on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of the species.		acomm29	. Comments:				
Questions from this module qualify the consequences of the species on targets not considered in modules A4a-d. a30. The effect of the species on causing damage to infrastructure is: very low low medium high wery high			The species is a plant. Pla	nts are not hos	ts or vectors of	f human paras	sites/pathogens.
Questions from this module qualify the consequences of the species on targets not considered in modules A4a-d. a30. The effect of the species on causing damage to infrastructure is: very low low medium high were high x very high aconf26.							
very low low medium high wery high aconf26. Answer provided with a low medium high wery high level of confidence acomm30. Comments: Reynoutria *bohemica*, as with the parental species, R. japonica and R. sachalinensis, is a serious threat in river valleys, as it can destroy flood protection and hydrotechnical constructions. Dead matter remaining above ground and underground plants can significantly limit or inhibit water flow. The hybrid, like the other knotweeds, occurs in areas with housing and economic infrastructure. As in the case of the other species, it can cause damage by the growth of the rhizomes. By penetrating the ground (intensive annual growth), Reynoutria *bohemica*, rhizomes can damage foundations, building walls and drainage channels, road surfaces, pedestrian walkways or car parks (Beerling 1991 – P, Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B, Tokarska-Guzik et al.). 2015a and b, GB NNSS 2018 – I). With its presence along roads, Reynoutria *bohemica* can limit visibility and obscure road signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). A5a Impact on ecosystem services Questions from this module qualify the consequences of the organism on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of the species.	<u>A4e</u>	Impac	t on other domains				
very low low medium high wery high aconf26. Answer provided with a low medium high wery high level of confidence acomm30. Comments: Reynoutria *bohemica*, as with the parental species, R. japonica and R. sachalinensis, is a serious threat in river valleys, as it can destroy flood protection and hydrotechnical constructions. Dead matter remaining above ground and underground plants can significantly limit or inhibit water flow. The hybrid, like the other knotweeds, occurs in areas with housing and economic infrastructure. As in the case of the other species, it can cause damage by the growth of the rhizomes. By penetrating the ground (intensive annual growth), Reynoutria *bohemica*, rhizomes can damage foundations, building walls and drainage channels, road surfaces, pedestrian walkways or car parks (Beerling 1991 – P, Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B, Tokarska-Guzik et al.). 2015a and b, GB NNSS 2018 – I). With its presence along roads, Reynoutria *bohemica* can limit visibility and obscure road signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). A5a Impact on ecosystem services Questions from this module qualify the consequences of the organism on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of the species.	Ques	tions from t	his module qualify the conseq	uences of the s	<i>pecies</i> on targe	ts not conside	ered in modules A4a-d.
very low low medium high X very high aconf26. Answer provided with a low medium high I level of confidence A level of level of confidence A level of level of level of level of confidence A level of level					_		
low medium high X very high aconf26. Answer provided with a low medium high X very high aconm30. Comments: **Reynoutria **sbohemica**, as with the parental species, **R. japonica** and **R. sachalinensis**, is a serious threat in river valleys, as it can destroy flood protection and hydrotechnical constructions. Dead matter remaining above ground and underground plants can significantly limit or inhibit water flow. The hybrid, like the other knotweeds, occurs in areas with housing and economic infrastructure. As in the case of the other species, it can cause damage by the growth of the rhizomes. By penetrating the ground (intensive annual growth), **Reynoutria **sbohemica**, rhizomes can damage foundations, building walls and drainage channels, road surfaces, pedestrian walkways or car parks (Beerling 1991 – P, Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B, Tokarska-Guzik et al.). 2015a and b, GB NNSS 2018 – I). With its presence along roads, **Reynoutria **sbohemica** can limit visibility and obscure road signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). **A5a Impact on ecosystem services** Questions from this module qualify the consequences of **the organism** on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of **the species**.	a30.			ge to infr astruc i	ture is:		
high very high aconf26. Answer provided with a low medium high X level of confidence X			IOW				
aconf26. Answer provided with a low medium high level of confidence acomm30. Comments: **Reynoutria * bohemica**, as with the parental species, **R. japonica** and **R. sachalinensis**, is a serious threat in river valleys, as it can destroy flood protection and hydrotechnical constructions. Dead matter remaining above ground and underground plants can significantly limit or inhibit water flow. The hybrid, like the other knotweeds, occurs in areas with housing and economic infrastructure. As in the case of the other species, it can cause damage by the growth of the rhizomes. By penetrating the ground (intensive annual growth), **Reynoutria * bohemica**, relations** relations**, read surfaces, pedestrian walkways or car parks (Beerling 1991 – P., Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B, Tokarska-Guzik et al.). 2015a and b, GB NNSS 2018 – I). With its presence along roads, **Reynoutria** * bohemica** can limit visibility and obscure road signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). **A5a Impact on ecosystem services** Questions from this module qualify the consequences of *the organism** on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of *the species*.							
acomm30. Comments: **Reynoutria *bohemica*, as with the parental species, **R. japonica* and **R. sachalinensis*, is a serious threat in river valleys, as it can destroy flood protection and hydrotechnical constructions. Dead matter remaining above ground and underground plants can significantly limit or inhibit water flow. The hybrid, like the other knotweeds, occurs in areas with housing and economic infrastructure. As in the case of the other species, it can cause damage by the growth of the rhizomes. By penetrating the ground (intensive annual growth), **Reynoutria *bohemica*, rhizomes can damage foundations, building walls and drainage channels, road surfaces, pedestrian walkways or car parks (Beerling 1991 – P, Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B, Tokarska-Guzik et al.). 2015a and b, GB NNSS 2018 – I). With its presence along roads, **Reynoutria *bohemica* can limit visibility and obscure road signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). **A5a Impact on ecosystem services** Questions from this module qualify the consequences of **the organism** on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of **the species**.							
acomm30. Comments: **Reynoutria *bohemica*, as with the parental species, **R. japonica* and **R. sachalinensis*, is a serious threat in river valleys, as it can destroy flood protection and hydrotechnical constructions. Dead matter remaining above ground and underground plants can significantly limit or inhibit water flow. The hybrid, like the other knotweeds, occurs in areas with housing and economic infrastructure. As in the case of the other species, it can cause damage by the growth of the rhizomes. By penetrating the ground (intensive annual growth), **Reynoutria *bohemica*, rhizomes can damage foundations, building walls and drainage channels, road surfaces, pedestrian walkways or car parks (Beerling 1991 – P, Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B, Tokarska-Guzik et al.). 2015a and b, GB NNSS 2018 – I). With its presence along roads, **Reynoutria *bohemica* can limit visibility and obscure road signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). **A5a Impact on ecosystem services** Questions from this module qualify the consequences of **the organism** on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of **the species**.		aconf26.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high	level of confidence
Reynoutria ×bohemica, as with the parental species, R. japonica and R. sachalinensis, is a serious threat in river valleys, as it can destroy flood protection and hydrotechnical constructions. Dead matter remaining above ground and underground plants can significantly limit or inhibit water flow. The hybrid, like the other knotweeds, occurs in areas with housing and economic infrastructure. As in the case of the other species, it can cause damage by the growth of the rhizomes. By penetrating the ground (intensive annual growth), Reynoutria ×bohemica, rhizomes can damage foundations, building walls and drainage channels, road surfaces, pedestrian walkways or car parks (Beerling 1991 – P, Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B, Tokarska-Guzik et al.). 2015a and b, GB NNSS 2018 – I). With its presence along roads, Reynoutria ×bohemica can limit visibility and obscure road signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). A5a Impact on ecosystem services Questions from this module qualify the consequences of the organism on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of the species.							
a serious threat in river valleys, as it can destroy flood protection and hydrotechnical constructions. Dead matter remaining above ground and underground plants can significantly limit or inhibit water flow. The hybrid, like the other knotweeds, occurs in areas with housing and economic infrastructure. As in the case of the other species, it can cause damage by the growth of the rhizomes. By penetrating the ground (intensive annual growth), <i>Reynoutria ×bohemica</i> , rhizomes can damage foundations, building walls and drainage channels, road surfaces, pedestrian walkways or car parks (Beerling 1991 – P, Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B, Tokarska-Guzik et al.). 2015a and b, GB NNSS 2018 – I). With its presence along roads, <i>Reynoutria ×bohemica</i> can limit visibility and obscure road signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). A5a Impact on ecosystem services Questions from this module qualify the consequences of <i>the organism</i> on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of <i>the species</i> .		acomm30					
constructions. Dead matter remaining above ground and underground plants can significantly limit or inhibit water flow. The hybrid, like the other knotweeds, occurs in areas with housing and economic infrastructure. As in the case of the other species, it can cause damage by the growth of the rhizomes. By penetrating the ground (intensive annual growth), <i>Reynoutria xbohemica</i> , rhizomes can damage foundations, building walls and drainage channels, road surfaces, pedestrian walkways or car parks (Beerling 1991 – P, Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B, Tokarska-Guzik et al.). 2015a and b, GB NNSS 2018 – I). With its presence along roads, <i>Reynoutria xbohemica</i> can limit visibility and obscure road signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). A5a Impact on ecosystem services Questions from this module qualify the consequences of <i>the organism</i> on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of <i>the species</i> .			•	•	•		
with housing and economic infrastructure. As in the case of the other species, it can cause damage by the growth of the rhizomes. By penetrating the ground (intensive annual growth), <i>Reynoutria</i> ×bohemica, rhizomes can damage foundations, building walls and drainage channels, road surfaces, pedestrian walkways or car parks (Beerling 1991 – P, Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B, Tokarska-Guzik et al.). 2015a and b, GB NNSS 2018 – I). With its presence along roads, <i>Reynoutria</i> ×bohemica can limit visibility and obscure road signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). A5a Impact on ecosystem services Questions from this module qualify the consequences of <i>the organism</i> on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of <i>the species</i> .			constructions. Dead m	atter remainin	g above gro	und and un	derground plants can
damage by the growth of the rhizomes. By penetrating the ground (intensive annual growth), <i>Reynoutria ×bohemica</i> , rhizomes can damage foundations, building walls and drainage channels, road surfaces, pedestrian walkways or car parks (Beerling 1991 – P, Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B, Tokarska-Guzik et al.). 2015a and b, GB NNSS 2018 – I). With its presence along roads, <i>Reynoutria ×bohemica</i> can limit visibility and obscure road signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). A5a Impact on ecosystem services Questions from this module qualify the consequences of <i>the organism</i> on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of <i>the species</i> .					-		
drainage channels, road surfaces, pedestrian walkways or car parks (Beerling 1991 – P, Alberternst and Böhmer 2011 – B, Tokarska-Guzik et al.). 2015a and b, GB NNSS 2018 – I). With its presence along roads, <i>Reynoutria</i> ×bohemica can limit visibility and obscure road signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). A5a Impact on ecosystem services Questions from this module qualify the consequences of <i>the organism</i> on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of <i>the species</i> .			damage by the growth	of the rhizom	es. By penetr	rating the gro	ound (intensive annual
With its presence along roads, Reynoutria ×bohemica can limit visibility and obscure road signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). A5a Impact on ecosystem services Questions from this module qualify the consequences of the organism on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of the species.						-	-
signs. In addition, the massive presence of the plant also limits access to water reservoirs, e.g. for anglers (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015b – I). A5a Impact on ecosystem services Questions from this module qualify the consequences of the organism on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of the species.							
A5a Impact on ecosystem services Questions from this module qualify the consequences of <i>the organism</i> on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of <i>the species</i> .							
Questions from this module qualify the consequences of <i>the organism</i> on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of <i>the species</i> .			e.g. for anglers (Tokarska	-Guzik et al. 20	15b – I).		
Questions from this module qualify the consequences of <i>the organism</i> on ecosystem services. Ecosystem services are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of <i>the species</i> .							
are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of <i>the species</i> .	<u>A5a</u>	Impac	t on ecosystem servic	<u>es</u>			
many examples (CICES Version 4.3). Note that the answers to these questions are not used in the calculation of the overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of <i>the species</i> .	Ques	tions from t	his module qualify the consec	quences of the	<i>organism</i> on e	cosystem serv	vices. Ecosystem services
overall risk score (which deals with ecosystems in a different way), but can be considered when decisions are made about management of <i>the species</i> .	are classified according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services, which also includes						
	-				•		
	abou	t manageme	ent of <i>the species</i> .				
a31. The effect of the species on provisioning services is:	a31.	The effect o	f the species on provisioning s	ervices is:			
significantly negative moderately negative		_					

	neutral
X	moderately positive
	significantly positive

aconf27.

Answer provided with a

low	medium	high
		X

acomm31.

Comments:

Reynoutria ×bohemica, similarly to Japanese knotweed and giant knotweed, can be perceived as a useful plant, the biomass of which could be used for energy needs. However, there is no detailed literature data on the efficiency of its biomass for this purpose. Presumably, the calorific value is close to *R. japonica*, at around 18.4 GJ/t (Kovářová et al. 2011 – P). The suitability of *Reynoutria* ×bohemica for biogas production is also reported (Kupryś-Caruk et al. 2014 – P).

The presence of *Reynoutria* ×bohemica in the environment can be perceived as beneficial, e.g. by owners of apiaries due to the melliferous properties of the plant and its relatively late flowering providing benefits to bees in late summer. Knotweed shoots are also used in floristry (flowering).

Reynoutria ×bohemica, like the other species, is used in phototherapy. It contains many biologically active compounds, including resveratrol – a chemical compound belonging to the antioxidant group (Chen et al. 2013, Peng et al. 2013 – P).

At the same time, *Reynoutria* ×bohemica may adversely affect crops, e.g. by entering fields and meadows which then become unsuitable for cultivation. Allelopathic chemicals produced by the species, as with the other knotweeds, inhibit germination and growth of other plants (cf question a20). These phenomena are not common yet, while the chemical interactions require further studying and monitoring.

To sum up one can acknowledged that the influence of the species on provisioning services is moderately positive.

a32. The effect of *the species* on **regulation and maintenance services** is:

X	significantly negative
	moderately negative
	neutral
	moderately positive
	significantly positive

aconf28.

Answer provided with a

low	medium	high X	I

level of confidence

level of confidence

acomm32.

Comments:

Reynoutria ×bohemica affects regulatory services. Its large populations cause changes in the physical and chemical properties of soil (including the availability of nitrogen) and in the activity of soil microorganisms (cf question a17). Invasion of Reynoutria ×bohemica is accompanied by a significant increase in biomass both on and below the ground surface, which in turn may lead to changes over the course of biogeochemical cycles, as well as cycle of water and its availability. The dangerous effects of the river and stream banks being dominated by any of the knotweed species includes the uprooting of large patches of these plants during rapid floods which contributes to the erosion of embankments (Bergstrom et al. 2008 – P). They can also damage the structure of floodbanks and contribute to local and wider flooding (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015 b - I). The plants cause major changes in the structure and species composition of local ecosystems, including riverside ones. They effectively compete with native plant species, preventing their regeneration. The allelopathic chemicals produced by knotweed inhibit the germination and growth of other plants (compare question 18).

All knotweed taxa, due to their biological characteristics, may have impact on other potential regulatory services, which are not based on specific tests such as: air quality regulation (dust retention and pollution absorption), air exchange, wind protection, noise reduction, etc.

		significa	ntly negative				
		-	tely negative				
	X	neutral					
		-	tely positive ntly positive				
		Jagiiiica	indy positive				7
	acoı	nf29.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high X	level of confidence
	acoi	mm33.	Comments:				
			The plant has decorative a resemble bamboo, hence in substitution in the use of fresh material (Tokarska-Guzik et al. 2015)	it is still kept istry, where c al, due to the	in gardens. The aution is recor possibility of o	e stems and mmended in creating pote	seedlings of <i>Reynoutria</i> these cases with regard ential new introductions
			In case of all knotweed tax following potential cultura spatial aesthetics, both por P) and negative (wastela particularly in winter, es constitute places with add and air quality); business be	I services, wh sitive (maskin nds covered pecially in u ditional litter);	ich are not bas g aesthetically with knotwee ban and recr positive effec	sed on specifunpleasing eeds are not eational zon	fic research: effects on elements, Gilbert 1992 - aesthetically pleasing, nes, also these usually (regulation of humidity
			Reynoutria ×bohemica for recreational and tourist at access to water (Tokarska-The presence of tall plant safety (Tokarska-Guzik et a Reynoutria ×bohemica can heavily polluted areas, etc.	reas (e.g. on Guzik et al. 20 s along roads al. 2015b – P) n however al	the banks of r 06 – P, Bzdęga may reduce v . Dry knotwee	rivers and w and Tokarsk visibility and d shoots car	ater reservoirs, limiting a-Guzik 2006-2017 – A). cause a threat to road be a hazard in winter.
			To sum up it is recognise services give an overall neu	_	-	ve influence	of species for cultural
<u> A5b</u>	Ef	fect of	climate change on t	he risk ass	essment o	f the neg	ative impact
	<u>o</u> 1	f the sp	<u>ecies</u>				
horizo Clima	on is t te Ch	the mid-2 ange. Sp ience bas	Harmonia ^{+PL} modules is revised that century. We suggest tall ecifically, the expected chais may be used for this pur	king into acco	unt the report spheric variab	ts of the Inte les listed in	ergovernmental Panel on its 2013 report on the
			ers to these questions are no hen decisions are made abou				isk score, but can be but
			– Due to climate change, t ble – subsequent barriers of				me geographical barriers
	X	decreas not chai	e significantly e moderately nge e moderately e significantly				
	acoı	nf30.	Answer provided with a	low	medium	high	level of confidence

X

a35. ESTABLISHMENT — Due to climate change, the probability for the species to overcome barriers that have prevented its survival and reproduction in Poland will: decrease significantly decrease moderately X not change increase moderately increase significantly aconf31. Answer provided with a low medium high level of confidence X acomm35. Comments: Assuming that in the future the temperature will increase by 1–2°C, the probability that the species will overcome additional barriers related to subsistence and reproduction in Poland will not change. Reynoutria *bohemica* prefers a temperate climate with the mean temperature of the warmest month in the range between 0°C and 18°C, and with mean temperature of the warmest month exceeding 10°C (CABI 2018 – B) (cf comment a09). a36. SPREAD — Due to climate change, the probability for the species to overcome barriers that have prevented its spread in Poland will: decrease significantly decrease moderately not change X increase moderately increase moderately increase moderately increase moderately increase significantly aconf32. Answer provided with a low medium high level of confidence X increase moderately increase moderately increase significantly aconf32. Answer provided with a low medium high level of confidence will not change. Reynoutria *bohemica* prefers a temperate climate with the mean temperature of the coldest month in the range between 0°C and 18°C, and with mean temperature of the warmest month exceeding 10°C (CABI 2018 – B)(cf the comment in question a09). Unlike two parental species, R. *bohemica* seems to demonstrate ecological abilities which are not directly in terms expressed by its parental species, as is the case with its morphology and physiology. This is the ability to develop new features regardless of niche		acom	nm34.	Comments: Assuming that in the future species will overcome subchange. Reynoutria ×boher the coldest month in the rewarmest month exceeding	osequent bar <i>nica</i> prefers a ange betwee	riers related to a temperate cli n 0°C and 18°C,	o its occurr mate with the and with m	ence in Poland will not he mean temperature of nean temperature of the
acomm35. Comments: Assuming that in the future the temperature will increase by 1–2°C, the probability that the species will overcome additional barriers related to subsistence and reproduction in Poland will not change. Reynoutria *bohemica* prefers a temperate climate with the mean temperature of the coldest month in the range between 0°C and 18°C, and with mean temperature of the warmest month exceeding 10°C (CABI 2018 – B) (cf comment a09). a36. SPREAD – Due to climate change, the probability for the species to overcome barriers that have prevented its spread in Poland will: decrease significantly decrease moderately not change X increase moderately increase significantly aconf32. Answer provided with a low medium high level of confidence X increase moderately increase will break subsequent barriers - which have so far prevented its spread in Poland - will not change. Reynoutria *bohemica* prefers a temperate climate with the mean temperature of the coldest month in the range between 0°C and 18°C, and with mean temperature of the warmest month exceeding 10°C (CABI 2018 – B)(cf the comment in question a09). Unlike two parental species, R. *bohemica* seems to demonstrate ecological abilities which are not directly in terms expressed by its parental species, as is the case with its morphology and physiology. This is the ability to develop new features regardless of niche		orever	decreas decreas decreas not cha increase	urvival and reproduction in P e significantly e moderately nge e moderately		lity for the spe	ecies to ove	rcome barriers that have
Assuming that in the future the temperature will increase by 1–2°C, the probability that the species will overcome additional barriers related to subsistence and reproduction in Poland will not change. Reynoutria ×bohemica prefers a temperate climate with the mean temperature of the coldest month in the range between 0°C and 18°C, and with mean temperature of the warmest month exceeding 10°C (CABI 2018 – B) (cf comment a09). a36. SPREAD – Due to climate change, the probability for the species to overcome barriers that have prevented its spread in Poland will: decrease significantly decrease moderately not change X increase moderately increase significantly aconf32. Answer provided with a low medium high level of confidence x acomm36. Comments: Assuming that in the future the temperature will increase by 1-2°C, the probability that the species will break subsequent barriers - which have so far prevented its spread in Poland - will not change. Reynoutria *bohemica* prefers a temperate climate with the mean temperature of the coldest month in the range between 0°C and 18°C, and with mean temperature of the warmest month exceeding 10°C (CABI 2018 – B)(cf the comment in question a09). Unlike two parental species, R. *bohemica* seems to demonstrate ecological abilities which are not directly in terms expressed by its parental species, as is the case with its morphology and physiology. This is the ability to develop new features regardless of niche		acon	f31.	Answer provided with a	low		high	level of confidence
Assuming that in the future the temperature will increase by 1–2°C, the probability that the species will overcome additional barriers related to subsistence and reproduction in Poland will not change. Reynoutria ×bohemica prefers a temperate climate with the mean temperature of the coldest month in the range between 0°C and 18°C, and with mean temperature of the warmest month exceeding 10°C (CABI 2018 – B) (cf comment a09). a36. SPREAD – Due to climate change, the probability for the species to overcome barriers that have prevented its spread in Poland will: decrease significantly decrease moderately not change X increase moderately increase significantly aconf32. Answer provided with a low medium high level of confidence X comments: Assuming that in the future the temperature will increase by 1-2°C, the probability that the species will break subsequent barriers - which have so far prevented its spread in Polandwill not change. Reynoutria ×bohemica prefers a temperate climate with the mean temperature of the coldest month in the range between 0°C and 18°C, and with mean temperature of the warmest month exceeding 10°C (CABI 2018 – B)(cf the comment in question a09). Unlike two parental species, R. ×bohemica seems to demonstrate ecological abilities which are not directly in terms expressed by its parental species, as is the case with its morphology and physiology. This is the ability to develop new features regardless of niche		2000	.m.2F	Comments		Α		
acomm36. Comments: Assuming that in the future the temperature will increase by 1-2°C, the probability that the species will break subsequent barriers - which have so far prevented its spread in Polandwill not change. Reynoutria ×bohemica prefers a temperate climate with the mean temperature of the coldest month in the range between 0°C and 18°C, and with mean temperature of the warmest month exceeding 10°C (CABI 2018 – B)(cf the comment in question a09). Unlike two parental species, R. ×bohemica seems to demonstrate ecological abilities which are not directly in terms expressed by its parental species, as is the case with its morphology and physiology. This is the ability to develop new features regardless of niche		spread	decreas decreas decreas not cha increase	species will overcome addi will not change. Reynout temperature of the coldes temperature of the warmes to climate change, the proband and will: e significantly e moderately mge e moderately	tional barrier tria xbohemi st month in st month exce	s related to sub ca prefers a t the range betw eeding 10°C (CA	osistence and cemperate veen 0°C an BI 2018 – B)	d reproduction in Poland climate with the mean of 18°C, and with mean of (cf comment a09).
Assuming that in the future the temperature will increase by 1-2°C, the probability that the species will break subsequent barriers - which have so far prevented its spread in Polandwill not change. <i>Reynoutria ×bohemica</i> prefers a temperate climate with the mean temperature of the coldest month in the range between 0°C and 18°C, and with mean temperature of the warmest month exceeding 10°C (CABI 2018 – B)(cf the comment in question a09). Unlike two parental species, <i>R. ×bohemica</i> seems to demonstrate ecological abilities which are not directly in terms expressed by its parental species, as is the case with its morphology and physiology. This is the ability to develop new features regardless of niche		acon			low	medium	high	level of confidence
Assuming that in the future the temperature will increase by 1-2°C, the probability that the species will break subsequent barriers - which have so far prevented its spread in Polandwill not change. <i>Reynoutria ×bohemica</i> prefers a temperate climate with the mean temperature of the coldest month in the range between 0°C and 18°C, and with mean temperature of the warmest month exceeding 10°C (CABI 2018 – B)(cf the comment in question a09). Unlike two parental species, <i>R. ×bohemica</i> seems to demonstrate ecological abilities which are not directly in terms expressed by its parental species, as is the case with its morphology and physiology. This is the ability to develop new features regardless of niche		acon	152.	7 mower provided with a	1000			level of confidence
species will break subsequent barriers - which have so far prevented its spread in Poland - will not change. <i>Reynoutria ×bohemica</i> prefers a temperate climate with the mean temperature of the coldest month in the range between 0°C and 18°C, and with mean temperature of the warmest month exceeding 10°C (CABI 2018 – B)(cf the comment in question a09). Unlike two parental species, <i>R. ×bohemica</i> seems to demonstrate ecological abilities which are not directly in terms expressed by its parental species, as is the case with its morphology and physiology. This is the ability to develop new features regardless of niche		acom	ım36.	Comments:				
adaptation and range extension (Bailey and Wisskirchen 2006 – P). This can be manifested, for example, by gradual propagation of the hybrid in the simultaneous absence of <i>R. japonica</i> (Balogh 2008 – P). As the temperature increases, it can also be assumed that the share of sexual reproduction in the propagation of the hybrid will increase.				species will break subseque will not change. Reynout temperature of the coldest temperature of the warm question a09). Unlike two parental species are not directly in terms morphology and physiolog adaptation and range extension for example, by gradual R. japonica (Balogh 2008 –	ent barriers - tria ×bohemi st month in est month ex s, R. ×bohem s expressed y. This is the nsion (Bailey propagation P). As the ter	which have so ca prefers a the range betweeding 10°C (ica seems to deby its parenta ability to devel and Wisskirche of the hybric perature incre	far prevent cemperate of veen 0°C and (CABI 2018 emonstrate of il species, of op new feat n 2006 – P). d in the sin ases, it can a	ed its spread in Poland- climate with the mean of 18°C, and with mean — B)(cf the comment in ecological abilities which as is the case with its tures regardless of niche . This can be manifested, multaneous absence of also be assumed that the
a37. IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOMAIN – Due to climate change, the consequences of the species on wild		MPAC	T ON THE	E ENVIRONMENTAL DOMAIN	– Due to clin	nate change the	e consequer	aces of the species on wild
animals and plants, habitats and ecosystems in Poland will: decrease significantly	- 2	ni	احمما حا			_	e consequer	ices of the species off wild

decrease moderately

X not change

		moderately significantly				
	aconf33.	Answer provided with a	low	medium X	high	level of confidence
	acomm37.	Comments:				
		It is assumed that due to and animals - as well as has been sometically prefers a temporal the range between 0°C at exceeding 10°C (CABI 2018)	nabitats and e erate climate v and 18°C, and and -B) (cf the co	cosystems in I with the mean with mean t omment in que	Poland - will temperature emperature estion a09).	not change. <i>Reynoutria</i> of the coldest month in of the warmest month
		E CULTIVATED PLANTS DOM ts and plant domain in Polan		climate chang	e, the consec	quences of <i>the species</i> on
	decrease X not char	e significantly e moderately nge moderately				
	increase	significantly				
	aconf34.	Answer provided with a	low	medium X	high	level of confidence
	acomm38.	Comments:				
a 39 . l		production in Poland will with the mean temperature of comment in question a09). DOMESTICATED ANIMALS If a dismall production in Poland will be described animals and animal production.	re of the colde of the warmes DOMAIN – Due	est month in the st month exce	he range bet eeding 10°C (ween 0°C and 18°C, and CABI 2018 – B) (cf the
	decrease decrease x not char increase	e significantly e moderately	iction in Polari	u wiii.		
	aconf35.	Answer provided with a	low	medium X	high	level of confidence
	acomm39.	Comments:				
		It is assumed that due to and household animals a Reynoutria ×bohemica proceedings warmest month exceeding	as well as or efers a tempe ge between 0	animal proderate climate °C and 18°C,	duction in P with the me and with me	oland will not change. can temperature of the can temperature of the
a40. I	MPACT ON TH	E HUMAN DOMAIN – Due t	co climate cha	nge, the cons	equences of	the species on human in
		e significantly				
		e moderately				
	X not char increase	nge moderately				
		significantly				

aconf36	Answer provided with a	low	medium X	high	level of confidence
acomm ⁴	It is assumed that due to Poland will not change. R temperature of the colde temperature of the warm question a09).	eynoutria ×bol est month in t	<i>hemica</i> prefers the range bety	a temperate veen 0°C and	climate with the mean 18°C, and with mean

a41. IMPACT ON OTHER DOMAINS – Due to climate change, the consequences of *the species* on other domains in Poland will:

	_				
	decrease significantly				
	decrease moderately				
Х	not change				
	increase moderately				
	increase significantly				

increase significantly						
aconf37.	Answer provided with a	low	medium X	high	level of confidence	
acomm41.	acomm41. Comments:					
	It is assumed that due to climate change the effect of the described species on other objects in Poland will not change. <i>Reynoutria</i> ×bohemica prefers a temperate climate with the mean temperature of the coldest month in the range between 0°C and 18°C, and with					

mean temperature of the warmest month exceeding 10°C (CABI 2018 – B).

Summary

Module	Score	Confidence
Introduction (questions: a06-a08)	1.00	1.00
Establishment (questions: a09-a10)	1.00	1,00
Spread (questions: a11-a12)	1.00	1.00
Environmental impact (questions: a13-a18)	0.65	0.80
Cultivated plants impact (questions: a19-a23)	0.15	0.70
Domesticated animals impact (questions: a24-a26)	0.25	0.50
Human impact (questions: a27-a29)	0.00	1.00
Other impact (questions: a30)	1.00	1.00
Invasion (questions: a06-a12)	1.00	1.00
Negative impact (questions: a13-a30)	1.00	0.80
Overall risk score	1.00	
Category of invasiveness	very invasive ali	en species

A6 | Comments

This assessment is based on information available at the time of its completion. It has to be taken into account, however, that biological invasions are, by definition, very dynamic and unpredictable. This unpredictability includes assessing the consequences of introductions of new alien species and detecting their negative impact. As a result, the assessment of the species may change in time. For this reason it is recommended that it regularly repeated.

acomm42.

Comments:

The assessment of the degree of *Reynourtia* ×*bohemica* invasiveness performed in the case of Poland confirms its status as a "very invasive alien species". The maximum score (1.0) was obtained in the module 'Impact on other objects' (a30). The score for the 'Environmental impact' module (questions a13 - a18) amounted to 0.65, which entitles us to place the species in the "high" impact category (0.61-0.80). At the same time, the species scored zero in the 'Human impact' module (questions: a27-a29), and had low scores in modules: 'Cultivated plants impact' (0.15, questions: a19-a23) and 'Domesticated animal impact' (0.25, questions: a24-a26).

The obtained result is analogous to that of *Reynoutria japonica* Japanese knotweed, yet it should be emphasized that *Reynoutria ×bohemica* is considered to be a taxon with even higher invasive potential compared with its parent species.

Due to the fact that this hybrid is widespread in Poland and presents great ability to spread, and that the current methods of elimination are characterized by low effectiveness at high costs, actions to limit the negative effect of the species on valuable natural areas and further studies leading to the development of more effective methods of combating should be recommended.

Data sources

1. Published results of scientific research (P)

Anioł-Kwiatkowska J, Śliwiński M. 2009. Obce rośliny energetyczne – zagrożenie dla flory Polski. Pamiętnik Puławski 150: 35-44

Bailey JP. 2003. Japanese Knotweed s.l. at home and abroad. In: L. Child, JH. Brock, K. Prach, P. Pyšek., PM. Wade, W. Williamson (eds.), Plant invasions – ecological threats and management solutions. pp. 183-196. Backhuys, Leiden, The Netherlands.

Bailey JP. 2013. The Japanese knotweed invasion viewed as a vast unintentional hybridization experiment. Heredity 110(2): 105-110

Bailey JP, Bímová K, Mandák B. 2009. Asexual spread versus sexual reproduction and evolution in Japanese Knotweed s.l. sets the stage for the "Battle of the Clones". Biological Invasions 11: 1189-1203

Bailey J.P., Child, L. E., Wade, M. 1995. Assessment of the genetic variation and spread of British populations of *Fallopia japonica* and its hybrid *Fallopia ×bohemica*. In: P. Pyšek, K. Prach, M. Rejmanek, M. Wade (eds.), Plant invasions – general aspects and special problems. pp. 141–150. SPB Academic Publishing, Amsterdam.

Bailey JP, Wisskirchen R. 2006. The distribution and origins of *Fallopia ×bohemica* (Polygonaceae) in Europe. Nordic Journal of Botany 24: 173-200

Balogh L. 2008. Japanese, giant and Bohemian knotweed (*Fallopia japonica* (Houtt.) Ronse Decr., *F. sachalinensis* (Frdr. Schmidt) Ronse Decr. and *F. ×bohemica* (Chrtek et Chrtková) J. P. Bailey). In: Z. Botta-Dukát, L. Balogh (eds.), The most important invasive plants in Hungary. pp. 13-33. Institute of Ecology and Botany, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Vácrátót, Hungary.

Beerling DJ, Huntley B, Bailey J. 1995. Climate and the distribution of *Fallopia japonica*: use of an introduced species to test the predictive capacity of response surfaces. Journal of Vegetation Science 6: 269-282

Bergstrom JD, Kallin P, Obropta Ch. 2008. Implementing restoration projects upstream from the Teaneck Creek Conservancy. Urban Habitats 5(1): 166-170

Bímová K., Mandák B., Pyšek P. 2003. Experimental study of vegetative regeneration in four invasive *Reynoutria* taxa (Polygonaceae). Plant Ecology 166(1): 1–11

Bohren C. 2011. Exotic weed contamination in Swiss agriculture and the non-agriculture environment. Agronomy for Sustainable Development 31: 319-327

Buhk C, Thielsch A. 2015. Hybridisation boosts the invasion of an alien species complex: Insights into future invasiveness. Evolution and Systematics 17(4): 274-283

Bzdęga K, Janiak A, Książczyk T, Lewandowska A, Gancarek M, Śliwińska E, Tokarska-Guzik B. 2016. A survey of genetic variation and genome evolution within the invasive *Fallopia* complex. PLoS ONE 11(8): e0161854.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161854

Chen H., Tuck T., Ji X., Zhou X., Kelly G., Cuerrier A., Zhang J. 2013. Quality assessment of Japanese Knotweed (*Fallopia japonica*) grown on Prince Edward Island as source of resveratrol. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 61(26): 6383–6392.

Chmura D, Tokarska-Guzik B, Nowak T, Woźniak G, Bzdęga K, Koszela K, Gancarek M. 2015. The influence of invasive *Fallopia* taxa on resident plant species in two river valleys (southern Poland). Acta Societatis Botanicorum Poloniae 84(1): 23-33

Chrtek J., Chrtková A. 1983. *Reynoutria* × *bohemica*, novy křiženec z čeledi rdesnovitych. Journal of the National Museum (Prague), Natural History Series 152(2): 120

Dassonville N, Guillaumaud N, Piola F, Meerts P, Poly F. 2011. Niche construction by the invasive Asian knotweeds (species complex *Fallopia*): Impact on activity, abundance and community structure of denitrifiers and nitrifiers. Biological Invasions 13: 1115-1133

Duqette MC, Compérot A, Hayes LF, Pagola C, Bezile F, Dubé J, Lavoie C. 2016. From the source to the outlet: understanding the distribution of invasive knotweeds along a North American river. River Research and Applications 32: 958-966 (DOI: 10.1002/rra.2914)

Fojcik B, Tokarska-Guzik B. 2000. *Reynoutria* ×bohemica (Polygonaceae) – nowy takson we florze Polski. Fragmenta Floristica et Geobotanica 7: 63-71

Funkenberg T, Roderus D, Buhk C. 2012. Effects of climatic factors on *Fallopia japonica* s.l. seedling establishment: evidence from laboratory experiments. Plant Species Biology 27(3): 218-225

Gerber E, Krebs C, Murrell C, Moretti M, Rocklin R, Schaffner U. 2008. Exotic invasive knotweeds (*Fallopia* spp.) negatively affect native plant and invertebrate assemblages in European riparian habitats. Biological Conservation 141: 646-654

Gilbert OL. 1992. The ecology of an urban river. British Wildlife 3: 129-136

Gioria M, Osborne B. 2010. Similarities in the impact of three large invasive plant species on soil seed bank communities. Biological Invasions 12(6): 1671-1683

Jalas J, Suominen J. 1979. Atlas Florae Europaeae. 4. Polygonaceae. Committee for Mapping of the Flora of Europe, Helsinki, Finland

Keil P, Alberternst B. 1995. *Reynoutria* × bohemica Chrtek & Chrtkova im westlichen Ruhrgebiet. Natur und Heimat 55: 85–88

Kirpluk I. 2016. Gatunki z rodzaju rdestowiec *Reynoutria* spp. In: A Obidziński, Kołaczkowska E, Otręba A. (eds.). Metody zwalczania obcych gatunków roślin występujących na terenie Puszczy Kampinoskiej. pp. 59-65. Kampinoski Park Narodowy, Izabelin

Koszela K., Tokarska-Guzik B. 2008. Alien plant species in the protected landscape area of the Odra river meanders: habitat preferences and threats. Biodiversity Research and Conservation 9–10: 73–80

Kovářová M, Frantík T, Koblihová H, Bartůňková K, Nývltová Z, Vosátka M. 2011. Effect of clone selection, nitrogen supply, leaf damage and mycorrhizal fungi on stilbene and emodin production in knotweed. BMC Plant Biology 11: 98 (DOI:10.1186/1471-2229-11-98)

Kupryś-Caruk M, Podlaski S, Wiśniewski G. 2014. Przydatność rdestowca czeskiego (*Reynoutria* ×*bohemica* Chrtek & Chrtkova) do produkcji biogazu rolniczego. Zeszyty Problemowe Postępów Nauk Rolniczych 579: 27-36

Lamberti-Raverot B, Piola F, Thiébaut M, Guillard L, Vallier F, i in. 2017. Water dispersal of the invasive complex *Fallopia*: The role of achene morphology. Flora 234: 150-157

Mandák B, Pyšek P, Bímová K. 2004. History of the invasion and distribution of *Reynoutria* taxa in the Czech Republic: a hybrid spreading faster than its parents. Preslia 76: 15–64.

Mirek Z, Piękoś-Mirkowa H, Zając A, Zając M. 2002. Flowering plants and pteridophytes of Poland. A checklist. Biodiversity of Poland 1: 1-442

Moravcová L, Pyšek P, Jarošík V, Zákravský P. 2011. Potential phytotoxic and shading effects of invasive *Fallopia* (Polygonaceae) taxa on the germination of dominant native species. NeoBiota 9: 31-47

Murrell C, Gerber E, Krebs C, Parepa M, Schaffner U, Bossdorf O. 2011. Invasive knotweed affects native plants through allelopathy. American Journal of Botany 98: 38-43

Onete M, Ion R, Florescu L, Manu M, Bodescu FP, Neagoe A. 2015. Arieş river valley as migration corridor for alien plant species and contamination source for surrounding grasslands and agricultural fields. Agronomy 58: 398-405

Parepa M, Markus M, Krebs C, Bossdorf O. 2013. Hybridization increases invasive knotweed success. Evolutionary Applications 1-8

Peng W., Qin R., Li X., Zhou H. 2013. Botany, phytochemistry, pharmacology, and potential application of *Polygonum cuspidatum* Sieb. et Zucc.: a review. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 148: 729–745.

Pude R, Franken H. 2001. Reynoutria bohemica an alternative to Miscanthus giganteus? Bodenkultur 52: 19-27

Regulation 2011. Regulation of the Minister of the Environment of 9 September 2011 on the list of plants and animals of alien species that could be a threat to native species or natural habitats in case of their release into the natural environment (Journal of Laws No 210, item 1260).

Richards CL, Walls RL, Bailey JP, Parameswaran R, George T, Pigliucci M. 2008. Plasticity in salt tolerance traits allows for invasion of novel habitat by Japanese knotweed s.l. (*Fallopia japonica* and *F. ×bohemica*, Polygonaceae). American Journal of Botany 95(8): 931-942

Salles JF, Mallon CA. 2014. Invasive plant species set up their own niche. New Phytologist 204: 435-437

Schuster TM, Reveal JL, Bayly NJ, Kron KA. 2015. An updated molecular phylogeny of Polygonoideae (Polygonaceae): relationships of *Oxygonum*, *Pteroxygonum*, and *Rumex*, and a new circumsciption of *Koenigia*. Taxon 64(6): 1188-1208

Schuster TM, Wilson KL, Kron KA. 2011. Phylogenetic relationships of *Muehlenbeckia*, *Fallopia*, and *Reynoutria* (Polygonaceae) investigated with chloroplast and nuclear sequence data. International Journal of Plant Sciences 172(8): 1053-1066

Siemens TJ, Blossey B. 2007. An evaluation of mechanisms preventing growth and survival of two native species in invasive Bohemian knotweed (*Fallopia* ×bohemica, Polygonaceae). American Journal of Botany 94(5): 776-783

Strašil Z, Kára J. 2010. Study of knotweed (*Reynoutria*) as possible phytomass resource for energy and industrial utilization. Research in Agricultural Engeneering 56(3): 85-91

Strgulc KS, Dolenc KJ. 2015. Sexual reproduction of knotweed (*Fallopia* sect. *Reynoutria*) in Slovenia. Preslia 87: 17-30

Širka H.V., Lakušić D., Šinžar-Sekulić J., Nikolić T., Jovanović S. 2013. *Reynoutria sachalinensis*: a new invasive species to the flora of Serbia and its distribution in SE Europe. Botanica Serbica 37(2): 105–112

Tiébré MS, Vanderhoeven S, Saad L, Mahy G. 2007. Hybridization and sexual reproduction in the invasive alien *Fallopia* (Polygonaceae) complex in Belgium. Annals of Botany 99(1): 193-203

Toews HPC. 2012. Introduction of native tree species in sites invaded by Japanese Knotweed Taxa and a study of its affect of the seedbank, Biology. 41 State University of New York Fredonia, Fredonia.

Tokarska-Guzik B. 2005. The establishment and spread of alien plant species (kenophytes) in the flora of Poland. Prace Uniwersytetu Śląskiego Nr 2372. Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Śląskiego, Katowice.

Tokarska-Guzik B, Bzdęga K, Knapik D, Jenczała G. 2006. Changes in plant species richness in some riparian plant communities as a result of their colonisation by taxa of *Reynoutria* (*Fallopia*). Biodiversity Research and Conservation 1-2: 123-130

Tokarska-Guzik B, Bzdęga K, Tarłowska S, Koszela K. 2009. Gatunki z rodzaju rdestowiec – *Reynoutria* Houtt. (= *Fallopia*). In: Z. Dajdok, P. Pawlaczyk (eds.), Inwazyjne gatunki roślin ekosystemów mokradłowych Polski. pp. 87-99. Wydawnictwo Klubu Przyrodników, Świebodzin.

Tokarska-Guzik B, Fojcik B, Bzdęga K, Urbisz Al, Nowak T, Pasierbiński P, Dajdok Z. 2017. Inwazyjne gatunki z rodzaju rdestowiec *Reynoutria* spp. w Polsce – biologia, ekologia i metody zwalczania. Prace naukowe Uniwersytetu Śląskiego nr 3647, Wydawnictwo Uniwrsytetu Śląskiego, Katowice.

Tokarska-Guzik B, Dajdok Z, Zając M, Zając A, Urbisz A, Danielewicz W, Hołdyński Cz. 2012. Rośliny obcego pochodzenia w Polsce ze szczególnym uwzględnieniem gatunków inwazyjnych {Alien plants in Poland wih particular reference to invasive species]. pp. 196. Generalna Dyrekcja Ochrony Środowiska, Warszawa.

Vrchotová N, Šerá B. 2008. Allelopathic properties of knotweed rhizome extracts. Plant, Soil and Environment 54: 301-303

2. Databases (B)

Alberternst B, Böhmer HJ. 2011. NOBANIS – Invasive Alien Species Fact Sheet – *Fallopia japonica*. – From: Online Database of the European Network on Invasive Alien Species – NOBANIS. (www.nobanis.org) Date of access: 2018-01-18

CABI 2018. Reynoutria ×bohemica Chrtek & Chrtková. (https://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/108332) Date of access: 2018-01-21

The Plant List. 2013. *Reynoutria ×bohemica* Chrtek & Chrtková. (http://www.theplantlist.org) Date of access: 2018-01-09

3. Unpublished data (N)

-

4. Other (I)

GB NNSS. 2018. Bohemian knotweed, *Fallopia japonica* ×*sachalinensis* = *F*. ×*bohemica*. (http://www.nonnativespecies.org//factsheet/factsheet.cfm?speciesId=1497) Data dostępu: 2018-01-25

Tokarska-Guzik B, Bzdęga K, Nowak T, Urbisz Al, Węgrzynek B, Dajdok Z. 2015a. Propozycja listy roślin gatunków obcych, które mogą stanowić zagrożenie dla przyrody Polski i Unii Europejskiej. pp.178 Generalna Dyrekcja Ochrony Środowiska, Warszawa

(https://www.gdos.gov.pl/files/artykuly/5050/PROPOZYCJA_listy_gatunkow_obcych_ver_online.pdf)

Tokarska-Guzik B, Fojcik B, Bzdęga K, Urbisz Al, Nowak T, Pasierbiński P. 2015b. Wytyczne dotyczące zwalczania rdestowców na terenie Polski. pp. 219 Generalna Dyrekcja Ochrony Środowiska, Warszawa

(http://www.gdos.gov.pl/files/artykuly/5050/Wytyczne dotyczace zwalczania rdestowcow na terenie Polski.pdf)

5. Author's own data (A)

Bzdęga K. 2017. Own observations

Bzdęga K, Tokarska-Guzik B. 2006-2017. Own data.

BzdęgaK, Tokarska-Guzik B. 2006-2017. Own observations