REGISTRATION REPORT

Part A

Risk Management

Product code: ADM.00900.I.1.C

Product name: COSAYR

Chemical active substance:

Chlorantraniliprole, 200 g/L SC

Central Zone

Zonal Rapporteur Member State: Poland

NATIONAL ASSESSMENT POLAND

(New authorization)

Applicant: ADAMA Poland Sp. z o. o.

Submission date: October 2022

MS Finalisation date: August 2023 (initial National Assessment)

November 2023, January 2024 (final National Assessment)

Version history

When	What
October 2022	Initial dRR – ADAMA Polska Sp. z o.o.
August 2023	Initial zRMS assessment In order to facilitate tracking of changes of the intended uses of the product due to the performed evaluation, amendments of the GAP table and in the product label (Appendix 2) and Lists of data considered for national authorization (Appendix 4) are highlighted in grey, while not agreed use pattern ~~is struck through and shaded~~.
November 2023	Final report (National Assessment updated following the commenting period) Additional information / assessments included by the zRMS in the report in response to comments received from the cMS and the Applicant are highlighted in yellow. Not agreed or not relevant information are ~~struck through~~ and shaded for transparency.
January 2024	Final report (National Assessment updated after the correction of Appendix 4 prepared by the Applicant) In order to facilitate tracking of changes in the Lists of data considered for national authorization (Appendix 4), amendments are highlighted in turquoise.

Table of Contents

PART A
RISK MANAGEMENT

1 Details of the application

1.1 Application background

Name: ADAMA Polska Sp. z o.o.
Address: 39 Sienna St.
00-121 Warsaw
Poland

1.2 Letters of Access

The Letters of Access are confidential and are provided separately.

1.3 Justification for submission of tests and studies

Data relevant to ADM.00900.I.1.C and required for classification and labelling. Regulatory authorities outside of the EU, also request the data for classification purposes and for meeting their data requirements.

1.4 Data protection claims

Under Article 59, Regulation (EC) 1107/2009, data protection is claimed for the studies as cited in the reference list of the corresponding sections.

2 Details of the authorization decision

2.1 Product identity

Product code	ADM.00900.I.1.C
Product name in MS	COSAYR
Authorization number	n.a.
Function	Insecticide
Applicant	ADAMA Polska Sp. z o.o.
Active substance(s) (incl. content)	Chlorantraniliprole, 200 g/L
Formulation type	Suspension Concentrate [Code: SC]
Packaging	50 mL, 100 mL, 200 mL, 250 mL, 500 mL, 1 L, 5 L, 10 L, 20 L
Coformulants of concern for national authorizations	Not applicable
Restrictions related to identiy	Not applicable
Mandatory tank mixtures	Not applicable
Recommended tank mixtures	Not applicable

2.2 Conclusion

Authorisation of the product ADM.00900.I.1.C for the uses: PLUTMA, BARABR, PIERBR on cabbage; PLUTMA, BARABR on cauliflower and broccoli; PYRUNU on corn; CARPPO on apple; LPTNDE on potato can be granted. PIERBR on cauliflower and broccoli, CARPPO on pear and quince cannot be granted on the grounds of art. 33 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. Authorisation on the grounds of art. 51 is possible for these minor crops.

2.3 Substances of concern for national monitoring

None.

2.4 Classification and labelling

2.4.1 Classification and labelling under Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008

The following classification is proposed in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008:

Hazard class(es), categories:	Aquatic Acute 1 Aquatic Chronic 1

The following labelling information is derived from the classification and to be mentioned in the safety data sheet. The information which is determined for the **label is formatted bold:**

Hazard pictograms:	 GHS09
Signal word:	Warning
Hazard statement(s):	H400 Very toxic to aquatic life **H 410 Very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects**
Precautionary statement(s):	General Precautionary Statement P102: keep out of reach of childred ~~P273: Avoid release to the environment~~ Disposal Precautionary Statement P501: dispose of content/container in accordance with local regulations P391: Collect spillage
Additional labelling phrases:	Contains 1,2-Benzisothiazol-3(2H)-one (2634-33-5). May produce an allergic reaction. [EUH208]

Special rule for labelling of plant protection product (PPP):	
EUH401	To avoid risks to man and the environment, comply with the instructions for use.
Further labelling statements under Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008:	
-	-

See Part C for justifications of the classification and labelling proposals.

2.4.2 Standard phrases under Regulation (EU) No 547/2011

SP 1	Do not contaminate water with the product or its container (Do not clean application equipment near surface water/Avoid contamination via drains from farmyards and roads).

2.4.3 Other phrases (according to Article 65 (3) of the Regulation (EU) No 1107/2009)

Not applicable.

2.5 Risk management

2.5.1 Restrictions linked to the PPP

The authorization of the PPP is linked to the following conditions (mandatory labelling):

Operator protection:	
Respective code if available	No PPE requirements
Worker protection:	
Respective code if available	No PPE requirements
Integrated pest management (IPM)/sustainable use:	
None	-
Environmental protection	
SPe1 SPe3	To protect groundwater do not apply this or any other product containing chlorantraniliprole more than every second year for use in apple, pear and quince (31g a.s./ha). None (potato) For remained uses an unacceptable risk for sediment dwelling organism is concluded.
Other specific restrictions	
Respective code if available	-

The authorization of the PPP is linked to the following conditions (voluntary labelling):

Integrated pest management (IPM)/sustainable use:	
None	-

2.5.2 Specific restrictions linked to the intended uses

Some of the authorised uses are linked to the following conditions in addition to those listed under point 2.5.1 (mandatory labelling):

Integrated pest management (IPM)/sustainable use:		Relevant for use no.
None	-	-
Environmental protection:		Relevant for use no.
None	None for acceptable use in potato. For remained uses an uacceptable risk is concluded.	-

2.6 Intended uses (only NATIONAL GAP)

GAP rev. 2, date: November ~~August~~ 2023

PPP (product name/code):	ADM.00900.I.1.C	Formulation type:	Suspension concentrate (SC) [a, b]
Active substance 1:	Chlorantraniliprole	Conc. of as 1:	200 g/L [c]
Active substance 2:	--	Conc. of as 2:	--
Safener:	--	Conc. of safener:	--
Synergist:	--	Conc. of synergist:	--
Applicant:	~~Country organisation/representative of~~ ADAMA Polska Sp. z o.o, ~~as given in Part A~~	Professional use:	☒
Zone(s):	Central [d]	Non professional use:	☐
Verified by MS:	yes		
Field of use:	Insecticide		

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	10	11	12	13	14	15							
Use -No. [e]	**Member state (s)**	**Crop and/ or situation** (crop destination / purpose of crop)	**F, Fn, Fpn G, Gn, Gpn or I**	**Pests or Group of pests controlled** (additionally: developmental stages of the pest or pest group)	**Application**			**Application rate**			**PHI** (days)	**Remarks:** e.g. safener/synergist per ha e.g. recommended or mandatory tank mixtures [f]	**Overall conclusion**							
					Method /Kind	Timing/ Growth stage of crop BBCH	Max. no. (Min interval) a) per use b) per crop/ season	L product / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/ season	g as/ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/ season	Water L/ha min / max			Phys-chem	Analytical methods	Toxicology	Residues	Fate & bevaviour	Ecotoxicology	Relevance of metabolites in groundwater	Efficacy
1	PL	Head cabbage, Cauliflower, Broccoli	F	*Caterpillars (Plutella xylostella, Mamestra brassicae Pieris brassicae)*	foliar, spraying, overall, LCTM	15 - 49	a) 1 (-) b) 1 (-)	a) 0.14 L/ha b) 0.14 L/ha	a) 28 b) 28	400-600	3	Label range: 0.105 - 0.14 L/ha	A	A	A	A	A	N Sediment dwelling organism D4 (p) A Remained organism	A	A BRSOL: PLUTMA BARABR PIERBR; BRSOB, BRSOK: PLUTMA BARABR N BRSOB, BRSOK: PIERBR (possible registration under art. 51)
2	PL	Corn (grain	F	*Ostrinia nubilalis*	foliar, spraying,	~~20 - 87~~	a) 1 (-) b) 1 (-)	a) 0.14 L/ha	a) 28 b) 28	400-500	14		A	A	A	A	A	N Sediment dwelling	A	A

		and silage)			overall, LCTM	30 - 59		b) 0.14 L/ha										organism D4 (p) A Remined organism		
3	PL	Apple, Pear, Quince	F	*Cydia pomonella*	foliar, air-assisted, overall, HCTM	70-87	a) 1 (-) b) 1 (-)	a) 0.155 L/ha b) 0.155 L/ha	a) 31 b) 31	500-~~1500~~ 1000	14	BAD rate: 100-130 ml/10,000 m² LWA	A	A	A	A	R Biennial application (Hamburg FOCUS PEARL 5.5.5)	N Sediment dwelling organism D4 (p) R Sediment dwelling organism D3 R Aquatic organism D3, D4s, R1 A Remained organism	A	A MABSD N PYUCO CYDOB (possible registration under art. 51)
4	PL	Apple, Pear, Quince	F	*Cydia pomonella*	foliar, air-assisted, overall, HCTM	70-87	a) 1 (-) b) 1 (-)	a) 0.12 L/ha b) 0.12 L/ha	a) 24 b) 24	500-~~1500~~ 1000	14	BAD rate: 100 ml/10,000 m² LWA	A	A	A	A	A	N Sediment dwelling organism D4 (p) R Aquatic organism D3, D4s, R1 A Remained organism	A	A MABSD N PYUCO CYDOB (possible registration under art. 51)
5	PL	Potato	F	*Leptinotarsa decemlineata*	foliar, spraying, overall, LCTM	31 - 60	a) 1 (-) b) 1 (-)	a) 0.06 L/ha b) 0.06 L/ha	a) 12 b) 12	400-600	14		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A

Remarks table heading:

(a) e.g. wettable powder (WP), emulsifiable concentrate (EC), granule (GR)
(b) Catalogue of pesticide formulation types and international coding system CropLife International Technical Monograph n°2, 6th Edition Revised May 2008
(c) g/kg or g/l
(d) Select relevant
(e) Use number(s) in accordance with the list of all intended GAPs in Part B, Section 0 should be given in column 1
(f) No authorization possible for uses where the line is highlighted in grey, Use should be crossed out when the notifier no longer supports this use.

Remarks columns:

1 Numeration necessary to allow references
2 Use official codes/nomenclatures of EU Member States
3 For crops, the EU and Codex classifications (both) should be used; when relevant, the use situation should be described (e.g. fumigation of a structure)
4 F: professional field use, Fn: non-professional field use, Fpn: professional and non-professional field use, G: professional greenhouse use, Gn: non-professional greenhouse use, Gpn: professional and non-professional greenhouse use, I: indoor application
5 Scientific names and EPPO-Codes of target pests/diseases/ weeds or, when relevant, the common names of the pest groups (e.g. biting and sucking insects, soil born insects, foliar fungi, weeds) and the developmental stages of the pests and pest groups at the moment of application must be named.
6 Method, e.g. high volume spraying, low volume spraying, spreading, dusting, drench Kind, e.g. overall, broadcast, aerial spraying, row, individual plant, between the plants - type of equipment used must be indicated.
7 Growth stage at first and last treatment (BBCH Monograph, Growth Stages of Plants, 1997, Blackwell, ISBN 3-8263-3152-4), including where relevant, information on season at time of application
8 The maximum number of application possible under practical conditions of use must be provided.
9 Minimum interval (in days) between applications of the same product
10 For specific uses other specifications might be possible, e.g.: g/m³ in case of fumigation of empty rooms. See also EPPO-Guideline PP 1/239 Dose expression for plant protection products.
11 The dimension (g, kg) must be clearly specified. (Maximum) dose of a.s. per treatment (usually g, kg or L product / ha).
12 If water volume range depends on application equipments (e.g. ULVA or LVA) it should be mentioned under “application: method/kind”.
13 PHI - minimum pre-harvest interval
14 Remarks may include: Extent of use/economic importance/restrictions
15 Overall conclusions - explanation for the column 15 is below *

* Column 15: zRMS conclusion.

A	Acceptable
R	Acceptable with further restriction
C	To be confirmed by cMS
N	Not acceptable / evaluation not possible

3 Background of authorization decision and risk management

3.1 Physical and chemical properties (Part B, Section 2)

The product ADM.00900.I.1.C is a Suspension Concentrate. All studies have been performed in accordance with the current requirements, the critical GAP and the results are deemed to be acceptable. The appearance of the product is that of white – off-white slightly viscous liquid, with light alcoholic odor. It is not explosive and has no oxidizing properties. No flash point was observed below 90ºC. No self-ignition temperature is observed up to 600 ºC. The pH of the neat formulation is 7.8 and in aqueous solution, it has a pH value around 8.3 at 21 °C. There is no effect of low and high temperature on the stability of the formulation, since after 7 days at 0 °C and 14 days at 54 °C in HDPE commercial container, neither the active ingredient content nor the technical properties were changed. The 2 years shelf-life study confirms the stability of the product during 2 years at ambient temperature when stored at ambient temperature in HDPE commercial containers. Its technical characteristics are acceptable for a Suspension Concentrate formulation.

The intended concentration of uses is within the range ~~0.01~~ 0.008 – 0.2%, as tested during determination of the physico-chemical parameters.

Implications for labelling: None.

Compliance with FAO specification: The product complies with FAO specifications.

Compatibility of mixtures: No tank mixes are recommended on the label and therefore no testing has been performed on the compatibility of mixtures.

Nature of the packaging: Information with regard to type, dimension, capacity, size of opening, type of closure, strength, leakproofness, resistance to normal transport & handling, resistance to & compatibility with the contents of the packaging, have been submitted, evaluated and is considered to be acceptable.

3.2 Efficacy (Part B, Section 3)

This draft Registration Report summary supports an Article 33 submission for the authorisation of a new insecticide, ADM.00900.I.1.C. This product contains the active substance chlorantraniliprole 200 g/L, formulated as a Suspension Concentrate (SC). Its intended use as an insecticide for the control of Lepidoptera in brassica vegetable crops (head cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli), potatoes, corn, grapevines and pome fruit (apple, quince, pear) within the Central registration zone.

3.3 Efficacy data

A total of 157 efficacy trials were conducted to evaluate the efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C on the target crops, trials carried out across the Maritime, Northeast and Southeast EPPO zones. 62 of 157 trials have been considered for national assessment. The data is summarized per pest with trials conducted on *Helicoverpa armigera* (8 on corn and sweet corn), *Ostrinia nubilalis* (21 on corn and sweet corn), *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (28 on potato), *Cydia pomonella* (31 on pome fruits), *Lobesia botrana* (22 on grape), *Mamestra brassicae* (22 on vegetable brassicas), *Pieris brassicae* (8 on vegetable brassicas) and *Plutella xylostella* (23 on vegetable brassicas).

The submitted data demonstrate the excellent control of all target pest from ADM.00900.I.1.C when applied according to the proposed GAP on the target crops. The efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C was equivalent or in some cases superior to that achieved by the authorized reference standards.

3.3.1 Information on the occurrence or possible occurrence of the development of resistance

The risk for the development of resistance to the target species was analysed following EPPO guideline PP1/213(4). Chlorantraniliprole is a substituted anthranilamide insecticide belonging to the anthranilic diamide class of insecticides (IRAC MoA classification group 28). It acts as a modulator of ryanodine receptors in the insect neuromuscular system, where it stimulates the release of calcium ions from the internal stores of smooth and striated muscle, resulting in impaired muscle regulation.

There are a limited number of recorded instances of resistance to diamide insecticides in agricultural important pests, with only ten species of insect known to have developed field relevant resistance. The risk of resistance developing to the target pests is considered low to medium.

Taking into consideration the inherent and agronomical risk for resistance development and based on long-term experience, measures for a resistance management should be established for ADM.00900.I.1.C. General guidelines to prevent insecticide resistance development, as recommended by the Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (IRAC) are proposed.

3.3.2 Adverse effects on treated crops

The crop safety of ADM.00900.I.1.C was evaluated in 157 efficacy trials, 2 pest free trials and 12 selectivity taint test trials. The trials conducted across the Maritime, Northeast and Southeast EPPO zones on corn, potatoes, vegetables brassicas, grapevines and pome fruits. No adverse effects on the target crops from ADM.00900.I.1.C applied according to the GAP were observed in any of these trials.

ADM.00900.I.1.C caused no taint in boil and fried potatoes and has no effect on grape vinification processes.

3.3.3 Observations on other undesirable or unintended side-effects

ADM.00900.I.1.C is an insecticide containing the active chlorantraniliprole. It has no herbicidal activity. Vegetative vigour and Seedling emergence data on a range of representative crops proves ADM.00900.I.1.C has no effects on the vegetative vigour and seedling emergence of none target plants. Therefore ADM.00900.I.1.C has no risk to succeeding or adjacent crops.

3.4 Methods of analysis (Part B, Section 5)

Analytic methods for determination of Chlorantraniliprole were evaluated as part of the EU review of Chlorantraniliprole.

3.4.1 Analytical method for the formulation

The summary of the following analytical method for the analysis of the active substance in the formulated product and a corresponding method validation is provided within the submitted dossier. The analysis was done by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with diode array detector (DAD) using external standard technique. The HPLC method, used to quantify the active ingredient in ADM.00900.I.1.C was fully validated. Method validation included linearity, specificity, and confirmation of analyte identification, precision and accuracy.

The relevant impurities in formulated product (acetonitrile, 3-picoline and Methanesulfonic acid) were determined by GC-FID, HS-GS-FID and LC/HRMS.

Residues from Spray Equipment

The effectiveness of standard cleaning procedures according to Good Agriculture Practice was assessed for the product ADM.00900.I.1.C on a theoretical basis. Residues of the plant protection product remaining in the tank after 3 rinses with water and the predicted exposure of non-target crops after re-use of the application equipment were calculated for worst case conditions. Com-pared to the effect levels for non-target plants, residue levels are far below concentrations that might pose a risk for the terrestrial flora including non-target crops. Thus, any detrimental effect on plants from tank residues can widely be excluded.

3.4.2 Analytical methods for residues

During the peer review, an analytical methods were evaluated and validated for the determination of chlorantraniliprole in plant matrices and in food of animal origin, in soil, air and water.

In the EFSA Journal 2013;11(6):3143 – "Peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance chlorantraniliprole" it is stated that *Appropriate LC-MS/MS methods are available for the post-registration monitoring of chlorantraniliprole in food of plant and animal origin with LOQs of 0.01 mg/kg.*
Validated analytical methods based on HPLC-MS/MS or GC-ECD exist for the determination of chlorantraniliprole in soil with LOQs of 0.5 µg/kg or 0.01 mg/kg respectively. Residues of chlorantraniliprole in ground water and surface water can be monitored by HPLC-MS/MS method with LOQ of 0.1 µg/L. Pending on the final residue definition for monitoring, additional information might be required. LC-MS/MS method is available for the determination of chlorantraniliprole in air with LOQ of 0.5 µg/m^3. A method for residues in body fluids and tissues is not required as the active substance is not classified as toxic or very toxic.

The Applicant submitted information that a 2021 extraction efficiency study was performed to which the Applicant has access. Study FMC-51880 (submitted in the renewal dossier Document M-CA, Section 4, Annex Point 4.2/01) compares a number of methods, including the previously assessed monitoring method and the QuEChERS method used in the magnitude of residues studies in this submission, and demonstrates acceptable extraction efficiency in all standard crop matrix types. The above-mentioned study has been provided by the Applicant and evaluated in this registration report by zRMS-PL (see Appendix 2 of Part B5).

A body fluids method for the determination of residues of chlorantraniliprole in plasma and urine has been submitted by Applicant. The limit of quantification was established at 1.0 µg/L. This study has been evaluated and accepted by zRMS-FR in the Registration Report for Chlorantraniliprole 200 g/L SC (April 2022).

The details of the evaluation of new and additional studies are referred in Appendix 2 of Part B5.
No additional data are required to support the intended uses for ADM.00900.I.1.C.

3.5 Mammalian toxicology (Part B, Section 6)

The product ADM.00900.I.1.C containing 200 g Chlorantraniliprole/L has a low toxicity in respect to acute oral, dermal and inhalation routes of exposure, it is not irritant to skin or eyes, and has no skin sensitising potential.
No unacceptable risk for operators, workers, residents and bystanders was identified when the product is used as intended without additional PPE for the operator or worker (Work wear with arms, body and legs covered). No risk mitigation measures will be required.

3.5.1 Acute toxicity

The following tests were performed with Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.00900.I.1.C): acute oral, dermal and inhalation studies, skin irritation (*in vitro* and *in vivo*), eye irritation (*in vitro* and *in vivo*) and skin sensitization (*in vitro* and *in vivo*).

The tests conducted on the Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.00900.I.1.C) did not show acute toxicity in respect to oral, dermal and inhalation routes of exposure, skin or eye irritation potential, or skin sensitization potential.

Consequently, no classification according to CLP Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 was necessary.

3.5.2 Operator exposure

As long as no harmonised approach on the setting of acute reference values for non-dietary human exposure is available, no acute exposure calculations are necessary.

Estimations of potential operator exposure have been undertaken using the following models:

Critical use(s)	• Head cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli (max. 0.14 L product/ha; min. 400 L water/ha) • Corn (max. 0.14 L product/ha; min. 400 L water/ha) • Apple, pear, quince (max. 0.155 L product/ha; min. 500 L water/ha) • Potato (max. 0.06 L product/ha; min. 400 L water/ha)

		Chlorantraniliprole	
Model data	**Level of PPE**	**Total absorbed dose (mg/kg/day)**	**% of systemic AOEL**
Head cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli Vehicle-mounted downward-spraying, outdoor application			
Application rate		0.028 kg a.s/ha	
Spray application (AOEM**;** 75th percentile) Body weight: 60 kg	Work wear (arms, body and legs covered) M/L and A	0.013	3.49
Corn Vehicle-mounted downward-spraying, outdoor application			
Application rate		0.028 kg a.s/ha	
Spray application (AOEM**;** 75th percentile) Body weight: 60 kg	Work wear (arms, body and legs covered) M/L and A	0.013	3.49
Apple, pear, quince Vehicle-mounted upward-spraying, outdoor application			
Application rate		0.031 kg a.s/ha	
Spray application (AOEM**;** 75th percentile) Body weight: 60 kg	Work wear (arms, body and legs covered) M/L and A	0.015	4.07
Potato Vehicle-mounted downward-spraying, outdoor application			
Application rate		0.012 kg a.s/ha	
Spray application (AOEM**;** 75th percentile) Body weight: 60 kg			
	Work wear (arms, body and legs covered) M/L and A	0.006	1.77

It is concluded that the use of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.00900.I.1.C) is at an acceptable risk for the operator without additional PPE (Work wear with arms, body and legs covered).

3.5.3 Worker exposure

Estimations of potential worker exposure have been undertaken using the following models:

Critical use(s)	• Head cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli (max. 1 × 0.14 L product/ha; min. 400 L water/ha) • Corn (max. 1 × 0.14 L product/ha; min. 400 L water/ha) • Apple, pear, quince (max. 1 × 0.155 L product/ha; min. 500 L water/ha) • Potato (max. 2 × 0.06 L product/ha with 7-day interval; min. 400 L water/ha)
Model	Guidance on the assessment of exposure of operators, workers, residents and bystanders in risk assessment for plant protection products; EFSA Journal 2014;12(10):3874 calculator version: 30/03/2015

		Chlorantraniliprole	
Model data	**Level of PPE**	**Total absorbed dose (mg/kg bw/day)**	**% of systemic AOEL**
Head cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli Reaching, picking Outdoor			

		Chlorantraniliprole	
Model data	**Level of PPE**	**Total absorbed dose (mg/kg bw/day)**	**% of systemic AOEL**
Work rate: 8 hours/day DT_{50}: 30 days DFR: 3 µg/cm²/kg a.s./ha			
Number of applications and application rate		1 × 0.028 kg a.s./ha	
Body weight: 60 kg			
	Work wear (arms, body and legs covered) TC: 2500 cm²/person/h	0.014	3.89
	Work wear and gloves (hands, arms, body and legs covered) TC: 580 cm²/person/h	0.003	0.90
Corn Inspection, irrigation Outdoor Work rate: 2 hours/day DT_{50}: 30 days DFR: 3 µg/cm²/kg a.s./ha			
Number of applications and application rate		1 × 0.028 kg a.s./ha	
Body weight: 60 kg			
	Work wear (arms, body and legs covered) TC: 1400 cm²/person/h	0.002	0.54
Apple, pear, quince Searching, reaching, picking Outdoor Work rate: 8 hours/day DT_{50}: 30 days DFR: 3 µg/cm²/kg a.s./ha			
Number of applications and application rate		1 × 0.031 kg a.s./ha	
Body weight: 60 kg			
	Work wear (arms, body and legs covered) TC: 4500 cm²/person/h	0.028	7.75
	Work wear + gloves (hands, arms, body and legs covered) TC: 2250 cm²/person/h	0.014	3.88
Potato Inspection, irrigation Outdoor Work rate: 2 hours/day DT_{50}: 30 days DFR: 3 µg/cm²/kg a.s./ha			
Number of applications and application rate		2 × 0.012 kg a.s./ha with 7-day interval	
Body weight: 60 kg			
	Work wear (arms, body and legs covered) TC: 1400 cm²/person/h	0.002	0.43

It is concluded that, according to the EFSA-OPEX model, there is no unacceptable risk anticipated for

the worker, when re-entering crops treated with Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.00900.I.1.C) without additional PPE (Work wear with arms, body and legs covered).

As a standard rule, it should be mentioned on the label that treated crops should not be re-entered before spray deposits have completely dried.

3.5.4 Bystander and resident exposure

The acute exposure assessment for bystanders covers the exposure that a resident could reasonably be expected to incur in a single day. Therefore, there is no need for a separate acute risk assessment for residents.

No bystander risk assessment is required for PPPs that do not have an acute AOEL. Exposure in this case will be determined by average exposure over a longer duration, and higher exposures on one day will tend to be offset by lower exposures on other days. Therefore, exposure assessment for residents also covers bystander exposure.

The estimation of resident exposure was performed according to the EFSA guidance on "the assessment of exposure of operators, workers, residents and bystanders in risk assessment for plant protection products" (EFSA Journal 2014;12(10):3874). As a worst case, the lowest water volumes applicable for the intended uses have been considered for the bystander and resident assessment.

Critical use(s)	• Head cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli (max. 1 × 0.14 L product/ha; min. 400 L water/ha) • Corn (max. 1 × 0.14 L product/ha; min. 400 L water/ha) • Apple, pear, quince (max. 1 × 0.155 L product/ha; min. 500 L water/ha) • Potato (max. 2 × 0.06 L product/ha with 7-day interval; min. 400 L water/ha)
Model	Guidance on the assessment of exposure of operators, workers, residents and bystanders in risk assessment for plant protection products; EFSA Journal 2014;12(10):3874 calculator version: 30/03/2015

		Chlorantraniliprole	
Model data		**Total absorbed dose (mg/kg bw/day)**	**% of systemic AOEL**
Head cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli Vehicle-mounted downward spraying, outdoor application Buffer zone: 2 – 3 m Drift reduction technology: no DT_{50}: 30 days DFR: 3 µg/cm²/kg a.s./ha			
Number of applications and application rate		1 × 0.028 kg a.s./ha	
Resident child Body weight: 10 kg	Drift (75th perc.)	0.0009	0.26
	Vapour (75th perc.)	0.0011	0.30
	Deposits (75th perc.)	0.0002	0.06
	Re-entry (75th perc.)	0.0024	0.66
	Sum (mean)	**0.0036**	**1.01**
Resident adult Body weight: 60 kg	Drift (75th perc.)	0.0002	0.06
	Vapour (75th perc.)	0.0002	0.06
	Deposits (75th perc.)	0.0000	0.03

		Chlorantraniliprole	
Model data		**Total absorbed dose (mg/kg bw/day)**	**% of systemic AOEL**
	Re-entry (75th perc.)	0.0013	0.36
	Sum (mean)	**0.0015**	**0.40**
Corn Vehicle-mounted downward spraying, outdoor application Buffer zone: 2 – 3 m Drift reduction technology: no DT_{50}: 30 days DFR: 3 µg/cm²/kg a.s./ha			
Number of applications and application rate		1 × 0.028 kg a.s./ha	
Resident child Body weight: 10 kg	Drift (75th perc.)	0.0009	0.26
	Vapour (75th perc.)	0.0011	0.30
	Deposits (75th perc.)	0.0002	0.06
	Re-entry (75th perc.)	0.0024	0.66
	Sum (mean)	**0.0036**	**1.01**
Resident adult Body weight: 60 kg	Drift (75th perc.)	0.0002	0.06
	Vapour (75th perc.)	0.0002	0.06
	Deposits (75th perc.)	0.0000	0.03
	Re-entry (75th perc.)	0.0013	0.36
	Sum (mean)	**0.0015**	**0.40**
Apple, pear, quince Vehicle-mounted upward-spraying, outdoor application Buffer zone: 5 m Drift reduction technology: no DT_{50}: 30 days DFR: 3 µg/cm²/kg a.s./ha			
Number of applications and application rate		1 × 0.031 kg a.s./ha	
Resident child Body weight: 10 kg	Drift (75th perc.)	0.004	1.20
	Vapour (75th perc.)	0.001	0.30
	Deposits (75th perc.)	0.001	0.18
	Re-entry (75th perc.)	0.003	0.73
	Sum (mean)	**0.006**	**1.80**
Resident adult Body weight: 60 kg	Drift (75th perc.)	0.002	0.66
	Vapour (75th perc.)	0.000	0.06
	Deposits (75th perc.)	0.000	0.08
	Re-entry (75th perc.)	0.001	0.40
	Sum (mean)	**0.003**	**0.88**
Potato Vehicle-mounted downward-spraying, outdoor application Buffer zone: 2 – 3 m Drift reduction technology: no DT_{50}: 30 days DFR: 3 µg/cm²/kg a.s./ha			
Number of applications and application rate		2 × 0.012 kg a.s./ha with 7-day interval	

		Chlorantraniliprole	
Model data		**Total absorbed dose (mg/kg bw/day)**	**% of systemic AOEL**
Resident child Body weight: 10 kg	Drift (75th perc.)	0.0004	0.11
	Vapour (75th perc.)	0.0011	0.30
	Deposits (75th perc.)	0.0002	0.05
	Re-entry (75th perc.)	0.0019	0.52
	Sum (mean)	**0.0029**	**0.81**
Resident adult Body weight: 60 kg	Drift (75th perc.)	0.0001	0.03
	Vapour (75th perc.)	0.0002	0.06
	Deposits (75th perc.)	0.0001	0.02
	Re-entry (75th perc.)	0.0010	0.29
	Sum (mean)	**0.0012**	**0.32**

It is concluded that there is no undue risk to both residents and bystander.

Estimated bystander exposure

Since no AAOEL value is set for Chlorantraniliprole, no acute non-dietary risk assessment was performed. Lack of scientific guidance or methodology is an acceptable reason for waiving according to Guidance of the European Commission[1]. The absence of such guidance on derivation of an appropriate reference dose ("AAOEL") was recognized by

- the European Food Safety Authority[2], and
- the European Commission Standing Committee[3].

Therefore, this waiver is presented in line with Guidance of the European Commission.

According to the EFSA-OPEX guidance, a bystander risk assessment is required for plant protection products that have an acute AOEL.

The chronic risk for bystanders however is covered by the chronic risk assessment for residents.

3.6 Residues and consumer exposure (Part B, Section 7)

The data available are considered sufficient for risk assessment. An exceedance of the current MRL for chlorantraniliprole as laid down in Reg. (EU) 396/2005 is not expected.

From the data evaluated during the EU Review, it was concluded that following foliar applications, chlorantraniliprole was metabolised to a very limited extent in plants. The metabolism was more extensive in rice after soil application but chlorantraniliprole still remained the major component of the residues. Based on the available information, the plant residue definition for monitoring and risk assessment was proposed as chlorantraniliprole in the EU Review.

The metabolic fate of chlorantraniliprole in livestock was investigated in hen and goat during the EU

[1] Guidance Document for applicants on preparing dossiers for the approval of a chemical new active substance and for the renewal of approval of a chemical active substance according to Regulation (EU) No 283/2013 and Regulation (EU) No 284/2013. SANCO/10181/2013, May 2013

[2] Guidance on the assessment of exposure of operators, workers, residents and bystanders in risk assessment for plant protection products. EFSA Journal 2014;12(10):3874)

[3] Guidance on the assessment of exposure of operators, workers, residents and bystanders in risk assessment for plant protection products. SANTE-10832-2015

review. Contrary to plants, the metabolism was more extensive with chlorantraniliprole accounting for less than 40% TRR in all animal matrices with the exception of the goat fat where it represented up to 75% TRR. In addition to the parent, metabolites IN-HXH44 and IN-K9T00 were identified in significant proportions. Based on these studies, the residue definition for monitoring was limited to chlorantraniliprole. For risk assessment, the residue definition was proposed as "sum of chlorantraniliprole, IN-HXH44, IN-K9T00 expressed as chlorantraniliprole".

The intended uses of ADM.0900.I.1.C did not significantly modify the theoretical maximum daily intake for animals compared to calculation in the EFSA Reasoned Opinion and regarding available feeding data, there is no risk for animal MRLs to be exceeded. It can be concluded that the existing MRLs on commodities of animal origin accommodate for the intended uses of ADM.0900.I.1.C.

Based on the available data, it was demonstrated that chlorantraniliprole is hydrolytically stable under conditions representative of pasteurization and sterilisation. During conditions representative of baking/brewing/boiling, chlorantraniliprole was slightly degraded to the metabolites IN-ECD73, IN-EQW78 and IN-F6L99. However, it was concluded that chlorantraniliprole alone remains a sufficient marker for the residues in processed commodities. Robust processing factors were submitted during the EU review and substantiated by the additional studies submitted in the framework of this application.

Residues in succeeding crops have been sufficiently investigated in the EU review of chlorantraniliprole and taking into account the specific circumstances of the cGAP uses being considered here. Significant residue levels of chlorantraniliprole are not expected in succeeding crops resulting from the intended uses of ADM.00900.I.1.C and no mitigation measures or withholding periods are deemed necessary.

3.6.1 Residues

The data evaluated during the EU review supports the intended use of ADM.00900.I.1.C and is considered acceptable. Additional studies have been submitted by the applicant in the framework of this application.
The effects of processing on the nature of chlorantraniliprole residues have been investigated. Data on effects of processing on the amount of residue have been submitted.
These data were considered for risk assessment.

Residues in succeeding crops have been sufficiently investigated taking into account the specific circumstances of the cGAP uses being considered here. It is very unlikely that residues will be present in succeeding crops.

Considering dietary burden and based on the intended uses, no significant modification of the intake was calculated for livestock. Further investigation of residues as well as the modification of MRLs in commodities of animal origin is therefore not necessary.

Residue Definitions (EFSA 2020; Reg EU 2022/1343):
Monitoring (Mo) and Risk Assessment (RA): chlorantraniliprole

Apple, pear, quince
Apple and pear are the major crops in northern Europe (SANTE/2019/12752). A minimum of eight trials are required. Quince is the minor crop in N-EU, a minimum of four trials are required.
Based on the SANTE/2019/12752, minimum 4 apples trials (0130010) + pears (0130020) can be used for extrapolation to Whole group Pome fruits (130000) before and after forming of the edible part.

Two new residue studies conducted according to the plant residue definitions for enforcement and for risk assessment were submitted by Applicant in the framework of this application. The trials on apple and pear were conducted according to the residue definition for monitoring and risk assessment with the

following GAP: 1 x 31 g a.s. /ha, application at BBCH 81-85, PHI of 13-14 days, outdoor. The trials are supported by valid storage stability data and validated analytical method.

Residues of chlorantraniliprole in apple or pear at harvest are ranging from <LOD to 0.032 mg/kg at 13 or 14 DAA (commercial harvest). More details of the residue studies on pome fruits are provided in Appendix 2.

Available results show that the in force MRL of chlorantraniliprole on pome fruits of 0.4 mg/kg (Reg. (EU) 2022/1343) will not be exceeded. The current EU MRLs for chlorantraniliprole are sufficient to support the proposed uses.
The proposed uses on apple, pear and quince are considered acceptable.

Grapes
Wine grapes are the major crops in northern Europe (SANTE/2019/12752). A minimum of eight trials are required. Table grapes are the minor crops in N-EU, a minimum of four trials are required.
According to SANTE/2019/12752, extrapolation from table grapes (0151010) and/or wine grapes (0151020) to table grapes and/or wine grapes is possible.

Two new residue studies conducted according to the plant residue definitions for enforcement and for risk assessment were submitted by Applicant in the framework of this application. The trials on table and wine grapes were conducted according to the residue definition for monitoring and risk assessment with the following GAP: 1 x 36 g a.s. /ha, the application was performed 30 (±2) days before harvest (Plot T1) and to support the use on table grapes, the application was performed 3 days before harvest (Plot T2), outdoor. The trials are supported by valid storage stability data and validated analytical method.

After one application in grape 30 (±2) days before harvest with ADM.00900.I.1.C at the rate of 0.180 L/ha, (representing 36 g/ha of chlorantraniliprole), the residues found in treated specimens (plot T1) are ranging from < LOQ to 0.034 mg/kg at 28 - 31 DAA (commercial harvest)
After one application in grape 3 days before harvest with ADM.00900.I.1.C at the rate of 0.180 L/ha, (representing 36 g/ha of chlorantraniliprole), the residues found in treated specimens (plot T2) are ranging from 0.014 to 0.095 mg/kg at 3 DAA (commercial harvest).
More details of the residue studies on grapes are provided in Appendix 2.

Available results show that the in force MRL of chlorantraniliprole on grapes of 1 mg/kg (Reg. (EU) 2022/1343) will not be exceeded. The current EU MRLs for chlorantraniliprole are sufficient to support the proposed uses.
The proposed uses on table and wine grapes are considered acceptable.

Potato
Potato is the major crop in northern Europe (SANTE/2019/12752). A minimum of eight trials are required.

One new residue study conducted according to the plant residue definitions for enforcement and for risk assessment was submitted by Applicant in the framework of this application. Four trials on potatoes were conducted according to the residue definition for monitoring and risk assessment with the following GAP: 2 x 12 g a.s. /ha, the interval between the 2 applications was 7 days and the last application was done 14 (± 1) days before commercial harvest, outdoor. The trials are supported by valid storage stability data and validated analytical method.

Residues of chlorantraniliprole in potatoes at harvest were all below LOQ.
According to SANTE/2019/12752, in the <LOQ situation the number of independent trials may be reduced. The number of trials shall not be below the minimum of four per zone for major crops.
More details of the residue study on potatoes is provided in Appendix 2.

Available results show that the in force MRL of chlorantraniliprole on potatoes of 0.03 mg/kg (Reg. (EU) 2022/1343) will not be exceeded. The current EU MRL for chlorantraniliprole is sufficient to support the proposed use.
The proposed use on potatoes is considered acceptable.

Cauliflower and broccoli
Cauliflower is the major crop in northern Europe (SANTE/2019/12752). A minimum of eight trials are required. Broccoli is the minor crop in N-EU, a minimum of four trials are required.
Based on the SANTE/2019/12752, 4 trials on cauliflower (0241020) + 4 trials broccoli (0241010) can be used for extrapolation to Whole subgroup (a) flowering brassica (0241000) before and after forming of the edible part.

Four new residue studies (four trials on broccoli and four trials on cauliflower) conducted according to the plant residue definitions for enforcement and for risk assessment were submitted by Applicant in the framework of this application. The trials on cauliflower and broccoli were conducted according to the residue definition for monitoring and risk assessment with the following GAP: 1 x 30 g a.s. /ha, application at BBCH 46-49, PHI of 3 days, outdoor. The trials are supported by valid storage stability data and validated analytical method.

Residues of chlorantraniliprole in broccoli at harvest are ranging from 0.024 to 0.063 mg/kg at 3 DAA (commercial harvest).
Residues of chlorantraniliprole in cauliflower at harvest are ranging from below LOQ and 0.012 mg/kg at 3 DAA (commercial harvest).
More details of the residue studies on cauliflower and broccoli are provided in Appendix 2.

Available results show that the in force MRL of chlorantraniliprole on broccoli of 1.5 mg/kg and on califlower of 0.5 mg/kg (Reg. (EU) 2022/1343) will not be exceeded. The current EU MRLs for chlorantraniliprole are sufficient to support the proposed uses.
The proposed uses on cauliflower and broccoli are considered acceptable.

Head cabbage
Head cabbage is the major crop in northern Europe (SANTE/2019/12752). A minimum of eight trials are required.

Two new residue studies (eight trials) conducted according to the plant residue definitions for enforcement and for risk assessment were submitted by Applicant in the framework of this application. The trials on head cabbage were conducted according to the residue definition for monitoring and risk assessment with the following GAP: 1 x 30 g a.s. /ha, application at BBCH 47-49, PHI of 3 days, outdoor. The trials are supported by valid storage stability data and validated analytical method.

Residues of chlorantraniliprole in head cabbage at harvest are ranging from below LOD to 0.074 mg/kg at 3 DAA (commercial harvest).
More details of the residue studies on head cabbage are provided in Appendix 2.

Available results show that the in force MRL of chlorantraniliprole on head cabbage of 2 mg/kg (Reg. (EU) 2022/1343) will not be exceeded. The current EU MRL for chlorantraniliprole is sufficient to support the proposed use.
The proposed use on head cabbage is considered acceptable.

Maize
Maize is the major crop in northern Europe (SANTE/2019/12752). A minimum of eight trials are required.

Two new residue studies (eight trials) conducted according to the plant residue definitions for enforcement and for risk assessment were submitted by Applicant in the framework of this application. The trials on maize were conducted according to the residue definition for monitoring and risk assessment with the following GAP: 1 x 30 g a.s. /ha, application at BBCH 71-87, PHI of 14 days, outdoor. The trials are supported by valid storage stability data and validated analytical method.

Residues of chlorantraniliprole in maize grain at harvest are below LOD at 14 DAA (commercial harvest).
More details of the residue studies on maize are provided in Appendix 2.

Available results show that the in force MRL of chlorantraniliprole on maize of 0.02 mg/kg (Reg. (EU) 2022/1343) will not be exceeded. The current EU MRL for chlorantraniliprole is sufficient to support the proposed use.
The proposed use on maize is considered acceptable.

3.6.2 Consumer exposure

A consumer risk assessment was performed using the EFSA PRIMo model rev. 3.1. TMDI calculations were performed taking into account all commodities for which MRLs have been set for the active substance chlorantraniliprole, using the in-force EU-MRLs as input values. The chronic exposure was up to 3% of the ADI for chlorantraniliprole. As ARfD was not deemed necessary, acute risk assessment is not relevant.

3.7 Environmental fate and behaviour (Part B, Section 8)

3.7.1 Predicted environmental concentrations in soil (PEC_{soil})

Soil exposure for chlorantraniliprole and its metabolites IN-EQW78, IN-ECD73, IN-F6L99, IN-F9N04 and IN-GAZ70 was calculated using approach described in respective FOCUS guidance for the intended uses of ADM.00900.I.1.C For all compounds, EU agreed data were taken into account. Soil exposure for the formulated product was also calculated. Obtained PEC_{SOIL} values were used in the risk assessment for soil organisms.

3.7.2 Predicted environmental concentrations in groundwater (PEC_{gw})

The PEC in groundwater of on chlorantraniliprole and its metabolites IN-EQW78, IN-ECD73, IN-F6L99, IN-F9N04 and IN-GAZ70 were calculated with standard FOCUS scenarios using the modelling software FOCUS PEARL v5.5.5, FOCUS PELMO v6.6.4 and FOCUS MACRO v5.5.4 with the respective FOCUS groundwater scenarios.

Performed calculations resulted with PEC_{GW} values <0.1 µg/L for chlorantraniliprole and its metabolites in all relevant Polish scenarios, demonstrating that no unacceptable leaching of these compounds is expected when ADM.00900.I.1.C is used according to recommendations with only one exception. PEC_{GW} value for chlorantraniliprole was above the threshold concentration of 0.1 µg/L in Hamburg scenario (relevant in Poland) following annual application to apples (1 x 31g a.s./ha). PEC_{GW} were all <0.1 µg/L when application frequency was restricted to one every second year.

Overall, in order to protect groundwater uses of ADM.00900.I.1.C must be restricted to biennial application to apples (1 x 31g a.s./ha).

3.7.3 Predicted environmental concentrations in surface water (PEC_{sw})

The surface water exposure was estimated using the respective FOCUS models. Scenarios relevant for Poland (D3, D4 and R1) were considered in these calculations. EU agreed endpoints and intended use pattern of ADM.00900.I.1.C were considered. The surface water exposure to the formulated product

was calculated using Spray Drift Calculator. Obtained PECsw values were used in the risk assessment for aquatic organisms.

3.7.4 Predicted environmental concentrations in air (PEC_{air})

The vapour pressure at 20°C of the active substance chlorantraniliprole is < 10^{-5} Pa. Hence, chlorantraniliprole is regarded as non-volatile. Therefore, exposure of adjacent surface waters and terrestrial ecosystems by the chlorantraniliprole due to volatilization with subsequent deposition should not be considered.

3.8 Ecotoxicology (Part B, Section 9)

3.8.1 Effects on terrestrial vertebrates

Effects on birds

The assessment of the risk to birds conducted for the use of ADM.00900.I.1.C in leafy vegetables (covering brassicas), maize, pomefruit and potato according to the EFSA *Guidance Document on the Risk Assessment for Birds and Mammals* (EFSA, 2009) leads to the following conclusions:

- Acceptable dietary risk from the active substance Chlorantraniliprole (no relevant metabolite from dietary exposure)
- Acceptable risk from drinking water for the active substance Chlorantraniliprole and its relevant metabolites
- Acceptable risk for fish- and earthworm-eating birds via secondary poisoning for the active substance Chlorantraniliprole and its relevant metabolites.

It is therefore concluded that the use of ADM.00900.I.1.C in the intended crops at the recommended rates poses an acceptable risk to birds.

Effects on terrestrial vertebrates other than birds

The assessment of the risk to mammals conducted for the use of ADM.00900.I.1.C in leafy vegetables (covering brassicas), maize, pomefruit and potato according to the EFSA *Guidance Document on the Risk Assessment for Birds and Mammals* (EFSA, 2009) leads to the following conclusions:

- Acceptable dietary risk from the active substance Chlorantraniliprole (no relevant metabolite for dietary exposure)
- Acceptable risk from drinking water for the active substance Chlorantraniliprole and its relevant metabolites
- Acceptable risk for fish- and earthworm-eating mammals via secondary poisoning for the active substance Chlorantraniliprole and its relevant metabolites.

It is therefore concluded that the use of ADM.00900.I.1.C in the intended crops at the recommended rates poses an acceptable risk to mammals.

Effects on other terrestrial vertebrates' wildlife (reptile and amphibians)

No additional data.

3.8.2 Effects on aquatic species

The risk for aquatic organisms from Chlorantraniliprole from the uses of ADM.00900.I.1.C in in leafy vegetables (covering brassicas), maize, pomefruit and potato, at the relevant intended rates, was examined according to EFSA *Guidance on tiered risk assessment for plant protection products for the*

organisms in edge-of-field surface waters (2013). The most sensitive organisms are aquatic invertebrates with a HC_5 based on SSD of 2.91 µg/L for the water exposure and sediment-dwelling organisms with a NOEC of 5 µg/kg sediment for *Chironomus riparius* for the sediment exposure. The respective assessment factors of 5 and 10 were applied to these endpoints to obtain the RAC of 0.58 µg/L and 0.5 µg/kg sediment respectively.
The risk for aquatic organisms from Chlorantraniliprole from the intended uses of the formulation ADM.00900.I.1.C is acceptable for use in potato only at rate 1 x 12 g a.s/ha, BBCH 31-60.

For remained uses proposed in the GAP for PL an unacceptable risk for sediment dwelling organism was concluded for D4 (pond) scenario with max. buffer zone and drift reduction nozzles such as:
20 m VFS+90% DRN.

The summary of risk mitigation measures for scenarios relevant for Poland based on information from Core Dossier, Part B9 are presented below:

			Risk Mitigation measures	**Risk Mitigation measures FOCUS**			
Use group		**FOCUS Scenario**	**VFS Mod**	**Drift reduction**	**No-spray buffer strip**	**Vegetated strip**	**Risk acceptable?**
		Aquatic organism					
1	**Leafy vegetables** (Head cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli) BBCH 15-49 1 x 28 g a.s./ha	D3	STEP 3				Yes
		D4 (p,s)	STEP 3				
		R1	STEP 3				
		Sediment dwelling organism					
		D3	STEP 3				Yes
		D4 (p)	**5m**				**No**
		D4 (s)	STEP 3				Yes
		R1	STEP 3				Yes
		Aquatic organism					
2	**Maize** BBCH 20-87 1 x 28 g a.s./ha	D3	STEP 3				Yes
		D4(p,s)	STEP 3				
		R1	STEP 3				
		Sediment dwelling organism					
		D3	STEP 3				Yes
		D4 (s)	STEP 3				Yes
		D4 (p)	**5m**	**90%**	**20**	**20**	**No**
		R1	STEP 3				
		Aquatic organism					
3	**Pomefruit** **(**Apple, pear, quince) BBCH 70-87 1 x 31 g a.s./ha	D3	-	50%	10	10	Yes
		D4 (p,s)	-	50%	10	10	Yes
		R1	-	50%	10	10	Yes
		Sediment dwelling organism					
		D3	-	75% or	10	10	Yes
		D4 (p)	-	**90%**	**20**	**20**	**No**
		D4 (s)	-	STEP 3			Yes
		R1	-	STEP 3			Yes
		Aquatic organism					
4	**Pomefruit** **(**Apple, pear, quince) BBCH 70-87 1 x 24 g a.s./ha	D3		50%	10	10	Yes
		D4 (p,s)		50%	10	10	Yes
		R1		50%	10	10	Yes
		Sediment dwelling organism					
		D3	STEP 3				Yes
		D4 (p)		90%	20	20	**No**
		D4 (s)	STEP 3				Yes
		R1	STEP 3				Yes
5	**Potato** BBCH 31-60 1 x 12 g a.s./ha	No mitigation measure required					**Yes**

3.8.3 Effects on bees

An acceptable chronic and acute risk is found for adult and larvae bees for all the intended uses for ADM.00900.I.1.C indicated an acceptable risk when applied at the recommended rates.

3.8.4 Effects on other arthropod species other than bees

The evaluation of the risk for non-target arthropods was performed in accordance with the recommendations of the *Guidance Document on Terrestrial Ecotoxicology*, as provided by the Commission Services (SANCO/10329/2002 rev.2 (final), October 17, 2002), and in consideration of the recommendations of the guidance document ESCORT 2.

To achieve a concise risk assessment, only the uses that lead to the highest PER in- and off-field were investigated:

- For the in-field assessment, the worst-case is represented by the use in wine and table grapes (the crop is not relevant for Poland, but covers all uses intended for Poland) with the highest combination application rate/number of applications, resulting in a $PER_{in\text{-}field}$ of 36 g a.s./ha. With a standard laboratory LR_{50} > 80 g a.s./ha for both the representative species, the in-field risk to non-target arthropods from Chlorantraniliprole is considered acceptable when ADM.00900.I.1.C is applied to all the intended crops at the recommended rates.
- For the off-field assessment, the worst-case is represented by the use in pomefruit with the highest combination application rate/number of applications/drift rate, resulting in a $PER_{off\text{-}field}$ of 0.488 g a.s./ha. With a standard laboratory LR_{50} > 80 g a.s./ha for both the representative species, the off-field risk to non-target arthropods from Chlorantraniliprole is considered acceptable when ADM.00900.I.1.C is applied to all the intended crops at the recommended rates.

3.8.5 Effects on soil organisms

Effects on non-target soil meso- and macrofauna

The evaluation of the risk for earthworms and other non-target soil organisms (meso- and macrofauna) was performed in accordance with the recommendations of the *Guidance Document on Terrestrial Ecotoxicology*, as provided by the Commission Services (SANCO/10329/2002 rev 2 (final), October 17, 2002). To achieve a concise risk assessment, the risk envelope approach was applied and the risk assessment was based on the use in vines at 1 x 36 g a.s./ha at BBCH 57-83 resulting in the highest PEC_{soil} for Chlorantraniliprole and its metabolites (please refer to Part B section 8 for details). The crop is not relevant for Poland, but the risk assessment done for this use covers all uses intended for Poland.

Based on Tier1 calculated PEC_{soil} values (please refer to Part B section 8), the risk from Chlorantraniliprole and its metabolites was found acceptable for earthworms. No unacceptable risk from the metabolites is identified for the most sensitive species, the collembolan *Folsomia candida*, while the risk from the active substance to the predatory mite *Hypoaspis aculeifer* was considered acceptable as well. However, further refinement was required for the risk to *F. candida* from the active substance Chlorantraniliprole.

The risk assessment conducted with refined PEC_{soil} for Chlorantraniliprole resulted in an acceptable risk for *Folsomia candida* from Chlorantraniliprole due to the use of ADM.00900.I.1.C at the recommended rates.

It is therefore concluded that the use of ADM.00900.I.1.C in the intended crops at the recommended rates poses an acceptable risk to the soil meso- and macrofauna.

Effects on soil microbial activity

The evaluation of the risk for soil microorganisms was performed in accordance with the recommendations of the *Guidance Document on Terrestrial Ecotoxicology*, as provided by the Commission Services (SANCO/10329/2002 rev 2 (final), October 17, 2002). To achieve a concise risk assessment, the risk envelope approach was applied and the risk assessment was based on the use in vines at 1 x 36 g a.s./ha at BBCH 57-83 resulting in the highest PEC_{soil} for Chlorantraniliprole and its metabolites (please refer to Part B section 8 for details). The crop is not relevant for Poland, but the risk assessment done for this use covers all uses intended for Poland.

The PEC_{soil} values for Chlorantraniliprole and its metabolites calculated for the use in vines at 1 x 36 g a.s./ha are far below the maximum concentrations with effects ≤ 25%, indicating an acceptable risk to soil micro-organisms. It is therefore concluded that the use of ADM.00900.I.1.C in the intended crops at the recommended rates poses an acceptable risk to soil microorganisms.

3.8.6 Effects on non-target terrestrial plants

The risk assessment is based on the *Guidance Document on Terrestrial Ecotoxicology*, (SANCO/10329/2002 rev.2 final, 2002). To achieve a concise risk assessment, the risk envelope approach is applied. Here, the assessment for the use in pomefruit at 31 g a.s./ha at BBCH 70-87 also covers the risk for non-target terrestrial plants from all other intended uses since the combination of the application rate (31 g a.s./ha) with a high drift rate (15.73%) results in the highest $PER_{off\text{-}field}$.

The risk assessment was conducted with data from ADM.00900.I.1.C and from the EU representative formulation Chlorantraniliprole 20SC. In both cases, the resulting TER values were highly above the trigger of 5, indicating an acceptable risk from Chlorantraniliprole when ADM.00900.I.1.C is applied in pomefruit at 1 x 31 g a.s./ha at BBCH 70-87. Since this use represent the worst-case for the intended uses of ADM.00900.I.1.C, by applying the risk envelop approach, it is considered that the use of ADM.00900.I.1.C in all the intended crops at the recommended rates poses an acceptable risk.

3.8.7 Effects on other terrestrial organisms (Flora and Fauna)

No further relevant data is available and considered necessary.

3.9 Relevance of metabolites (Part B, Section 10)

For the uses under consideration, where PEC_{GW} of chlorantraniliprole are acceptable (i.e. ≤ 0.1 µg/L), there is no metabolite of chlorantraniliprole, which is predicted to occur in groundwater at concentrations above 0.1 µg/L (see dRR Part B Section 8 Point 8.8). Therefore, there is no need to further address the relevance of the metabolites of chlorantraniliprole.

4 Conclusion of the national comparative assessment (Art. 50 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009)

Not relevant.

5 Further information to permit a decision to be made or to support a review of the conditions and restrictions associated with the authorization

Efficacy Section
The following claimed uses have not been accepted to be registered on the grounds of article 33 of regulation 1107/2009:
1.Cauliflower, broccoli: *Pieris brassicae* (PIERBR).
Justification: not supported by efficacy trials, that meet localization requirements.
National registration requirements: possible extrapolation of efficacy data from cabbage, however 2 efficacy trials are required for cauliflower and broccoli altogether.
2. Pear, quince: *Cydia pomonella* (CARPPO).
Justification: not supported by efficacy trials.
National registration requirements: For quince: 6-15 (optimally 10) efficacy trials/ 2 seasons; for pear: possible extrapolation of efficacy data from apple, however 2 efficacy trials are required for pear.

There is possible registration on the grounds of article 51 of regulation 1107/2009 (without efficacy trials) for the following uses: cauliflower, broccoli: *Pieris brassicae* (PIERBR); pear, quince: *Cydia pomonella* (CARPPO).

Additional remarks:
1. National registration requirements are based on the updated harmonization arrangements, updated extrapolation table (update of 15.09.2023), including additional note regarding required trials for extrapolated crops: "*The higher number of trials required refers to plant protection products containing a new active substance, a new mixture of previously unused active substances, a new use*". Two trials are required for extrapolated crops (pear, cauliflower/broccoli), due to no authorization **on the grounds of article 33** of regulation 1107/2009 of chlorantraniliprole products registered in pear, cauliflower or broccoli.
2. Location of required trials: North-East EPPO zone (including Poland) and countries neighbouring Poland (Czech Republic, Germany, Slovakia). Trials from North-East EPPO zone are required for quince (at least 2-3 trials from North-East EPPO zone; other trials can be performed in neighbouring countries), for pear, cauliflower and broccoli. Justification: products with chlorantraniliprole are not registered in pear, quince, cauliflower and broccoli **on the grounds of article 33 of regulation 1107/2009.**

Appendix 1 Copy of the product authorization

Appendix 2 Copy of the product label

Komentarz oceniających:

Etykieta została sprawdzona w zakresie fizykochemii, metod analitycznych, pozostałości, toksykologii i istotności toksykologicznej metabolitów, losu i zachowania, ekotoksykologii oraz skuteczności. Zmiany wynikające z oceny wprowadzono do poniższej etykiety w widoczny sposób, poprzez zaznaczenie ich szarym podświetleniem tekstu (fragmenty dodane) lub ~~przekreśleniem~~ i ~~jasno szarą czcionką~~ (fragmenty usunięte).

Zakres zmian jest następujący:

Sekcja właściwości fizykochemiczne:

1. Środek nie wykazuje właściwości wybuchowych i utleniających, znakowanie środka wynikające z wyżej wymienionych właściwości fizykochemicznych zgodne z zapisami Rozporządzenia Parlamentu Europejskiego i Rady (WE) NR 1272/2008 z dnia 16 grudnia 2008 r. nie jest wymagane.
2. Okres ważności: 2 lata w opakowaniach wykonanych z HDPE na podstawie zaakceptowanego 2-letniego badania stabilności W związku z powyższym, wszystkie opakowania wymienione, w punktach 2.1 dokumentu A i 4.1 Sekcji 1,2,4 można uznać za odpowiednie do celów transportu i magazynowania środka ochrony roślin.
3. Brak uwag do punktów dotyczących warunków przechowywania i bezpiecznego usuwania środka ochrony roślin i opakowania oraz sporządzania cieczy użytkowej.
4. Brak uwag do zapisu nazwy grupy chemicznej, do której przyporządkowano substancję czynną i jej zawartości (gęstość względna zgodnie z punktem 2.6.1 Sekcji 1,2,4 wynosi 1,09).
5. Zgodnie z informacjami zawartymi w punktach IIIA 2.9.1 i IIIA 2.9.2 Sekcji 1,2,4 Raportu Rejestracyjnego środek nie jest dedykowany do łącznego stosowania.

Sekcja skuteczność:.

1. W rozdziale STOSOWANIE ŚRODKA:
   - ujednolicono zapisy dla poszczególnych zastosowań,
   - wykreślono gruszę i pigwę z uwagi na brak badań. Z uwagi na to, że obie uprawy znajdują się na liście upraw małoobszarowych rozporządzenia Ministra Rolnictwa i Rozwoju Wsi z dnia 18 września 2019 r. zmieniającego rozporządzenie w sprawie zastosowań małoobszarowych środka ochrony roślin, istnieje możliwość ich rejestracji w trybie art. 51 rozporządzenia 1107/2009,
   - zmodyfikowano zapisy dla warzyw kapustnych tj. nie uwzględniono zastosowania w zwalczaniu bielinka kapustnika na kalafiorze i brokule (brak badań spełniających wymogi lokalizacyjne dla tych upraw). Z uwagi na to, że obie uprawy znajdują się na liście upraw małoobszarowych rozporządzenia Ministra Rolnictwa i Rozwoju Wsi z dnia 18 września 2019 r. zmieniającego rozporządzenie w sprawie zastosowań małoobszarowych środka ochrony roślin, istnieje możliwość rejestracji tych zastosowań w trybie art. 51 rozporządzenia 1107/2009,
   - w etykiecie środka zaproponowano zapisy dla zastosowań małoobszarowych,
   - dla owoców ziarnkowych zmieniono zakres zalecanej ilości wody – wynikało to z dużych rozbieżności pomiędzy wnioskowaną ilością wody, a ilością wody stosowaną w badaniach,
   - dla zastosowania środka w kukurydzy, zawężono okno aplikacji z BBCH 20-87 na BBCH 30-59 – biorąc pod uwagę termin stosowania środka w badaniach przeprowadzonych w Polsce, w Niemczech i w Czechach.
   - dla zastosowania środka w uprawach wysokich (jabłoń, grusza, pigwa) wprowadzono dodatkową uwagę, aby nie przekraczać maksymalnej dawki na hektar, przy stosowaniu dawki uwzględniającej ścianę powierzchni liściowej (LWA),
2. W rozdziale ŚRODKI OSTROŻNOŚCI, OKRESY KARENCJI I SZCZEGÓLNE WARUNKI STOSOWANIA: zmodyfikowano i rozszerzono zapisy w ramach strategii zarządzania odpornością – zgodnie z rekomendacjami zawartymi w części B3 dRR.

Sekcja metody analityczne:

1. Brak uwag.

Sekcja toksykologia i istotność toksykologiczna metabolitów:

1. W części dotyczącej środków ostrożności dla osób stosujących środek odpowiedni zapis został dostosowany do wymagań harmonizacyjnych w zakresie oceny toksykologicznej środków ochrony roślin (MRiRW aktualizacja 26.10.2021).

Sekcja pozostałości
1. Wprowadzono zapis do etykiety dotyczący roślin następczych: „*Okres od ostatniego zastosowania środka na rośliny do dnia, w którym można siać lub sadzić rośliny uprawiane następczo: nie ma ograniczeń co do okresu od ostatniego zastosowania środka do dnia, w którym można siać lub sadzić rośliny uprawiane następczo*."

Sekcja los i zachowanie w środowisku:
1. Ze względu na ochronę wód podziemnych dodano zwrot wskazujący możliwość stosowania środka ADM.00900.I.1.C oraz innych środków zawierających chlorantraniliprole w sadach jeden raz co dwa lata (zwrot SPe 1) w dawce 0.155 l/ha.

Sekcja ekotoksykologia:
1. Wykreślono zastosowania w jabłoni, kapuście głowiastej białej, kukurydzy, gruszy, pigwie, kalafiorze oraz brokule z uwagi na nieakceptowalne ryzyko dla organizmów wodnych żyjących w osadzie.
2. Wprowadzono zapis P501.

Załącznik do zezwolenia MRiRW nr R-…/2024 z dnia ….2024 r.

Posiadacz zezwolenia:
ADAMA Polska Sp. z o.o., ul. Sienna 39, 00-121 Warszawa, tel.: +48 22 395 66 60, infolinia: +48 22 395 66 66, e-mail: biuro@adama.com, www.adama.com

Podmiot odpowiedzialny za końcowe pakowanie i etykietowanie:
……

ADM.00900.I.1.C (COSAYR 200 SC)

Środek przeznaczony do stosowania przez użytkowników profesjonalnych

Zawartość substancji czynnej:
chlorantraniliprol (związek z grupy antranilowych diamidów) – 200 g/l (18,4%)

Zezwolenie MRiRW nr R - …/2024 z dnia …..2024 r.

Uwaga	
H410	Działa bardzo toksycznie na organizmy wodne, powodując długotrwałe skutki
EUH208 EUH401	Zawiera 1,2-benzoizotiazol-3(2H)-on. Może powodować wystąpienie reakcji alergicznej. W celu uniknięcia zagrożeń dla zdrowia ludzi i środowiska, należy postępować zgodnie z instrukcją użycia.
P280 P391 P501	Stosować rękawice ochronne. Zebrać wyciek. Zawartość/pojemnik usuwać do recyklingu bądź składowania na składowiskach odpowiednich dla pestycydów lub spalania w odpowiednich instalacjach.

OPIS DZIAŁANIA
Środek owadobójczy w formie koncentratu w postaci stężonej zawiesiny do rozcieńczania wodą, o działaniu kontaktowym i żołądkowym, przeznaczony do zwalczania insektów. Na roślinie działa powierzchniowo i wgłębnie. Zgodnie z klasyfikacją IRAC substancja czynna chlorantraniliprol zaliczana jest do grupy 28.

STOSOWANIE ŚRODKA
Środek przeznaczony do stosowania przy użyciu samobieżnych lub ciągnikowych opryskiwaczy sadowniczych lub polowych.

ROŚLINY SADOWICZE
Jabłoń **grusza, pigwa**
Owocówka jabłkóweczka
Maksymalna dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 155 ml/ha.
Zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 120 - 155 ml/ha.
(100-130 ml na 10000 m² LWA - ściany owoconośnej)
Wyższą z zalecanych dawek stosować w przypadku dużej liczebności szkodnika
Termin zabiegu: Zabieg wykonać w okresie intensywnego lotu motyli i składania jaj, począwszy od fazy wzrostu owoców aż do pełnej dojrzałości do zbioru (BBCH 70-87).
Maksymalna liczba zabiegów w sezonie wegetacyjnym: 1 nie częściej niż co dwa lata.
Zalecana ilość wody: 500 - 1500 1000 l/ha

Uwaga: Nie należy przekraczać maksymalnej zalecanej dawki środka 155 ml/ha, nawet jeśli aktualna powierzchnia ściany liścia (LWA) może wskazywać na potrzebę zastosowania wyższej dawki.

Ziemniak
Stonka ziemniaczana (larwy i chrząszcze)
Maksymalna/zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 60 ml/ha.
Termin zabiegu: Zabieg wykonać po wystąpieniu szkodnika, od początku zakrywania międzyrzędzi, aż do początku fazy kwitnienia (BBCH 31-60).
Maksymalna liczba zabiegów w sezonie wegetacyjnym: 1.
Zalecana ilość wody: 400 - 600 l/ha.

Kapusta głowiasta biała **kalafior, brokuł**
Bielinek kapustnik, tantniś krzyżowiaczek, piętnówka kapustnica
Kalafior, brokuł
tantniś krzyżowiaczek, piętnówka kapustnica
Maksymalna dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 140 ml/ha.
Zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 105 - 140 ml/ha.
Wyższą z zalecanych dawek stosować w przypadku dużej liczebności szkodnika.
Termin zabiegu: Opryskiwać po pojawieniu się szkodnika lub pierwszych uszkodzeń. Środek stosować od fazy powyżej 5 liści do fazy gdy główki osiągną typową wielkość, kształt i twardość (BBCH 15-49).
Maksymalna liczba zabiegów w sezonie wegetacyjnym: 1
Zalecana ilość wody: 400-600 l/ha.

Kukurydza
Omacnica prosowianka
Maksymalna/zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 140 ml/ha.
Termin zabiegu: Opryskiwać po pojawieniu się szkodnika lub pierwszych uszkodzeń. Środek stosować od fazy powyżej 9 liści do fazy dojrzałości fizjologicznej, czyli widocznych czarnych punktów u podstawy ziarniaka, gdy zawierają około 60% suchej masy (BBCH 20-87). Środek stosować od

początku fazy rozwoju źdźbła (wydłużania pędu) do końca fazy rozwoju wiechy, czyli do momentu gdy wiecha jest całkowicie widoczna i w pełni ukształtowana (BBCH 30-59).

Maksymalna liczba zabiegów w sezonie wegetacyjnym: 1
Zalecana ilość wody: 400-500 l/ha.

STOSOWANIE ŚRODKA OCHRONY ROŚLIN W UPRAWACH I ZASTOSOWANIACH MAŁOOBSZAROWYCH

Odpowiedzialność za skuteczność działania i fitotoksyczność środka ochrony roślin stosowanego w uprawach małoobszarowych ponosi wyłącznie jego użytkownik

Grusza, pigwa
Owocówka jabłkóweczka
Maksymalna dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 155 ml/ha.
Zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 120 - 155 ml/ha.
(100-130 ml na 10000 m^2 LWA - ściany owoconośnej)
Wyższą z zalecanych dawek stosować w przypadku dużej liczebności szkodnika
Termin zabiegu: Zabieg wykonać w okresie intensywnego lotu motyli i składania jaj, począwszy od fazy wzrostu owoców aż do pełnej dojrzałości do zbioru (BBCH 70-87).
Maksymalna liczba zabiegów w sezonie wegetacyjnym: 1
Zalecana ilość wody: 500-1000 l/ha

Uwaga: Nie należy przekraczać maksymalnej zalecanej dawki środka 155 ml/ha, nawet jeśli aktualna powierzchnia ściany liścia (LWA) może wskazywać na potrzebę zastosowania wyższej dawki.

Kalafior, brokuł
Bielinek kapustnik
Maksymalna dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 140 ml/ha.
Zalecana dawka dla jednorazowego zastosowania: 105 - 140 ml/ha.
Wyższą z zalecanych dawek stosować w przypadku dużej liczebności szkodnika.
Termin zabiegu: Opryskiwać po pojawieniu się szkodnika lub pierwszych uszkodzeń. Środek stosować od fazy powyżej 5 liści do fazy gdy główki osiągną typową wielkość, kształt i twardość (BBCH 15-49).
Maksymalna liczba zabiegów w sezonie wegetacyjnym: 1
Zalecana ilość wody: 400-600 l/ha.

ŚRODKI OSTROŻNOŚCI, OKRESY KARENCJI I SZCZEGÓLNE WARUNKI STOSOWANIA

Okres od ostatniego zastosowania środka do dnia zbioru rośliny uprawnej (okres karencji):
Jabłoń, grusza, pigwa– 14 dni.
Ziemniak – 14 dni.
Kapusta głowiasta biała, kalafior, brokuł – 3 dni
Kukurydza– 7 dni.

Okres od ostatniego zastosowania środka na rośliny do dnia, w którym można siać lub sadzić rośliny uprawiane następczo:
nie ma ograniczeń co do okresu od ostatniego zastosowania środka do dnia, w którym można siać lub sadzić rośliny uprawiane następczo.

1. Środek stosować po przekroczeniu progu szkodliwości dla danego szkodnika
2. Strategia zarządzania odpornością:
   – środek stosować przemiennie ze środkami owadobójczymi zawierającymi substancje czynne

należące do innych grup chemicznych i o innych mechanizmach działania,
- nie stosować środków owadobójczych zawierających substancje czynne z grupy diamidów częściej niż 2 razy w sezonie w tej samej uprawie,
- kolejne pokolenia szkodnika powinny być zwalczane insektycydami należącymi do innej grupy chemicznej i o innym mechanizmie działania, szczególnie gatunki wykazujące wysokie ryzyko powstawania odporności (np. szkodniki mające wiele pokoleń w roku),
- środek stosować wyłącznie w zalecanej dawce, w zalecanym terminie i w zalecanej ilości wody zgodnie z etykietą. Nie należy redukować dawek środka, również w przypadku, gdy jest on składnikiem mieszanin zbiornikowych,
- w miarę możliwości włączyć do przyjętego programu ochrony inne niż chemiczne metody ochrony uprawy przed szkodnikami, zgodne z zasadami integrowanej ochrony roślin,
- po zbiorze, należy usuwać resztki roślinne, które mogłyby stanowić źródło pożywienia czy siedlisko dla przezimowania szkodliwych owadów,
- należy monitorować efektywność działania środka. Stwierdzenie niskiej skuteczności działania, nie będącej następstwem niewłaściwego wykonania zabiegu czy wpływu niekorzystnych warunków pogodowych, może oznaczać wystąpienie odporności owadów. Jeśli istnieje uzasadniona możliwość wystąpienia odporności na stosowany insektycyd, należy skonsultować się z przedstawicielem producenta środka lub doradcą rolniczym w celu uzyskania informacji o najlepszej alternatywnej metodzie zwalczania. W przypadku wystąpienia odporności nie powtarzać aplikacji insektycydem z tej samej grupy MoA.

3. Podczas stosowania nie dopuścić do:
- znoszenia cieczy użytkowej na sąsiednie rośliny uprawne,
- nakładania się cieczy użytkowej na stykach pasów zabiegowych i uwrociach.

SPORZĄDZANIE CIECZY UŻYTKOWEJ

Przed przystąpieniem do sporządzania cieczy użytkowej dokładnie ustalić potrzebną jej ilość. Odmierzoną ilość środka wlać bezpośrednio do zbiornika opryskiwacza napełnionego częściowo wodą (z włączonym mieszadłem). Opróżnione opakowania przepłukać trzykrotnie wodą, a popłuczyny wlać do zbiornika opryskiwacza z cieczą użytkową. Następnie zbiornik opryskiwacza uzupełnić wodą do wymaganej ilości nadal dokładnie mieszając. Opryskiwać z włączonym mieszadłem. Po wlaniu środka do zbiornika opryskiwacza niewyposażonego w mieszadło hydrauliczne, ciecz w zbiorniku mechanicznie wymieszać. Ciecz użytkową sporządzić bezpośrednio przed użyciem.
W przypadku przerw w opryskiwaniu przed ponownym przystąpieniem do pracy dokładnie wymieszać ciecz użytkową w zbiorniku opryskiwacza.

POSTĘPOWANIE Z RESZTKAMI CIECZY UŻYTKOWEJ I MYCIE APARATURY

Resztki cieczy użytkowej należy:
- jeżeli jest to możliwe, po uprzednim rozcieńczeniu zużyć na powierzchni, na której przeprowadzono zabieg, lub
- unieszkodliwić z wykorzystaniem rozwiązań technicznych zapewniających biologiczną degradację substancji czynnych środków ochrony roślin, lub
- unieszkodliwić w inny sposób, zgodny z przepisami o odpadach.

Po pracy aparaturę dokładnie wymyć.
Z wodą użytą do mycia aparatury postąpić tak, jak z resztkami cieczy użytkowej, stosując te same środki ochrony osobistej.

ŚRODKI OSTROŻNOŚCI DLA OSÓB STOSUJĄCYCH ŚRODEK, PRACOWNIKÓW ORAZ OSÓB POSTRONNYCH

Przed zastosowaniem środka należy poinformować o tym fakcie wszystkie zainteresowane strony, które mogą być narażone na znoszenie cieczy użytkowej i które zwróciły się o taką informację.

Nie jeść, nie pić ani nie palić podczas używania produktu.

~~Stosować rękawice ochronne i odzież roboczą w trakcie przygotowywania cieczy użytkowej oraz w trakcie wykonywania zabiegu.~~

Stosować rękawice ochronne i odzież roboczą (kombinezon), w trakcie przygotowywania cieczy użytkowej oraz w trakcie wykonywania zabiegu

Okres od zastosowania środka do dnia, w którym na obszar, na którym zastosowano środek mogą wejść ludzie oraz zostać wprowadzone zwierzęta (okres prewencji):
nie wchodzić do czasu całkowitego wyschnięcia cieczy użytkowej na powierzchni roślin.

ŚRODKI OSTROŻNOŚCI ZWIĄZANE Z OCHRONĄ ŚRODOWISKA NATURALNEGO

Nie zanieczyszczać wód środkiem ochrony roślin lub jego opakowaniem. Nie myć aparatury w pobliżu wód powierzchniowych. Unikać zanieczyszczania wód poprzez rowy odwadniające z gospodarstw i dróg.

~~W przypadku uprawy jabłoni, gruszy i pigwy w dawce 120 ml/ha:~~

~~W celu ochrony organizmów wodnych konieczne jest wyznaczenie strefy ochronnej o szerokości 5 m od zbiorników i cieków wodnych lub zastosowanie technik redukujących znoszenie cieczy użytkowej podczas zabiegu o 50%.~~

~~W przypadku uprawy jabłoni, gruszy i pigwy w dawce 155 ml/ha:~~

~~W celu ochrony organizmów wodnych konieczne jest wyznaczenie strefy ochronnej lub zadarnionej strefy ochronnej o szerokości 10 m od zbiorników i cieków wodnych lub zastosowanie technik redukujących znoszenie cieczy użytkowej podczas zabiegu o 75%.~~

~~W przypadku uprawy kukurydzy:~~
~~W celu ochrony organizmów wodnych konieczne jest wyznaczenie strefy ochronnej lub zadarnionej strefy ochronnej o szerokości 10 m od zbiorników i cieków wodnych.~~

~~W przypadku uprawy kapusty głowiastej białej, kalafiora i brokułu:~~
~~W celu ochrony organizmów wodnych konieczne jest wyznaczenie strefy ochronnej lub zadarnionej strefy ochronnej o szerokości 10 m od zbiorników i cieków wodnych.~~

WARUNKI PRZECHOWYWANIA I BEZPIECZNEGO USUWANIA ŚRODKA OCHRONY ROŚLIN I OPAKOWANIA

Chronić przed dziećmi.
Środek ochrony roślin przechowywać:
– w miejscach lub obiektach, w których zastosowano odpowiednie rozwiązania zabezpieczające przed skażeniem środowiska oraz dostępem osób trzecich,
– w oryginalnych opakowaniach, w sposób uniemożliwiający kontakt z żywnością, napojami lub paszą,
– w temperaturze 0°C-30°C.

Zabrania się wykorzystywania opróżnionych opakowań po środkach ochrony roślin do innych celów.
Niewykorzystany środek przekazać do podmiotu uprawnionego do odbierania odpadów niebezpiecznych.
Opróżnione opakowania po środku zwrócić do sprzedawcy środków ochrony roślin będących środkami niebezpiecznymi.

PIERWSZA POMOC

Antidotum: brak, stosować leczenie objawowe.

W razie konieczności zasięgnięcia porady lekarza, należy pokazać opakowanie lub etykietę.
W razie połknięcia niezwłocznie zasięgnij porady lekarza, należy pokazać opakowanie lub etykietę.

Okres ważności - 2 lata
Data produkcji - .....
Zawartość netto - .....
Nr partii - .....

Appendix 3 Letter of Access

Appendix 4 Lists of data considered for national authorization

List of data submitted by the applicant and relied on

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
KCP 1.3/01	Anonymous	2021 ~~2019~~	Safety Data Sheet – ADM.00900.I.1.C ADAMA Makhteshim Ltd Report no.: not available No GLP Published: no **Submitted in KCP 1.3/01** ~~SAFETY DATA SHEET – ADM.0900.I.1.C~~ ~~ADAMA Makhteshim Ltd., Beer Sheva., Israel~~ ~~Report no.: not available (version 1)~~ ~~GLP: No~~ ~~**Published: No**~~	N	N		ADM
KCP 2.1/01	Tsesin, N.	2019	DETERMINATION OF STORAGE STABILITY AND PHYS-CHEM PROPERTIES OF CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) STORED AT 54 ºC FOR 14 DAYS AND AT 0 ºC FOR 7 DAYS Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.: 000102562 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.1/01**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 2.1/02	Halbwachs, P.	2019a	Odor test on CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) Defitraces (Anadiag group) Report No.: 19-913017-029 Sponsor No.: 000103879 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.1/02**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 2.2.1/01	Halbwachs, P.	2019b	Explosive properties of liquids on CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) Defitraces (Anadiag group) Report No.: 19-913017-030	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			Sponsor No.: 000103880 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.2.1/01**				
KCP 2.2.2/01	Halbwachs, P.	2019c	Oxidising properties of liquids on CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) Defitraces (Anadiag group) Report No.: 19-913017-032 Sponsor No.: 000103881 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.2.2/01**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 2.3.1/01	Tsesin, N.	2019	DETERMINATION OF STORAGE STABILITY AND PHYS-CHEM PROPERTIES OF CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) STORED AT 54 ºC FOR 14 DAYS AND AT 0 ºC FOR 7 DAYS Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.: 000102562 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.1/01**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 2.3.3/01	Halbwachs, P.	2019d	Auto ignition temperature of liquids on CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) Defitraces (Anadiag group) Report No.: 19-913017-031 Sponsor No.: 000103882 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.3.3/01**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 2.4.1/01	Tsesin, N.	2019	DETERMINATION OF STORAGE STABILITY AND PHYS-CHEM PROPERTIES OF CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) STORED AT 54 ºC FOR 14 DAYS AND AT 0 ºC FOR 7 DAYS Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.: 000102562	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.1/01**				
KCP 2.4.2/01	Tsesin, N.	2019	DETERMINATION OF STORAGE STABILITY AND PHYS-CHEM PROPERTIES OF CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) STORED AT 54 ºC FOR 14 DAYS AND AT 0 ºC FOR 7 DAYS Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.: 000102562 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.1/01**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 2.5.1/01	Tsesin, N.	2019b	DETERMINATION OF STORAGE STABILITY AND PHYS-CHEM PROPERTIES OF CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) STORED AT 54 ºC FOR 14 DAYS AND AT 0 ºC FOR 7 DAYS Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.: 000102562 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.1/01**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 2.5.2/01	Tsesin, N.	2019	DETERMINATION OF STORAGE STABILITY AND PHYS-CHEM PROPERTIES OF CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) STORED AT 54 ºC FOR 14 DAYS AND AT 0 ºC FOR 7 DAYS Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.: 000102562 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.1/01**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 2.6.1/01	Tsesin, N.	2019	DETERMINATION OF STORAGE STABILITY AND PHYS-CHEM PROPERTIES OF CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) STORED AT 54 ºC FOR 14 DAYS AND AT 0 ºC FOR 7	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			DAYS Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.: 000102562 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.1/01**				
KCP 2.7.1/01	Tsesin, N.	2019	DETERMINATION OF STORAGE STABILITY AND PHYS-CHEM PROPERTIES OF CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) STORED AT 54 ºC FOR 14 DAYS AND AT 0 ºC FOR 7 DAYS Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.: 000102562 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.1/01**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 2.7.1/02	Srinu, J.	2023	DETERMINATION OF SUSPENSIBILITY OF CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC BEFORE AND AFTER 14 DAYS ACCELERATED STORAGE RCC Laboratories Inddia Private Limited Report No.:13257 Sponsor No.: 000116493 GLP: yes Published: no	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted	Srinu, J.
KCP 2.7.4/01	Tsesin, N.	2019	DETERMINATION OF STORAGE STABILITY AND PHYS-CHEM PROPERTIES OF CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) STORED AT 54 ºC FOR 14 DAYS AND AT 0 ºC FOR 7 DAYS Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.: 000102562 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.1/01**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 2.7.5/01	Tsesin, N.	2021a	DETERMINATION OF STORAGE STABILITY AND PHYSICAL-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C)	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU	ADM

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			STORED AT AMBIENT TEMPERATURE FOR TWO YEARS Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.:000102563.055FL Report No.: 000102563 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.7.5/01**			284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	
KCP 2.8.2/01	Tsesin, N.	2019	DETERMINATION OF STORAGE STABILITY AND PHYS-CHEM PROPERTIES OF CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) STORED AT 54 ºC FOR 14 DAYS AND AT 0 ºC FOR 7 DAYS Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.: 000102562 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.1/01**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 2.8.2/02	Stern, N.	2022	Persistent Foaming Test before storage (non-GLP) Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Adama No.: 000111770 GLP: No **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.8.2/02**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 2.8.3.1/01	Tsesin, N.	2019	DETERMINATION OF STORAGE STABILITY AND PHYS-CHEM PROPERTIES OF CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) STORED AT 54 ºC FOR 14 DAYS AND AT 0 ºC FOR 7 DAYS Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.: 000102562 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.1/01**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 2.8.3.2/01	Tsesin, N.	2019	DETERMINATION OF STORAGE STABILITY AND PHYS-CHEM PROPERTIES OF CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C)	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU	ADM

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			STORED AT 54 ºC FOR 14 DAYS AND AT 0 ºC FOR 7 DAYS Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.: 000102562 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.1/01**			284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	
KCP 2.8.5.1.1/01	Tsesin, N.	2021b	PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION FOR CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.00900.I.1.C) Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.:000109194.087FL Sponsor No.: 000109194 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.8.5.1.1/01**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 2.8.5.1.2/01	Tsesin, N.	2019	DETERMINATION OF STORAGE STABILITY AND PHYS-CHEM PROPERTIES OF CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) STORED AT 54 ºC FOR 14 DAYS AND AT 0 ºC FOR 7 DAYS Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.: 000102562 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.1/01**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 2.8.7.2/01	Tsesin, N.	2019	DETERMINATION OF STORAGE STABILITY AND PHYS-CHEM PROPERTIES OF CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) STORED AT 54 ºC FOR 14 DAYS AND AT 0 ºC FOR 7 DAYS Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.: 000102562 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.1/01**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 6.2	Anonymous	2022	Biological Assessment Dossier for ADM.00900.I.1.C Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
						284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	
KCP 6.2-001	Čáp, J.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Czech Republic, 2021. ZS Nechanice Report no. CZ21IEZEAMX176A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-002	Machalová, O.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Czech Republic, 2021 ZZS Kujavy, s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IEZEAMX176B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-003	Bauer, T.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Czech Republic, 2021 InTec Agro Trials Report no. CZ21IEZEAMX176C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-004	Martin, T.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Germany, 2021 Martin Feldversuchswesen Report no. DE21IEZEAMX548A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-005	Martin, T.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Germany, 2021 Martin Feldversuchswesen Report no. DE21IEZEAMX548B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-006	Martin, T.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Germany, 2021	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			Martin Feldversuchswesen Report no. DE21IEZEAMX548C GEP Unpublished			284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	
~~KCP 6.2 007~~	~~Voisin, J.F.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, France, 2020.~~ ~~AGROTEST FRANCE~~ ~~Report no. FR20IEZEAMX201B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 008~~	~~Voisin, J.F.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, France, 2020.~~ ~~AGROTEST FRANCE~~ ~~Report no. FR20IEZEAMX201C~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 009~~	~~Marie, C.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, France, 2021.~~ ~~AGROTEST FRANCE~~ ~~Report no. FR21IEZEAMX203G~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 010~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2019~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Hungary, 2019~~ ~~SynTech Research Hungary Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU19IEZEAMX112A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 011~~	~~Barasits, T.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in sweet corn, in Hungary, 2020~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU20IEZEAMS210A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.2 012~~	~~Barasits, T.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Helicoverpa armigera in sweet corn in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEZEAMS211A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 013~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEZEAMX210A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 014~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEZEAMX210B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 015~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Helicoverpa armigera in corn in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEZEAMX211A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 016~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Helicoverpa armigera in corn in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEZEAMX211B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 017~~	~~Horváth, Z.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in sweetcorn, in Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft.~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			~~Report no. HU21HEZEAMS176A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~				
~~KCP 6.2 018~~	~~Horváth, Z.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Helicoverpa armigera in sweetcorn in Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEZEAMS177A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 019~~	~~Kondics, D.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEZEAMX176A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 020~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEZEAMX176B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 021~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEZEAMX176C~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 022~~	~~Dr. Labant, A.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Helicoverpa armigera in corn, Hungary, 2021~~ ~~Növénypathyka Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEZEAMX177A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 023~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Helicoverpa armigera in corn Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			~~Report no. HU21HEZEAMX177B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~			~~284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	
~~KCP 6.2-024~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Helicoverpa armigera in corn in Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEZEAMX177C~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2-025~~	~~Nagy, R.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Helicoverpa armigera in corn Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEZEAMX177D~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 6.2-026	Dr. Furman-Frątczak, K.	2019	The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Poland 2019 BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o. Report no. PL19IEZEAMX620A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
~~KCP 6.2-027~~	~~Lunca, A. M.~~	~~2019~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and Selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C on Corn for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in Romania – 2019~~ ~~EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L.~~ ~~Report no. RO19IEZEAMX197A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2-028~~	~~Tuna, V.~~	~~2021~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, ROMANIA, 2021~~ ~~EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L.~~ ~~Report no. RO21IEZEAMX238A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.2-029~~	~~Tuna, V.~~	~~2021~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, ROMANIA, 2021~~ ~~EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L.~~ ~~Report no. RO21IEZEAMX238B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 6.2-030	Vašátková Štanclová, L.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in the Czech Republic, 2021. ZS Nechanice Report no. CZ21IESOLTU175A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-031	Daňa, P.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in the Czech Republic, 2021. ZZS Kujavy, s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IESOLTU175B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-032	Hruška, J.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in the Czech Republic, 2021 ZKUŠEBNÍ STANICE Trutnov s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IESOLTU175C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-033	Bauer, T.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in the Czech Republic, 2021. InTec Agro Trials, s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IESOLTU175D GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-034	Zickart, U.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Germany, 2021	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			BioChem agrar GmbH Report no. DE21IESOLTU546A GEP Unpublished			284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	
KCP 6.2-035	Dr. Maßmann, K.-W.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Germany, 2021 BioChem agrar GmbH Report no. DE21IESOLTU546B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-036	Zickart, U.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Germany, 2021 BioChem agrar GmbH Report no. DE21IESOLTU546C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-037	Zickart, U.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Germany, 2021 BioChem agrar GmbH Report no. DE21IESOLTU546D GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
~~KCP 6.2-038~~	~~Marie, F.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata, In potato, France, 2020~~ ~~CentrExpé~~ ~~Report no. FR20IESOLTU211B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2-039~~	~~Crepin, D.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potato, France, 2020~~ ~~ESSAIS +~~ ~~Report no. FR20IESOLTU211C~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.2 040~~	~~Lunzenfichter, D.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes (France) 2021. QUALIPHYT Report no. FR21IESOLTU201A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 041~~	~~Rivet, J.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes (France) 2021. ESSAIS+ Report no. FR21IESOLTU201H GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 042~~	~~Benczés, B.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in Hungary 2020. CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IESOLTU210A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 043~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in Hungary 2020. CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IESOLTU210B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 044~~	~~Varga, A.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in Hungary 2020. CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IESOLTU211C GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 045~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in Hungary 2020. CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IESOLTU211D GEP~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			~~Unpublished~~				
~~KCP 6.2-046~~	~~Benczés, B.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Hungary, 2021. CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IESOLTU175A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2-047~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Hungary, 2021. CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IESOLTU175B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2-048~~	~~Bese, G.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Hungary, 2021. CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IESOLTU175C GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2-049~~	~~Dr. Labant, A.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Hungary, 2021. Növénypathyka Kft. Report no. HU21IESOLTU175D GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 6.2-050	Dr. Furman-Frątczak, K.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes, Poland 2021. BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o. Report no. PL21IESOLTU245A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-051	Dr. Gajek, D.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes, Poland 2021 Agro Research Consulting Report no. PL21IESOLTU245B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
KCP 6.2-052	Rusek, K.	2021	Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potato, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IESOLTU245C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-053	Glowacki, G.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Poland 2021. Eurofins Agroscience Services Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IESOLTU245D GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-054	Szemendera, A.	2022	Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potato, Poland 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL22IESOLTU112A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-055	Dr. Gajek, D.	2022	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Poland. 2022 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING Report no. PL22IESOLTU112B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
~~KCP 6.2-056~~	~~Botoman, G.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C for control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata on potato GEP Trial, ROMANIA, 2021~~ ~~AgroProspect SRL~~ ~~Report no. RO21IESOLTU234A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2-057~~	~~Botoman, G.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C for control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata on potato GEP Trial, ROMANIA, 2021~~ ~~AgroProspect SRL~~ ~~Report no. RO21IESOLTU234B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
KCP 6.2-058	Čáp, J.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Czech Republic, 2021 ZS Nechanice Report no. CZ21IEYCABB184A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-059	Seidenglanz, M.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Czech Republic, 2021 AGRITEC výzkum šlechtění a služby s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IEYCABB184B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
~~KCP 6.2-060~~	~~Čáp, J.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Czech Republic, 2021~~ ~~ZS Nechanice~~ ~~Report no. CZ21IEYCABB185A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 6.2-061	Bauer, T.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Czech Republic, 2021 InTec Agro Trials, s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IEYCABB185B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-062	Čáp, J.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Czech Republic, 2022 ZS Nechanice Report no. CZ22IEYCABB184A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
KCP 6.2-063	Seidenglanz, M.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, the Czech Republic, 2022 AGRITEC výzkum šlechtění a služby s.r.o. Report no. CZ22IEYCABB184B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
~~KCP 6.2-064~~	~~Čáp, J.~~	~~2022~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, the Czech Republic, 2022~~ ~~ZS Nechanice~~ ~~Report no. CZ22IEYCABB185A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 6.2-065	Bauer, T.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, the Czech Republic, 2022 InTec Agro Trials, s.r.o. Report no. CZ22IEYCABB185B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-066	Torkler, K.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Germany, 2021 QUINTUS GMBH Report no. DE21IEYCABB549C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-067	Torkler, K.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Germany, 2021 QUINTUS GMBH Report no. DE21IEYCABB550C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
KCP 6.2-068	Torkler, K.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Germany, 2022 QUINTUS GMBH Report no. DE22IEYCABB527A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-069	Rohr, J.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Pieris brassicae in brassica crops, Germany, 2022 Trialtec GmbH Report no. DE22IEYCABB527B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
~~KCP 6.2-070~~	~~Gouaille, L.~~	~~2019~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae (BARABR) and Pierris brassicae (PIERBR) in brassica crops, France, 2019~~ ~~BIOTEK Agriculture~~ ~~Report no. FR19IEYCABB102A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2-071~~	~~Rivet, J.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, France, 2021.~~ ~~ESSAIS+~~ ~~Report no. FR21IEYCABB205G~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2-072~~	~~Rivet, J.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, France, 2021.~~ ~~ESSAIS+~~ ~~Report no. FR21IEYCABB205H~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.2 073~~	~~Ducrot, S.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, in France, 2021~~ ~~ANADIAG SAS~~ ~~Report no. FR21IEYCABB206B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 074~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, in Hungary, 2020~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU20IEBRSOL210A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 075~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, in Hungary, 2020~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU20IEBRSOL210B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 076~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, in Hungary, 2020~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU20IEBRSOL211A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 077~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, in Hungary, 2020~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU20IEBRSOL211B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.2 078~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEBRSOL184A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 079~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEBRSOL184B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 080~~	~~Horváth, Z.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEBRSOL184C~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 081~~	~~Varga, A.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEBRSOL184D~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 082~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEBRSOL185A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.2-083~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21HEBRSOL185B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2-084~~	~~Horváth, Z.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21HEBRSOL185C GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2-085~~	~~Varga, A.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21HEBRSOL185D GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 6.2-086	Rusek, K.	2019	Efficacy evaluation of ADM.00900.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostela on cabbage. Poland 2019 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL19IEYCABB621A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-087	Matusiak, J.	2019	Efficacy of ADM.00900.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella on brassica crops, Poland, 2019 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL19IEYCABB621B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-088	Matusiak, J.	2019	Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Mamestra brassicae in cabbage, Poland 2019 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL19IEYCABB622A	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			GEP Unpublished				
KCP 6.2-089	Matusiak, J.	2019	Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Poland, 2019 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL19IEYCABB622B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-090	Szemendera, A.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Plutella xylostella in cabbage, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IEBRSOL243A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-091	Dr. Gajek, D.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Poland 2021 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING Report no. PL21IEBRSOL243B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-092	Szemendera, A.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Mamestra brassicae in cabbage, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IEBRSOL243C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-093	Dr. Gajek, D.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Poland, 2021 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING Report no. PL21IEBRSOL243D GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-094	Szemendera, A.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Mamestra brassicae in cabbage, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o.	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			Report no. PL21IEBRSOL243E GEP Unpublished			284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	
KCP 6.2-095	Szemendera, A.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Mamestra brassicae in cabbage, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IEBRSOL243F GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-096	Szemendera, A.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Plutella xylostella in cabbage, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IEBRSOL243G GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-097	Szemendera, A.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Plutella xylostella in cabbage, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IEBRSOL243H GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
~~KCP 6.2-098~~	~~Pelea, C.~~	~~2019~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C against Plutella xylostella in Brassica , outdoor 2019~~ ~~EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L.~~ ~~Report no. RO19IEYCABB198A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2-099~~	~~Stanciu, A.~~	~~2019~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C against Plutella xylostella in Brassica , outdoor 2019~~ ~~EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L.~~ ~~Report no. RO19IEYCABB198B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.2 100~~	~~Pelea, C.~~	~~2019~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C against Mamestra Brassicae in Brassica , outdoor 2019 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L. Report no. RO19IEYCABB199A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 101~~	~~Stanciu, A.~~	~~2019~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C against Mamestra Brassicae in Brassica , outdoor 2019 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L. Report no. RO19IEYCABB199B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 102~~	~~Tuna, V.~~	~~2021~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Romania, 2021 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L. Report no. RO21IEBRSOL236A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 103~~	~~Tuna, V.~~	~~2021~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, ROMANIA, 2021 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L. Report no. RO21IEBRSOL237A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 104~~	~~Tuna, V.~~	~~2021~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, ROMANIA, 2021 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L. Report no. RO21IEBRSOL237B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
KCP 6.2-105	Hornik, P.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2021. ZS Nechanice Report no. CZ21IEMABSD173A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-106	Hornik, P.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2021. ZS Nechanice Report no. CZ21IEMABSD173B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-107	Richter, T.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2021. PP Trial s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IEMABSD173C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-108	Bauer, T.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2021. InTec Agro trials, s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IEMABSD173D GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-109	Hornik, P.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2022. ZS Nechanice Report no. CZ22IEMABSD173A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
KCP 6.2-110	Richter, T.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2022. PP Trial s.r.o. Report no. CZ22IEMABSD173B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-111	Hetterich, A.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Germany, 2021 Hetterich Fieldwork GbR Report no. DE21IEMABSD545A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-112	Wönckhaus, S.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Germany, 2021 Agrartest GmbH Report no. DE21IEMABSD545D GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-113	Martin, T.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Germany, 2022 Martin Feldversuchswesen Report no. DE22IEMABSD529A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-114	Hetterich, F.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Germany, 2022 Hetterich Fieldwork GbR Report no. DE22IEMABSD529B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-115	Hetterich, F.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Germany, 2022 Hetterich Fieldwork GbR Report no. DE22IEMABSD529C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.2.116~~	~~Voisin, J.F.~~	~~2019~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, France, 2019. AGROTEST FRANCE Report no. FR19IEMABSD101A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2.117~~	~~Governatori, L.~~	~~2022~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, France (MAR zone) 2022 AGRI 2000 France SARL Report no. FR22IEMABSD638B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2.118~~	~~Varga, A.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEMABSD270A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2.119~~	~~Nagy, R.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEMABSD270B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2.120~~	~~Benczés, B.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEMABSD270C GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2.121~~	~~Benczés, B.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IEMABSD173A GEP~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			~~Unpublished~~				
~~KCP 6.2-122~~	~~Szilágyi, G.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft~~ ~~Report no. HU21IEMABSD173B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2-123~~	~~Makó, I.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft~~ ~~Report no. HU21IEMABSD173C~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2-124~~	~~Horváth, Z.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft~~ ~~Report no. HU21IEMABSD173D~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 6.2-125	Dr. Gajek, D.	2019	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Poland, 2019 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING Report no. PL19IEMABSD619A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-126	Ogrodniczek, A.	2021	Efficacy evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Poland, 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IEMABSD244A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-127	Ogrodniczek, A.	2021	Efficacy evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Poland, 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IEMABSD244B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
KCP 6.2-128	Dr. Gajek, D.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Poland 2021 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING Report no. PL21IEMABSD244C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-129	Ogrodniczek, A.	2021	Efficacy evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Poland, 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IEMABSD244E GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-130	Ogrodniczek, A.	2021	Efficacy evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Poland, 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o Report no. PL21IEMABSD244F GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-131	Dr. Gajek, D.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Poland 2022 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING Report no. PL22IEMABSD111A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2-132	Ogrodniczek, A.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Poland 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o Report no. PL22IEMABSD111B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
~~KCP 6.2-133~~	~~Lunca, A. M.~~	~~2019~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C against Cydia pomonella in Apple, outdoor 2019~~ ~~EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L.~~ ~~Report no. RO19IEMABSD200A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.2 134~~	~~Botoman, G.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900. I.1.C for the control of (Cydia pomonella) in apple GEP Trial, ROMANIA, 2021 AgroProspect SRL Report no. RO21IEMABSD233A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 135~~	~~Botoman, G.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900. I.1.C for the control of (Cydia pomonella) in apple GEP Trial, ROMANIA, 2021 AgroProspect SRL Report no. RO21IEMABSD233B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 136~~	~~Richter, T.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Czech Republic, 2021 PP Trial s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IEVITVI174A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 137~~	~~Richter, T.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Czech Republic, 2021 PP Trial s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IEVITVI174B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2 138~~	~~Richter, T.~~	~~2022~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Czech Republic, 2022 PP Trial s.r.o. Report no. CZ22IEVITVI174A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.2.139~~	~~Richter, T.~~	~~2022~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Czech Republic, 2022~~ ~~PP Trial s.r.o.~~ ~~Report no. CZ22IEVITVI174B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2.140~~	~~Hetterich, F.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Germany, 2021~~ ~~Hetterich Fieldwork GbR~~ ~~Report no. DE21IEVITSS543A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2.141~~	~~Hetterich, F.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Germany, 2021~~ ~~Hetterich Fieldwork GbR~~ ~~Report no. DE21IEVITSS543B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2.142~~	~~Hetterich, F.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Germany, 2021~~ ~~Hetterich Fieldwork GbR~~ ~~Report no. DE21IEVITSS543D~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2.143~~	~~Hetterich, F.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Germany, 2021~~ ~~Hetterich Fieldwork GbR~~ ~~Report no. DE21IEVITSS543E~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.2.144~~	~~Martin, T.~~	~~2022~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Germany, 2022~~ ~~Martin Feldversuchswesen~~ ~~Report no. DE22IEVITSS530A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2.145~~	~~Wönckhaus, S.~~	~~2022~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Germany, 2022~~ ~~Agrartest GmbH~~ ~~Report no. DE22IEVITSS530B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2.146~~	~~Lunzenfichter, D.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana in grape, France, 2021.~~ ~~QUALIPHYT~~ ~~Report no. FR21IEVITSS202A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2.147~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana in grape, in Hungary, 2020~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU20IEVITSS210A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2.148~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana in grape, in Hungary, 2020~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU20IEVITSS210B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2.149~~	~~Varga, A.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana in grape, in Hungary, 2020~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU20IEVITSS210C~~ ~~GEP~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			Unpublished				
KCP 6.2 150	Varga, A.	2020	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana in grape, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEVITSS210D GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2 151	Horváth, Z.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IEVITVI174A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2 152	Horváth, Z.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IEVITVI174B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2 153	Szilágyi, G.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana in grape, in Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IEVITVI174C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2 154	Olasz, L.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana in grape, in Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IEVITVI174D GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.2 155	Magyar, B.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Hungary, 2021 FRUCTIKA KFT.	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			~~Report no. HU21HEVITVH174E~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~				
~~KCP 6.2-156~~	~~Tuna, V.~~	~~2021~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, ROMANIA, 2021~~ ~~EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L.~~ ~~Report no. RO21HEVITSS235A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.2-157~~	~~Tuna, V.~~	~~2021~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, ROMANIA, 2021~~ ~~EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L.~~ ~~Report no. RO21HEVITSS235B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 6.4-001 Submitted under KCP 6.2-001	Čáp, J.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Czech Republic, 2021. ZS Nechanice Report no. CZ21IEZEAMX176A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-002 Submitted under KCP 6.2-002	Machalová, O.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Czech Republic, 2021 ZZS Kujavy, s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IEZEAMX176B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-003 Submitted under KCP 6.2-003	Bauer, T.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Czech Republic, 2021 InTec Agro Trials Report no. CZ21IEZEAMX176C GEP	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			Unpublished				
KCP 6.4-004 Submitted under KCP 6.2-004	Martin, T.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Germany, 2021 Martin Feldversuchswesen Report no. DE21IEZEAMX548A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-005 Submitted under KCP 6.2-005	Martin, T.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Germany, 2021 Martin Feldversuchswesen Report no. DE21IEZEAMX548B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-006 Submitted under KCP 6.2-006	Martin, T.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Germany, 2021 Martin Feldversuchswesen Report no. DE21IEZEAMX548C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
~~KCP 6.4-007 Submitted under KCP 6.2-007~~	~~Voisin, J.F.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, France, 2020. AGROTEST FRANCE Report no. FR20IEZEAMX201B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-008 Submitted under KCP 6.2-008~~	~~Voisin, J.F.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, France, 2020. AGROTEST FRANCE Report no. FR20IEZEAMX201C GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-009 Submitted under KCP 6.2-009~~	~~Marie, C.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, France, 2021. AGROTEST FRANCE Report no. FR21IEZEAMX203G GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.4 010 Submitted under KCP 6.2 010~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2019~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Hungary, 2019 SynTech Research Hungary Kft. Report no. HU19IEZEAMX112A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 011 Submitted under KCP 6.2 011~~	~~Barasits, T.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in sweet corn, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEZEAMS210A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 012 Submitted under KCP 6.2 012~~	~~Barasits, T.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Helicoverpa armigera in sweet corn in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEZEAMS211A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 013 Submitted under KCP 6.2 013~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEZEAMX210A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 014 Submitted under KCP 6.2 014~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEZEAMX210B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 015 Submitted under KCP 6.2 015~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Helicoverpa armigera in corn in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEZEAMX211A~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			GEP Unpublished				
KCP 6.4.016 Submitted under KCP 6.2.016	Olasz, L.	2020	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Helicoverpa armigera in corn in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEZEAMX211B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4.017 Submitted under KCP 6.2.017	Horváth, Z.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in sweetcorn, in Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IEZEAMS176A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4.018 Submitted under KCP 6.2.018	Horváth, Z.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Helicoverpa armigera in sweetcorn in Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IEZEAMS177A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4.019 Submitted under KCP 6.2.019	Kondics, D.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IEZEAMX176A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4.020 Submitted under KCP 6.2.020	Olasz, L.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IEZEAMX176B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4.021 Submitted	Olasz, L.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Hungary, 2021	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~under KCP 6.2-021~~			~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEZEAMX176C~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~			~~284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	
~~KCP 6.4-022 Submitted under KCP 6.2-022~~	~~Dr. Labant, A.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Helicoverpa armigera in corn, Hungary, 2021~~ ~~Növénypathyka Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEZEAMX177A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-023 Submitted under KCP 6.2-023~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Helicoverpa armigera in corn Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEZEAMX177B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-024 Submitted under KCP 6.2-024~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Helicoverpa armigera in corn in Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEZEAMX177C~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-025 Submitted under KCP 6.2-025~~	~~Nagy, R.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Helicoverpa armigera in corn Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEZEAMX177D~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 6.4-026 Submitted under KCP 6.2-026	Dr. Furman-Frątczak, K.	2019	The evaluation of efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, Poland 2019 BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o. Report no. PL19IEZEAMX620A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.4-027 Submitted under KCP 6.2-027~~	~~Lunca, A. M.~~	~~2019~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and Selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C on Corn for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in Romania – 2019 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L. Report no. RO19IEZEAMX197A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-028 Submitted under KCP 6.2-028~~	~~Tuna, V.~~	~~2021~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, ROMANIA, 2021 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L. Report no. RO21IEZEAMX238A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-029 Submitted under KCP 6.2-029~~	~~Tuna, V.~~	~~2021~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, ROMANIA, 2021 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L. Report no. RO21IEZEAMX238B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 6.4-030 Submitted under KCP 6.2-030	Vašátková Štanclová, L.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in the Czech Republic, 2021. ZS Nechanice Report no. CZ21IESOLTU175A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-031 Submitted under KCP 6.2-031	Daňa, P.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in the Czech Republic, 2021. ZZS Kujavy, s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IESOLTU175B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
KCP 6.4-032 Submitted under KCP 6.2-032	Hruška, J.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in the Czech Republic, 2021 ZKUŠEBNÍ STANICE Trutnov s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IESOLTU175C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-033 Submitted under KCP 6.2-033	Bauer, T.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in the Czech Republic, 2021. InTec Agro Trials, s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IESOLTU175D GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-034 Submitted under KCP 6.2-034	Zickart, U.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Germany, 2021 BioChem agrar GmbH Report no. DE21IESOLTU546A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-035 Submitted under KCP 6.2-035	Dr. Maßmann, K.-W.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Germany, 2021 BioChem agrar GmbH Report no. DE21IESOLTU546B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-036 Submitted under KCP 6.2-036	Zickart, U.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Germany, 2021 BioChem agrar GmbH Report no. DE21IESOLTU546C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-037 Submitted under KCP 6.2-037	Zickart, U.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Germany, 2021 BioChem agrar GmbH Report no. DE21IESOLTU546D GEP	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			Unpublished				
~~KCP 6.4 038 Submitted under KCP 6.2 038~~	~~Marie, F.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata, In potato, France, 2020 CentrExpé Report no. FR20IESOLTU211B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 039 Submitted under KCP 6.2 039~~	~~Crepin, D.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potato, France, 2020 ESSAIS + Report no. FR20IESOLTU211C GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 040 Submitted under KCP 6.2 040~~	~~Lunzenfichter, D.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes (France) 2021. QUALIPHYT Report no. FR21IESOLTU201A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 041 Submitted under KCP 6.2 041~~	~~Rivet, J.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes (France) 2021. ESSAIS+ Report no. FR21IESOLTU201H GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 042 Submitted under KCP 6.2 042~~	~~Benczés, B.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in Hungary 2020. CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IESOLTU210A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 043 Submitted~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in Hungary 2020.~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~under KCP 6.2-043~~			~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU20IESOLTU210B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~			~~284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	
~~KCP 6.4-044 Submitted under KCP 6.2-044~~	~~Varga, A.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in Hungary 2020.~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU20IESOLTU211C~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-045 Submitted under KCP 6.2-045~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes in Hungary 2020.~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU20IESOLTU211D~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-046 Submitted under KCP 6.2-046~~	~~Benczés, B.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Hungary, 2021.~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU21IESOLTU175A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-047 Submitted under KCP 6.2-047~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Hungary, 2021.~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU21IESOLTU175B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-048 Submitted under KCP 6.2-048~~	~~Bese, G.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Hungary, 2021.~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU21IESOLTU175C~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-049 Submitted~~	~~Dr. Labant, A.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Hungary, 2021.~~ ~~Növénypathyka Kft.~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~under KCP 6.2-049~~			~~Report no. HU21IESOLTU175D~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~			~~284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	
KCP 6.4-050 Submitted under KCP 6.2-050	Dr. Furman-Frątczak, K.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes, Poland 2021. BIOTEK Agriculture Polska Sp. Z o.o. Report no. PL21IESOLTU245A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-051 Submitted under KCP 6.2-051	Dr. Gajek, D.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes, Poland 2021 Agro Research Consulting Report no. PL21IESOLTU245B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-052 Submitted under KCP 6.2-052	Rusek, K.	2021	Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potato, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IESOLTU245C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-053 Submitted under KCP 6.2-053	Glowacki, G.	2021	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Poland 2021. Eurofins Agroscience Services Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IESOLTU245D GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-054 Submitted under KCP 6.2-054	Szemendera, A.	2022	Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potato, Poland 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL22IESOLTU112A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-055 Submitted under KCP 6.2-055	Dr. Gajek, D.	2022	Efficacy trials with ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata in potatoes Poland. 2022 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING Report no. PL22IESOLTU112B	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			GEP Unpublished				
~~KCP 6.4-056 Submitted under KCP 6.2-056~~	~~Botoman, G.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C for control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata on potato GEP Trial, ROMANIA, 2021 AgroProspect SRL Report no. RO21IESOLTU234A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-057 Submitted under KCP 6.2-057~~	~~Botoman, G.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C for control of Leptinotarsa decemlineata on potato GEP Trial, ROMANIA, 2021 AgroProspect SRL Report no. RO21IESOLTU234B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 6.4-058 Submitted under KCP 6.2-058	Čáp, J.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Czech Republic, 2021 ZS Nechanice Report no. CZ21IEYCABB184A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-059 Submitted under KCP 6.2-059	Seidenglanz, M.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Czech Republic, 2021 AGRITEC výzkum šlechtění a služby s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IEYCABB184B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
~~KCP 6.4-060 Submitted under KCP 6.2-060~~	~~Čáp, J.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Czech Republic, 2021 ZS Nechanice Report no. CZ21IEYCABB185A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 6.4-061 Submitted	Bauer, T.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
under KCP 6.2-061			control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Czech Republic, 2021 InTec Agro Trials, s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IEYCABB185B GEP Unpublished			284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	
KCP 6.4-062 Submitted under KCP 6.2-062	Čáp, J.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Czech Republic, 2022 ZS Nechanice Report no. CZ22IEYCABB184A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-063 Submitted under KCP 6.2-063	Seidenglanz, M.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, the Czech Republic, 2022 AGRITEC výzkum šlechtění a služby s.r.o. Report no. CZ22IEYCABB184B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
~~KCP 6.4-064 Submitted under KCP 6.2-064~~	~~Čáp, J.~~	~~2022~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, the Czech Republic, 2022~~ ~~ZS Nechanice~~ ~~Report no. CZ22IEYCABB185A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 6.4-065 Submitted under KCP 6.2-065	Bauer, T.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, the Czech Republic, 2022 InTec Agro Trials, s.r.o. Report no. CZ22IEYCABB185B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
KCP 6.4-066 Submitted under KCP 6.2-066	Torkler, K.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Germany, 2021 QUINTUS GMBH Report no. DE21IEYCABB549C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-067 Submitted under KCP 6.2-067	Torkler, K.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Germany, 2021 QUINTUS GMBH Report no. DE21IEYCABB550C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-068 Submitted under KCP 6.2-068	Torkler, K.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Germany, 2022 QUINTUS GMBH Report no. DE22IEYCABB527A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-069 Submitted under KCP 6.2-069	Rohr, J.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Pieris brassicae in brassica crops, Germany, 2022 Trialtec GmbH Report no. DE22IEYCABB527B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
~~KCP 6.4-070 Submitted under KCP 6.2-070~~	~~Gouaille, L.~~	~~2019~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae (BARABR) and Pierris brassicae (PIERBR) in brassica crops, France, 2019~~ ~~BIOTEK Agriculture~~ ~~Report no. FR19IEYCABB102A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.4.071 Submitted under KCP 6.2.071~~	~~Rivet, J.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, France, 2021. ESSAIS+ Report no. FR21IEYCABB205G GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4.072 Submitted under KCP 6.2.072~~	~~Rivet, J.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, France, 2021. ESSAIS+ Report no. FR21IEYCABB205H GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4.073 Submitted under KCP 6.2.073~~	~~Ducrot, S.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, in France, 2021 ANADIAG SAS Report no. FR21IEYCABB206B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4.074 Submitted under KCP 6.2.074~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEBRSOL210A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4.075 Submitted under KCP 6.2.075~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEBRSOL210B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.4 076 Submitted under KCP 6.2 076~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEBRSOL211A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 077 Submitted under KCP 6.2 077~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEBRSOL211B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 078 Submitted under KCP 6.2 078~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IEBRSOL184A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 079 Submitted under KCP 6.2 079~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IEBRSOL184B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 080 Submitted under KCP 6.2 080~~	~~Horváth, Z.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IEBRSOL184C GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.4-081 Submitted under KCP 6.2-081~~	~~Varga, A.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21HEBRSOL184D GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-082 Submitted under KCP 6.2-082~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21HEBRSOL185A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-083 Submitted under KCP 6.2-083~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21HEBRSOL185B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-084 Submitted under KCP 6.2-084~~	~~Horváth, Z.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21HEBRSOL185C GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-085 Submitted under KCP 6.2-085~~	~~Varga, A.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21HEBRSOL185D GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 6.4-086 Submitted	Rusek, K.	2019	Efficacy evaluation of ADM.00900.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostela on cabbage. Poland 2019	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
under KCP 6.2-086			Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL19IEYCABB621A GEP Unpublished			284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	
KCP 6.4-087 Submitted under KCP 6.2-087	Matusiak, J.	2019	Efficacy of ADM.00900.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella on brassica crops, Poland, 2019 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL19IEYCABB621B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-088 Submitted under KCP 6.2-088	Matusiak, J.	2019	Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Mamestra brassicae in cabbage, Poland 2019 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL19IEYCABB622A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-089 Submitted under KCP 6.2-089	Matusiak, J.	2019	Efficacy of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Poland, 2019 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL19IEYCABB622B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-090 Submitted under KCP 6.2-090	Szemendera, A.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Plutella xylostella in cabbage, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IEBRSOL243A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-091 Submitted under KCP 6.2-091	Dr. Gajek, D.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Poland 2021 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING Report no. PL21IEBRSOL243B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-091 Submitted	Szemendera, A.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Mamestra brassicae in cabbage, Poland 2021	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
under KCP 6.2-092			Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IEBRSOL243C GEP Unpublished			284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	
KCP 6.4-093 Submitted under KCP 6.2-093	Dr. Gajek, D.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, Poland, 2021 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING Report no. PL21IEBRSOL243D GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-094 Submitted under KCP 6.2-094	Szemendera, A.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Mamestra brassicae in cabbage, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IEBRSOL243E GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-095 Submitted under KCP 6.2-095	Szemendera, A.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Mamestra brassicae in cabbage, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IEBRSOL243F GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-096 Submitted under KCP 6.2-096	Szemendera, A.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Plutella xylostella in cabbage, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IEBRSOL243G GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-097 Submitted under KCP 6.2-097	Szemendera, A.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Plutella xylostella in cabbage, Poland 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IEBRSOL243H GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.4.098 Submitted under KCP 6.2.098~~	~~Pelea, C.~~	~~2019~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C against Plutella xylostella in Brassica , outdoor 2019 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L. Report no. RO19IEYCABB198A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4.099 Submitted under KCP 6.2.099~~	~~Stanciu, A.~~	~~2019~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C against Plutella xylostella in Brassica , outdoor 2019 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L. Report no. RO19IEYCABB198B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4.100 Submitted under KCP 6.2.100~~	~~Pelea, C.~~	~~2019~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C against Mamestra Brassicae in Brassica , outdoor 2019 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L. Report no. RO19IEYCABB199A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4.101 Submitted under KCP 6.2.101~~	~~Stanciu, A.~~	~~2019~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C against Mamestra Brassicae in Brassica , outdoor 2019 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L. Report no. RO19IEYCABB199B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4.102 Submitted under KCP 6.2.102~~	~~Tuna, V.~~	~~2021~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, Romania, 2021 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L. Report no. RO21IEBRSOL236A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.4-103 Submitted under KCP 6.2-103~~	~~Tuna, V.~~	~~2021~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, ROMANIA, 2021 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L. Report no. RO21IEBRSOL237A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-104 Submitted under KCP 6.2-104~~	~~Tuna, V.~~	~~2021~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Mamestra brassicae in brassica crops, ROMANIA, 2021 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L. Report no. RO21IEBRSOL237B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 6.4-105 Submitted under KCP 6.2-105	Hornik, P.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2021. ZS Nechanice Report no. CZ21IEMABSD173A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-106 Submitted under KCP 6.2-106	Hornik, P.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2021. ZS Nechanice Report no. CZ21IEMABSD173B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-107 Submitted under KCP 6.2-107	Richter, T.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2021. PP Trial s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IEMABSD173C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
KCP 6.4-108 Submitted under KCP 6.2-108	Bauer, T.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2021. InTec Agro trials, s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IEMABSD173D GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-109 Submitted under KCP 6.2-109	Hornik, P.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2022. ZS Nechanice Report no. CZ22IEMABSD173A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-110 Submitted under KCP 6.2-110	Richter, T.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Czech Republic, 2022. PP Trial s.r.o. Report no. CZ22IEMABSD173B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-111 Submitted under KCP 6.2-111	Hetterich, A.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Germany, 2021 Hetterich Fieldwork GbR Report no. DE21IEMABSD545A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-112 Submitted under KCP 6.2-112	Wönckhaus, S.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Germany, 2021 Agrartest GmbH Report no. DE21IEMABSD545D GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-113 Submitted under KCP 6.2-113	Martin, T.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Germany, 2022 Martin Feldversuchswesen Report no. DE22IEMABSD529A	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			GEP Unpublished				
KCP 6.4-114 Submitted under KCP 6.2-114	Hetterich, F.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Germany, 2022 Hetterich Fieldwork GbR Report no. DE22IEMABSD529B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-115 Submitted under KCP 6.2-115	Hetterich, F.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Germany, 2022 Hetterich Fieldwork GbR Report no. DE22IEMABSD529C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
~~KCP 6.4-116 Submitted under KCP 6.2-116~~	~~Voisin, J.F.~~	~~2019~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, France, 2019. AGROTEST FRANCE Report no. FR19IEMABSD101A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-117 Submitted under KCP 6.2-117~~	~~Governatori, L.~~	~~2022~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, France (MAR zone) 2022 AGRI 2000 France SARL Report no. FR22IEMABSD638B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-118 Submitted under KCP 6.2-118~~	~~Varga, A.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEMABSD270A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-119 Submitted~~	~~Nagy, R.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft.~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~under KCP 6.2-119~~			~~Report no. HU20IEMABSD270B GEP Unpublished~~			~~284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	
~~KCP 6.4-120 Submitted under KCP 6.2-120~~	~~Benezés, B.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEMABSD270C GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-121 Submitted under KCP 6.2-121~~	~~Benezés, B.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IEMABSD173A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-122 Submitted under KCP 6.2-122~~	~~Szilágyi, G.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft Report no. HU21IEMABSD173B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-123 Submitted under KCP 6.2-123~~	~~Makó, I.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft Report no. HU21IEMABSD173C GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-124 Submitted under KCP 6.2-124~~	~~Horváth, Z.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft Report no. HU21IEMABSD173D GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 6.4-125 Submitted under KCP 6.2-125	Dr. Gajek, D.	2019	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Poland, 2019 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING Report no. PL19IEMABSD619A	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			GEP Unpublished				
KCP 6.4-126 Submitted under KCP 6.2-126	Ogrodniczek, A.	2021	Efficacy evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Poland, 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IEMABSD244A GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-127 Submitted under KCP 6.2-127	Ogrodniczek, A.	2021	Efficacy evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Poland, 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IEMABSD244B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-128 Submitted under KCP 6.2-128	Dr. Gajek, D.	2021	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Poland 2021 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING Report no. PL21IEMABSD244C GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-129 Submitted under KCP 6.2-129	Ogrodniczek, A.	2021	Efficacy evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Poland, 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o. Report no. PL21IEMABSD244E GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-130 Submitted under KCP 6.2-130	Ogrodniczek, A.	2021	Efficacy evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C in control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Poland, 2021 Fertico Sp. z o.o Report no. PL21IEMABSD244F GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-131 Submitted under KCP 6.2-131	Dr. Gajek, D.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Poland 2022 AGRO RESEARCH CONSULTING Report no. PL22IEMABSD111A GEP	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			Unpublished				
KCP 6.4-132 Submitted under KCP 6.2-132	Ogrodniczek, A.	2022	Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Cydia pomonella in apple, Poland 2022 Fertico Sp. z o.o Report no. PL22IEMABSD111B GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
~~KCP 6.4-133 Submitted under KCP 6.2-133~~	~~Lunca, A. M.~~	~~2019~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C against Cydia pomonella in Apple, outdoor 2019 EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L. Report no. RO19IEMABSD200A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-134 Submitted under KCP 6.2-134~~	~~Botoman, G.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900. I.1.C for the control of (Cydia pomonella) in apple GEP Trial, ROMANIA, 2021 AgroProspect SRL Report no. RO21IEMABSD233A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-135 Submitted under KCP 6.2-135~~	~~Botoman, G.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900. I.1.C for the control of (Cydia pomonella) in apple GEP Trial, ROMANIA, 2021 AgroProspect SRL Report no. RO21IEMABSD233B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-136 Submitted under KCP 6.2-136~~	~~Richter, T.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Czech Republic, 2021 PP Trial s.r.o. Report no. CZ21IEVITVI174A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.4 137 Submitted under KCP 6.2 137~~	~~Richter, T.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Czech Republic, 2021~~ ~~PP Trial s.r.o.~~ ~~Report no. CZ21IEVITVI174B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 138 Submitted under KCP 6.2 138~~	~~Richter, T.~~	~~2022~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Czech Republic, 2022~~ ~~PP Trial s.r.o.~~ ~~Report no. CZ22IEVITVI174A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 139 Submitted under KCP 6.2 139~~	~~Richter, T.~~	~~2022~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Czech Republic, 2022~~ ~~PP Trial s.r.o.~~ ~~Report no. CZ22IEVITVI174B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 140 Submitted under KCP 6.2 140~~	~~Hetterich, F.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Germany, 2021~~ ~~Hetterich Fieldwork GbR~~ ~~Report no. DE21IEVITSS543A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 141 Submitted under KCP 6.2 141~~	~~Hetterich, F.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Germany, 2021~~ ~~Hetterich Fieldwork GbR~~ ~~Report no. DE21IEVITSS543B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.4.142 Submitted under KCP 6.2.142~~	~~Hetterich, F.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Germany, 2021 Hetterich Fieldwork GbR Report no. DE21IEVITSS543D GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4.143 Submitted under KCP 6.2.143~~	~~Hetterich, F.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Germany, 2021 Hetterich Fieldwork GbR Report no. DE21IEVITSS543E GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4.144 Submitted under KCP 6.2.144~~	~~Martin, T.~~	~~2022~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Germany, 2022 Martin Feldversuchswesen Report no. DE22IEVITSS530A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4.145 Submitted under KCP 6.2.145~~	~~Wönekhaus, S.~~	~~2022~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Germany, 2022 Agrartest GmbH Report no. DE22IEVITSS530B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4.146 Submitted under KCP 6.2.146~~	~~Lunzenfichter, D.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana in grape, France, 2021. QUALIPHYT Report no. FR21IEVITSS202A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4.147 Submitted~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana in grape, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft.~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~under KCP 6.2 147~~			~~Report no. HU20IEVITSS210A GEP Unpublished~~			~~284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	
~~KCP 6.4 148 Submitted under KCP 6.2 148~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana in grape, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEVITSS210B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 149 Submitted under KCP 6.2 149~~	~~Varga, A.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana in grape, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEVITSS210C GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 150 Submitted under KCP 6.2 150~~	~~Varga, A.~~	~~2020~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana in grape, in Hungary, 2020 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU20IEVITSS210D GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 151 Submitted under KCP 6.2 151~~	~~Horváth, Z.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IEVITVI174A GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 152 Submitted under KCP 6.2 152~~	~~Horváth, Z.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Hungary, 2021 CPR Europe Kft. Report no. HU21IEVITVI174B GEP Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 153 Submitted~~	~~Szilágyi, G.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana in grape, in Hungary, 2021~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~under KCP 6.2 153~~			~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEVITVI174C~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~			~~284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	
~~KCP 6.4 154 Submitted under KCP 6.2 154~~	~~Olasz, L.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana in grape, in Hungary, 2021~~ ~~CPR Europe Kft.~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEVITVI174D~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 155 Submitted under KCP 6.2 155~~	~~Magyar, B.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, Hungary, 2021~~ ~~FRUCTIKA KFT.~~ ~~Report no. HU21HEVITVI174E~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 156 Submitted under KCP 6.2 156~~	~~Tuna, V.~~	~~2021~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, ROMANIA, 2021~~ ~~EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L.~~ ~~Report no. RO21HEVITSS235A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 157 Submitted under KCP 6.2 157~~	~~Tuna, V.~~	~~2021~~	~~Determination of Efficacy and selectivity of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Lobesia botrana or Eupoecilia ambiguella in grape, ROMANIA, 2021~~ ~~EUROFINS AGROSCIENCE SERVICES S.R.L.~~ ~~Report no. RO21HEVITSS235B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4 158~~	~~Ducrot, S.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Ostrinia nubilalis in corn, in France, 2021~~ ~~ANADIAG SAS~~ ~~Report no. FR21IEZEAMX205C~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
~~KCP 6.4-159~~	~~Ducrot, S.~~	~~2021~~	~~Efficacy and selectivity evaluation of ADM.00900.I.1.C for the control of Plutella xylostella in brassica crops, in France, 2021~~ ~~ANADIAG SAS~~ ~~Report no. FR21IEYCABB205E~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 6.4-160	Jahn, S.	2021	Boiling and taste testing of table potatoes Treated with ADM.00900.I.1.C or Coragen BioChem agrar GmbH Report no. DE21OESOLTU547A_boiling GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-161	Jahn, S.	2021	Frying and taste testing of table potatoes Treated with ADM.00900.I.1.C or Coragen BioChem agrar GmbH Report no. DE21OESOLTU547A_frying GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-162	Seifert, M.	2021	Boiling and taste testing of table potatoes Treated with ADM.00900.I.1.C or Coragen BioChem agrar GmbH Report no. DE21OESOLTU547B_boiling GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-163	Seifert, M.	2021	Frying and taste testing of table potatoes Treated with ADM.00900.I.1.C or Coragen BioChem agrar GmbH Report no. DE21OESOLTU547B_frying GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-164	Jahn, S.	2021	Boiling and taste testing of table potatoes Treated with ADM.00900.I.1.C or Coragen BioChem agrar GmbH Report no. DE21OESOLTU547C_boiling GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
KCP 6.4-165	Jahn, S.	2021	Frying and taste testing of table potatoes Treated with ADM.00900.I.1.C or Coragen BioChem agrar GmbH Report no. DE21OESOLTU547C_frying GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-166	Jahn, S.	2021	Boiling and taste testing of table potatoes Treated with ADM.00900.I.1.C or Coragen BioChem agrar GmbH Report no. DE21OESOLTU547D_boiling GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
KCP 6.4-167	Jahn, S.	2021	Frying and taste testing of table potatoes Treated with ADM.00900.I.1.C or Coragen BioChem agrar GmbH Report no. DE21OESOLTU547D_frying GEP Unpublished	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADAMA
~~KCP 6.4-168~~	~~Hetterich, F.~~	~~2021~~	~~The evaluation of the effect of ADM.00900.I.1.C on the fermentation of grape juice and on sensory characteristic of wine in Germany, 2021 (Interim)~~ ~~Hetterich Fieldwork GbR~~ ~~Report no. DE21OEVITSS544A~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-169~~	~~Hetterich, F.~~	~~2021~~	~~The evaluation of the effect of ADM.00900.I.1.C on the fermentation of grape juice and on sensory characteristic of wine in Germany, 2021 (Interim)~~ ~~Hetterich Fieldwork GbR~~ ~~Report no. DE21OEVITSS544B~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
~~KCP 6.4-170~~	~~Hetterich, F.~~	~~2021~~	~~The evaluation of the effect of ADM.00900.I.1.C on the fermentation of grape juice and on sensory characteristic of wine in Germany, 2021 (Interim)~~ ~~Hetterich Fieldwork GbR~~ ~~Report no. DE21OEVITSS544C~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~				
~~KCP 6.4 171~~	~~Hetterich, F.~~	~~2021~~	~~The evaluation of the effect of ADM.00900.I.1.C on the fermentation of grape juice and on sensory characteristic of wine in Germany, 2021 (Interim)~~ ~~Hetterich Fieldwork GbR~~ ~~Report no. DE21OEVITSS544D~~ ~~GEP~~ ~~Unpublished~~	~~N~~	~~Y~~	~~New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.~~	~~ADAMA~~
KCP 5.1.1/01	Tsesin, N.	2019a	DETERMINATION OF STORAGE STABILITY AND PHYS-CHEM PROPERTIES OF CHLORANTRANILIPROLE 200 SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) STORED AT 54 ºC FOR 14 DAYS AND AT 0 ºC FOR 7 DAYS Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.: 000102562 GLP: Yes **Published: No** **Submitted in KCP 2.1/01**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 5.1.1/02	Tsesin, N.	2019b	Quantification of active ingredient in formulation product Chlorantraniliprole 200SC (ADM.0900.I.1.C) Report No.: 000103659.0SOFL Sponsor No.: 000103659 GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 5.1.1/03	Rutyna, A.	2021	Methods validation and 1 batch analysis of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC formulation Selvita services Sp. Z o.o. Poland Report No.: K479/JS Sponsor No.: 000107858 GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 5.1.2/01	Barbier, G.	2022	Validation of an analytical method for the determination of chlorantraniliprole in oilseed rape flowers, pollen, nectar, honey and sugar beet leaves. Girpa, France Report No.: B20G-A4-C-02	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM

Data point	Author(s)	Year	**Title** **Company Report No.** **Source (where different from company)** **GLP or GEP status** **Published or not**	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			Sponsor No.: 000105720 GLP: Yes **Published: No**				
KCP 5.1.2/02	Fifi, A.P.	2020a	Validation of the analytical method for the determination of Chlorantraniliprole in ISO test medium solutions with Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.00900.I.1.C) BioTecnologie BT Srl, Italy Report No.: BT281/20 Sponsor No.: 000105396 GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 5.1.2/03	Fifi, A.P.	2020b	Validation of the analytical method for the determination of Chlorantraniliprole in test media of aquatic studies (algae and lemna) with Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.0900.I.1.C) Adama Makhteshim Ltd., Israel Report No.: BT207/19 Sponsor No.: 000103373 GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 5.1.2/04	Fifi, A.P.	2022	Validation of the analytical method for the determination of Chlorantraniliprole in the water and sugar feeding solutions with test item Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.0900.I.1.C) coming from honeybee's laboratory tests BioTecnologie BT Srl, Italy Report No.: BT208/19 Sponsor No.: 000103886 GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM

Data point	Author(s)	Year	**Title** **Company Report No.** **Source (where different from company)** **GLP or GEP status** **Published or not**	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
KCP 5.1.2/05	Barbier, G.	2021	Validation of an analytical method for the determination of chlorantraniliprole in plant matrices: peach, grape (bunches), wheat grain, oilseed rape seed and dry broad bean. POLLENIZ/GIRPA, France Report No.: B20G-A4-C-01 Sponsor No.: 000105719 GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 5.1.2/06	Fifi, A.P.	2020c	Validation of the analytical method for the determination of Chlorantraniliprole in the water solutions with test item Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.0900.I.1.C) coming from terrestrial plants laboratory tests BioTecnologie BT Srl, Italy Report No.: BT209/19 Sponsor No.: 000105397 GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 5.2/01	Brown, D.	**2022**	Independent laboratory validation of analytical method B20G-A4-C-02 (Adama study No. 000105720) for determination of chlorantraniliprole in honey. ResChem Analytical Limited Report No.: RES-00420 Sponsor No.: 000111801 GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 5.2/02	Pentz, A.M., Cabusas, E.M.	2018	Analytical method for the determination of cyantraniliprole (DPX-HGW86) and chlorantraniliprole (DPX-E2Y45) in plasma and urine by HPLC/ESI-MS/MS Report No. 49234 GLP: yes Published: no	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	FMC

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
KCA 4.2/01	Brown, D.	2021	Determination of the extraction efficiency of chlorantraniliprole (E2Y45) residues using multiple extraction procedures and analytical methods FMC-51880 GLP: yes Published: no	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	FMC
KCP 7.1.1/01	[redacted]	2020	Acute oral toxicity study of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code: ADM.0900.I.1.C) in rats (acute toxic class method) Sponsor reference No.: 000103527 Study No: 401-1-01-23748 [redacted] GLP: Yes **Published: No**	Y	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 7.1.2/01	[redacted]	2020	Acute dermal toxicity study of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code: ADM.0900.I.1.C) in rats (fixed dose procedure) Sponsor reference No.: 000103526 Study No: 403-1-01-23749 [redacted] GLP: Yes **Published: No**	Y	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 7.1.3/01	[redacted]	2020	Acute inhalation toxicity study of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code: ADM.0900.I.1.C) in rats (acute toxic class method) Sponsor reference No.: 000103525 Study No: 405-1-01-23750 [redacted] GLP: Yes **Published: No**	Y	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 7.1.4/01	[redacted]	2020	*In vitro* skin corrosion test of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code: ADM.0900.I.1.C) using reconstructed human epidermis tissues Sponsor reference No.: 000103534 Study No: 616-1-06-23741 [redacted] GLP: Yes	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			Published: No				
KCP 7.1.4/02	[redacted]	2020	*In vitro* skin irritation test of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code: ADM.0900.I.1.C) using reconstructed human epidermis tissues Sponsor reference No.: 000103532 Study No: 618-1-06-23742 [redacted] GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 7.1.4/03	[redacted]	2020	Acute dermal irritation study of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code: ADM.0900.I.1.C) in rabbits Sponsor reference No.: 000103524 Study No: 406-1-01-23751 [redacted] GLP: Yes **Published: No**	Y	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 7.1.5/01	[redacted]	2019	*In vitro* eye irritation test of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code: ADM.0900.I.1.C) using bovine corneal opacity and permeability test Sponsor reference No.: 000103531 Study No: 530-1-01-23746 [redacted] GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 7.1.5/02	[redacted]	2020	*In vitro* eye irritation test of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code: ADM.0900.I.1.C) using reconstructed human cornea-like epithelium (RhCE) Sponsor reference No.: 000103533 Study No: 630-1-01-23747 [redacted] GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 7.1.5/03	[redacted]	2020	Acute eye irritation study of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code: ADM.0900.I.1.C) in rabbits Sponsor reference No.: 000103523 Study No: 407-1-01-23752 [redacted]	Y	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			GLP: Yes **Published: No**				
KCP 7.1.6/01	[redacted]	2020	*In Vitro* Skin Sensitisation: Keratinocyte-Based ARE-Nrf2 Luciferase Reporter Gene Test of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code: ADM.0900.I.1.C). Sponsor reference No.: 000103529 Study No: 628-1-06-23744 [redacted] GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 7.1.6/02	[redacted]	2020	*In Vitro* Skin Sensitisation: human Cell Line Activation Test (hCLAT) of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code: ADM.0900.I.1.C). Sponsor reference No.: 000103528 Study No: 629-1-06-23745 [redacted] GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 7.1.6/03	[redacted]	2020	Skin sensitisation study of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code: ADM.0900.I.1.C) by Local Lymph Node Assay in mice Sponsor reference No.: 000103522 Study No: 409-1-01-23753 [redacted] GLP: Yes **Published: No**	Y	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 8.1/01	Kiemle, A.	2021	Storage Stability of Chlorantraniliprole and its metabolite IN-F9N04 in bee matrices (pollen, nectar and honey) under deep frozen conditions; FMC Report No.: FMC-51284 Eurofins Agroscience Services EcoChem GmbH GLP: yes Published: no	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	FMC
KCP 8.3/01	Meric, D.	2022	Magnitude of the residues of chlorantraniliprole in orchard (apple or pear, RAC fruits) and processed fractions, following one application of ADM.00900.I.1.C in 2 trials on apples (1 DCS and 1 HS with process) and 2 trials on pears	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			(1 DCS + 1 HS). Northern Europe (Poland, Hungary and France) – 2020. ADAMA MAKHTESHIM Ltd. Report No. DMC-20-43056 (Sponsor report No. 000105697) STAPHYT, France GLP: Yes **Published: No**				
KCP 8.3/02	Meric, D.	2022	Magnitude of the residues of chlorantraniliprole, after application of ADM.00900.I.1.C in apple or pear in Northern Europe – 2021. ADAMA MAKHTESHIM Ltd. Report No. DMC-21-48212 (Sponsor report No. 000107719) STAPHYT, France GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 8.3/03	Meric, D.	2022	Magnitude of the residues of chlorantraniliprole in table or wine grapes (RAC berries) and processed fractions, following one application of ADM.00900.I.1.C in 4 trials (2 DCS and 2 HS with process). Northern Europe (France and Hungary) – 2020. ADAMA MAKHTESHIM Ltd. Report No. DMC-20-43062 (Sponsor report No. 000105700) STAPHYT, France GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 8.3/04	Meric, D.	2022	Magnitude of the residues of chlorantraniliprole after application of ADM.00900.I.1.C in grapevine in Northern Europe – 2021. ADAMA MAKHTESHIM Ltd. Report No. DMC-21-48215 (Sponsor report No. 000107722) STAPHYT, France GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 8.3/05	Meric, D.	2021	Magnitude of the residues of chlorantraniliprole in potatoes (RAC tubers) following two applications of	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			ADM.00900.I.1.C in 4 trials (2 DCS + 2 HS). Northern Europe (Northern France, Poland and Hungary) – 2020 ADAMA MAKHTESHIM Ltd. Report No. DMC-20-43066 (Sponsor report No. 000105704) STAPHYT, France GLP: Yes **Published: No**				
KCP 8.3/06	Meric, D.	2021	Magnitude of the residues of chlorantraniliprole in broccoli (RAC flower heads and stems) following one application of ADM.00900.I.1.C in 2 trials (1 DCS + 1 HS). Northern Europe (Poland and Northern France) – 2020 ADAMA MAKHTESHIM Ltd. Report No. DMC-20-43078 (Sponsor report No. 000105715) STAPHYT, France GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 8.3/07	Meric, D.	2022	Magnitude of the residues of chlorantraniliprole after application of ADM.00900.I.1.C in broccoli in Northern Europe – 2021 ADAMA MAKHTESHIM Ltd. Report No. DMC-21-48554 (Sponsor report No. 000107736) STAPHYT, France GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 8.3/08	Delmotte, R.	2021	Magnitude of the residues of chlorantraniliprole in cauliflowers (RAC inflorescences) following one application of ADM.00900.I.1.C in 2 trials (1 DCS + 1 HS). ADAMA MAKHTESHIM Ltd. Report No. RDE-20-43076 (Sponsor report No. 000105713) STAPHYT, France GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM

Data point	Author(s)	Year	**Title** **Company Report No.** **Source (where different from company)** **GLP or GEP status** **Published or not**	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
KCP 8.3/09	Domingo, S.	2022	Magnitude of the residues of chlorantraniliprole after application of ADM.00900.I.1.C in cauliflower in Northern Europe – 2021 ADAMA MAKHTESHIM Ltd. Report No. SDO-21-48552 (Sponsor report No. 000107733) STAPHYT, Spain GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 8.3/10	Meric, D.	2021	Magnitude of the residues of chlorantraniliprole in head cabbages (RAC heads) following one application of ADM.00900.I.1.C in 4 trials (2 DCS + 2 HS). Northern Europe (Poland, Hungary, Northern France) – 2020 ADAMA MAKHTESHIM Ltd. Report No DMC-20-43074 (Sponsor report No. 000105711) STAPHYT, France GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 8.3/11	Meric, D.	2022	Magnitude of the residues of chlorantraniliprole after application of ADM.00900.I.1.C in head cabbages in Northern Europe – 2021 ADAMA MAKHTESHIM Ltd. Report No DMC-21-48550 (Sponsor report No. 000107731) STAPHYT, France GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 8.3/12	Delmotte, R.	2021	Magnitude of the residues of chlorantraniliprole in Maize (RAC sweet corns (cob), whole plants (silage), stover and grain) following one application of ADM.00900.I.1.C in 4 trials (4 RDCS) Northern Europe (France, Poland and Hungary) – 2020. ADAMA MAKHTESHIM Ltd. Report No. RDE-20-43068 (Sponsor report No. 000105706) STAPHYT, France GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM

Data point	Author(s)	Year	**Title** **Company Report No.** **Source (where different from company)** **GLP or GEP status** **Published or not**	**Vertebrate study Y/N**	**Data protection claimed Y/N**	**Justification if data protection is claimed**	**Owner***
KCP 8.3/13	Roussel, Ch.H.	2022	Magnitude of the residues of chlorantraniliprole after application of ADM.00900.I.1.C in maize in Northern Europe – 2021 ADAMA MAKHTESHIM Ltd. Report No. ChR-21-48545 (Sponsor report No. 000107726) STAPHYT, France GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 8.5.3/01 (KCP 8.3/01)	Meric, D.	2022	Magnitude of the residues of chlorantraniliprole in orchard (apple or pear, RAC fruits) and processed fractions, following one application of ADM.00900.I.1.C in 2 trials on apples (1 DCS and 1 HS with process) and 2 trials on pears (1 DCS + 1 HS). Northern Europe (Poland, Hungary and France) – 2020. ADAMA MAKHTESHIM Ltd. Report No. DMC-20-43056 (Sponsor report No. 000105697) STAPHYT, France GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 8.5.3/02	Roussel, Ch.H.	2022	Magnitude of the residues of chlorantraniliprole in orchard (apple or pear, RAC fruits) and processed fractions, following one application of ADM.00900.I.1.C in 2 trials on apples (1 DCS and 1 HS with process) and 2 trials on pears (1 DCS + 1 HS). Southern Europe (Italy and France) – 2020 ADAMA MAKHTESHIM Ltd. Report No. ChR-20-43058 (Sponsor report No. 000105698) STAPHYT, France GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 8.5.3/03 (KCP 8.3/03)	Meric, D.	2022	Magnitude of the residues of chlorantraniliprole in table or wine grapes (RAC berries) and processed fractions, following one application of ADM.00900.I.1.C in 4 trials (2	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			DCS and 2 HS with process). Northern Europe (France and Hungary) – 2020. ADAMA MAKHTESHIM Ltd. Report No. DMC-20-43062 (Sponsor report No. 000105700) STAPHYT, France GLP: Yes **Published: No**				
KCP 8.5.3/04	Roussel, Ch.H.	2022	Magnitude of the residues of chlorantraniliprole in table or wine grapes (RAC berries) and processed fractions, following one application of ADM.00900.I.1.C in 4 trials (2 DCS and 2 HS with process) Southern Europe (Italy and France) – 2020. ADAMA MAKHTESHIM Ltd. Report No. ChR-20-43063 (Sponsor report No. 000105701) STAPHYT, France GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 10.3.1.6/01	Gonsior, G.	2021	Chlorantraniliprole 20 SC: A field study to evaluate effects on the honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.) in *Phacelia tanacetifolia* in Germany in 2019 Report No.FMC-52200, Revision No. 1 FMC Corporation GLP: yes Published: no	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	FMC
KCP 9.2.4	Worthington, M.	2021a	Chlorantraniliprole – A leaching assessment for chlorantraniliprole and its metabolites IN-EQW78, IN-ECD73, IN-F6L99, IN-F9N04 and IN-GAZ70 using the FOCUS PEARL 5.5.5, PELMO 6.6.4 and MACRO 5.5.4 groundwater models following spray application to various crops in Central Europe S21-06597-06/003 Eurofins Agroscience Services Regulatory GmbH GLP: No **Published: No**	N	N		ADM
KCP 9.2.5	Worthington, M.	2021b	Chlorantraniliprole – A European Environmental Fate Assessment for Chlorantraniliprole and its metabolites IN-EQW78, IN-ECD73, IN-F6L99, IN-F9N04, IN-GAZ70, IN-	N	N		ADM

Data point	Author(s)	Year	**Title** **Company Report No.** **Source (where different from company)** **GLP or GEP status** **Published or not**	**Vertebrate study Y/N**	**Data protection claimed Y/N**	**Justification if data protection is claimed**	**Owner***
			LBA22, IN-LBA23 and IN-LBA24 Using the FOCUS Surface Water Models at Steps 1 to 4 Following Spray Application to Various Crops in Central Europe S21-06597-06/002 Eurofins Agroscience Services Regulatory GmbH GLP: No **Published: No**				
KCP 10.2.1/01	[redacted]	2020	Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.00900.I.1.C): Acute Toxicity to Rainbow Trout (*Onchorynchus mykiss*) in a 96-hour Study under Semi-static Exposure Conditions [redacted] GLP: Yes **Published: No**	Y	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 10.2.1/02	Mantilacci, S.	2020a	Acute immobilization test on *Daphnia magna* with test item Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.00900.I.1.C) under static conditions. Report No. BT154/19 Reference No. 000103370 BioTecnologie BT Srl GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 10.2.1/03	Mantilacci, S.	2020a	Effect evaluation of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.0900.I.1.C) on the green alga *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata* in a growth inhibition test. Report No. BT153/19 BioTecnologie BT Srl GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 10.2.1/04	Mantilacci, S.	2020b	Effect evaluation of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.0900.I.1.C) on *Lemna minor* in a semi-static growth inhibition limit test. Report n.: BT155/19 BioTecnologie BT Srl GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
KCP 10.3.1.1/01	Colli, M.	2019a	Acute oral and acute contact toxicity effects of ADM.0900.I.1.C to adult worker honeybees Apis mellifera L., Laboratory Test. Report n.: BT139/19 BioTecnologie BT Srl GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 10.3.1.2/01	Colli, M.	2022	Chronic oral effects of ADM.0900.I.1.C to adult worker honeybees *Apis mellifera* L., 10-day feeding laboratory test. Report n°: BT140/19 BioTecnologie BT Srl GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 10.3.1.3/01	Colli, M.	2022	Effects of ADM.0900.I.1.C on honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) 22-day larval toxicity test with repeated exposure. Report n°: BT141/19 BioTecnologie BT Srl GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 10.3.2.1/01	Venturi, S.	2020a	Effects of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.0900.I.1.C) on the par-asitic wasp *Aphidius rhopalosiphi* under Laboratory Conditions. Report n.: BT145/19. BioTecnologie BT Srl GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 10.3.2.1/02	Venturi, S.	2020b	Effects of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.0900.I.1.C) on the predatory mite *Typhlodromus pyri* Scheuten (Acari: Phytoseiidae) under Labora-tory Conditions. Report n.: BT146/19 BioTecnologie BT Srl GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 10.4.1.1/01	Pecorari, F.	2020	Effects of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.0900.I.1.C) on reproduction of the earthworm *Eisenia andrei* in artificial soil containing 10 % peat.	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU	ADM

Data point	Author(s)	Year	**Title** **Company Report No.** **Source (where different from company)** **GLP or GEP status** **Published or not**	Vertebrate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner*
			Report No.: BT142/19 Reference No.: 000103368 BioTecnologie BT Srl GLP: Yes **Published: No**			284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	
KCP 10.4.2.1/01	Grandolini, G.	2020	Effects of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.0900.I.1.C) on reproduction of the collembolan *Folsomia candida* in artificial soil. Report No.: BT143/19 Reference No.: 000103367 BioTecnologie BT Srl GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 10.4.2.1/02	Colli, M.	2020a	Effects of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.0900.I.1.C) on reproduction of the predatory mite *Hypoaspis aculeifer* in soil. Report n.: BT144/19 BioTecnologie BT Srl GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 10.5/01	Rossini, L.	2020	Assessment of the effects of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.0900.I.1.C) on soil microorganisms nitrification. Report n°: BT148/19 BioTecnologie BT Srl GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM
KCP 10.6/01	Colli, M.	2020b	Effects of Chlorantraniliprole 200 SC (product code ADM.0900.I.1.C) on terrestrial plants - Vegetative Vigour Test. Report n° BT147/19 BioTecnologie BT Srl GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N	Y	New study conducted for fulfilling Regulation EU 284/2013 requirements. Not previously submitted.	ADM

* ADM = proprietary of ADAMA Agricultural Solutions and all affiliates

List of data submitted or referred to by the applicant and relied on, but already evaluated at EU peer review

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Verte-brate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner
DAR 2008 IIA, 6.5.3./01	**Foster, A.C., Cairns, S.D.**	2005	Magnitude of DPX-E2Y45, IN-EQW78, IN-ECD73, and IN-F6L99 residues in processed fractions of wine grapes (berries and small fruits) following foliar applications of DPX-E2Y45 20SC [200 g a.s./L (w/v); 18.5% (w/w)] - Europe, 2004 Inveresk Research DuPont-14572 GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N			DuPont (out of protection)
DAR 2008 IIA, 6.5.3./02	**Foster, A.C., Cairns, S.D., Davidson, J., Hunter, T.M.**	2006	Magnitude of DPX-E2Y45, IN-EQW78, IN-ECD73, and IN-F6L99 residues in processed fractions of apples (pome fruits) following foliar applications of DPX-E2Y45 20SC [200 g a.s./L (w/v); 18.5% (w/w)] - Europe, 2005 Charles River Laboratories DuPont-16587 GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N			DuPont (out of protection)
DAR 2008 IIA, 6.5.3./04	**Foster, A.C., Cairns, S.D., Hunter, T.M.**	2006	Magnitude of DPX-E2Y45, IN-EQW78, IN-ECD73, and IN-F6L99 residues in processed fractions of grapes (berries and small fruits) following foliar applications of DPX-E2Y45 20SC [200 g a.s./L (w/v); 18.5% (w/w)] - Europe, 2005 Charles River Laboratories DuPont-16590 GLP: Yes **Published: No**	N			DuPont (out of protection)

List of data submitted by the applicant and not relied on

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Verte-brate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

List of data relied on and not submitted by the applicant but necessary for evaluation

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Verte-brate study Y/N	Data protection claimed Y/N	Justification if data protection is claimed	Owner
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-