

ASSESSMENT

23 September 2019

 Rate this Research

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Profile	2
Recent Developments	2
Methodology Scorecard	4
Moody's related publications	5

Contacts

Rahul Ghosh +44.20.7772.1059
 SVP-Env Social & Governance
 rahul.ghosh@moodys.com

Anna Zubets-Anderson +1.212.553.4617
 VP-Senior Analyst
 anna.zubets-anderson@moodys.com

Matthew Kuchtyak +1.212.553.6930
 Analyst
 matthew.kuchtyak@moodys.com

Jim Hempstead +1.212.553.4318
 MD-Utilities
 james.hempstead@moodys.com

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas 1-212-553-1653
 Asia Pacific 852-3551-3077
 Japan 81-3-5408-4100
 EMEA 44-20-7772-5454

Poland, Government of

Update to the Green Bond Assessment



We are maintaining the GB2 (Very Good) Green Bond Assessments (GBA) on the €1 billion of senior unsecured fixed-rate notes and €750 million of senior unsecured fixed-rate notes issued by the Government of Poland (A2 stable) in February 2018 and December 2016, respectively.

Following our review of the issuer's ongoing disclosure and proceeds management practices, we are maintaining an overall weighted score at 2.00 on both transactions. We are not changing any factor scores at this time, given that all aspects of the transaction remain consistent with our initial expectations. This report highlights findings from our review of publicly available reports and discussions with management.

The GB2 score continues to reflect use of proceeds that align with the Green Bond Principles (GBP), coupled with limitations in ongoing reporting. The weighted factor score is outlined below and our full analysis of the transaction can be viewed in the original in-depth reports for the [2018](#) and [2016](#) transactions.

Factor	Factor Weights	Score	Weighted Score
Organization	15%	2	0.30
Use of Proceeds	40%	1	0.40
Disclosure on the Use of Proceeds	10%	2	0.20
Management of Proceeds	15%	2	0.30
Ongoing Reporting and Disclosure	20%	4	0.80
Weighted Score			2.00

The transaction-weighted score, using the Green Bond Scorecard, is 2.00, which corresponds to a GB2 grade. For a full summary of the transaction's scoring under the GBA scorecard, please see "Methodology scorecard" below.

Profile

As stated in our most recent [credit opinion](#), Poland's (A2 stable) large, resilient and competitive economy is its key credit strength. Credit challenges include the relatively sizeable structural fiscal deficit, persistent social divides, and gradually eroding institutional strength.

As a member of the EU, Poland is a signatory to the Paris Agreement on climate change. As such, the country is committed to the EU's nationally determined contribution (NDC) that sets out a collective binding target of at least a 40% domestic reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2030 compared to 1990 levels¹

The Government of Poland raised a total of €750 million in its inaugural green bond issuance in December 2016 and a total of €1 billion in its second green bond issuance in February 2018. Both bonds are listed on the Luxembourg Stock Exchange, with the proceeds used for spending on budget allocation, subsidies and projects for new or existing eligible green projects. Under Poland's Green Bond Framework, eligible projects are defined as budgetary funding that promotes Poland's transition to a low-emission economy and climate resilient growth, including both climate mitigation and adaptation. The six eligible sectors are renewable energy, clean transportation, sustainable agricultural operations, afforestation, national parks and reclamation of heaps.

Recent Developments

Consistent with our initial expectations, the issuer published post-issuance green bond reports on the use of proceeds for both 2016 and 2018 green bonds, on a publicly accessible [website](#). Since proceeds from both issuances have now been fully allocated, no further reports are expected. Lack of continuing reporting over life of the bonds constitutes a weakness under our GBA methodology.

The disclosure on the allocation of proceeds was reasonably robust, including a distribution of proceeds by eligible sector and annual budgetary allocation. Proceeds from the 2016 bond, using definitions outlined in the GBP, saw 21% of total net proceeds allocated to renewable energy expenditure, 32% to clean transportation projects, and 47% to a variety of subsidies related to sustainable management of living natural resources. Proceeds from the 2018 bond were allocated mostly to clean transportation (77%), followed by sustainable management of living natural resources and land use (16%, encompassing sustainable agriculture, reclamation of heaps, national parks and afforestation), and renewable energy (7%).

The reports also included a selection of quantitative and qualitative environmental indicators related to the green bond. Metrics provided in the annual report for the 2016 bond included total megawatt capacity of clean energy produced, location and miles of railway track, the number and area of farms supported, and the area of forest planted and preserved (see our [June 2018 report](#) for details). The annual report for 2018 bond provided comparable metrics. Most of 2018 green bond proceeds targeted improvements to rail infrastructure, with the report providing examples of specific projects completed and including metrics such as length of railway lines and number of railroad platforms constructed. See Exhibit 1 below for sample metrics provided in the annual reports for the 2016 and 2018 green bonds.

The issuer also provided a calculation of carbon emission savings attributable to renewable projects financed by the green bonds (see Exhibit 2 below). The calculation assumes that production of energy from renewable sources does not create any additional emissions of CO₂, and is based on a hypothetical amount of CO₂ emissions that would have been produced if a given amount of energy was generated from other sources.

However, the large majority of performance metrics cited in both reports reflect aggregate government expenditure for eligible project categories, rather than for projects financed by the green bond proceeds in isolation. A lack of granular information on environmental results – while indicative of the challenges of providing impact reporting on intangible expenditures – constitutes a weakness in the disclosure.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

Exhibit 1

**Examples of impact metrics reported
2016 and 2018 green bonds**

Project category	Performance Indicator	Unit
Renewable Energy	Amount of excise duty refund	EUR mn
	Electricity with return	MWh
	Production of electricity from renewable energy sources	GWh
	Power capacity in renewable energy installations	MW
Clean Transportation	Railway lines created	km
Sustainable agricultural operations	Sustainable farming	hectares
	Organic farming	# of farms
	Protection of endangered species	hectares
	Protection of soil and water	# of animals
Afforestation	Afforestation of agricultural & non-agricultural land	hectares
	Subsidies commissioned to state forests	EUR mn
National parks	Grants awarded to national parks	EUR mn
Reclamation of heaps	Cleaning drainage ditch and drain discharging	metres

Source: Annual green bond allocation reports, Government of Poland

Exhibit 2

**Emission savings achieved by projects funded using Green Bonds
(issued in December 2016 and February 2018)**

Year (issuance)	Estimated amount of CO2 avoided [kt]
2014 (December 2016)	9,446
2015 (December 2016)	3,866
2016 (December 2016)	8,560
Q1 2017 (December 2016)	6,082
Q2-Q4 2017 (February 2018)	10,098
Q1-Q2 2018 (February 2018)	2,029

Source: Annual green bond allocation reports, Government of Poland

Methodology Scorecard

	Yes	No
Factor 1: Organization (15%)		
Environmental governance and organization structure appear to be effective	●	
Policies and procedures enable rigorous review and decision making process	●	
Qualified and experienced personnel and/or reliance on qualified third parties	●	
Explicit and comprehensive criteria for investment selection, including measurable impact results		●
External evaluations for decision making in line with project characteristics	●	
Factor Score	2	
Factor 2: Use of Proceeds (40%)		
>95% - 100% of proceeds allocated to eligible project categories that are determined based on the issuer's adopted policies and the categories established under the Green Bond Principles that will be further informed by one or more robust and widely recognized green bond frameworks or taxonomies that qualify eligible projects, including any applicable regulatory guidelines.	●	
Factor Score	1	
Factor 3: Disclosure on the Use of Proceeds (10%)		
Description of green projects, including portfolio level descriptions, actual or intended	●	
Adequacy of funding and/or strategies to complete projects	●	
Quantitative and/or qualitative descriptions for targeted environmental results	●	
Methods and criteria, both quantitative and qualitative, for calculating performance against targeted environmental results		●
Issuer relies on external assurances: Second Party reviews, audits and/or third party certifications	●	
Factor Score	2	
Factor 4: Management of Proceeds (15%)		
Bond proceeds are segregated and separately tracked on an accounting basis or via a method by which proceeds are earmarked	●	
Application of proceeds is tracked by environmental category and project type	●	
Robust process for reconciling planned investments against actual allocations	●	
Clear eligibility rules for investment of cash balances	●	
Audit by external organization or independent internal audit unit		●
Factor Score	2	
Factor 5: Ongoing Reporting and Disclosure (20%)		
Reporting and disclosure post issuance provides/to be provided detailed and timely status updates on projects	●	
Ongoing annual reporting is expected over the life of the bond		●
Disclosures provide granular detail on the nature of the investments and their expected environmental impacts		●
Reporting provides/to be provided a quantitative and/or qualitative assessment of the environmental impacts actually realized to-date	●	
Reporting includes/to include quantitative and/or qualitative explanation of how the realized environmental impacts compare to projections at the time the bonds were sold		●
Factor Score	4	
Overall Weighted Score	2.00	

Moody's related publications

Methodology:

- » [Green Bonds Assessment \(GBA\)](#), 30 March 2016

Issuer research:

- » [Government of Poland – A2 stable: Annual credit analysis](#), 26 April 2019
- » [Poland, Government of Green Bond Assessment – December 2016 issuance](#), 1 June 2018
- » [Poland, Government of Green Bond Assessment – February 2018 issuance](#), 1 June 2018

Green bonds research:

- » [Cross-sector – Green Bonds: Global annual issuance to surpass \\$200 billion in 2019 after record second quarter](#), 8 August 2019
- » [Cross-Sector – Green Bonds: Corporate issuers drive strong global green bond volume in Q1 2019](#), 9 May 2019
- » [ESG Focus: April 2019](#), 9 April 2019
- » [Green Bonds - Global: Global green bond issuance to hit \\$200 billion in 2019](#), 31 January 2019
- » [Green Bonds – Global: Environmental impact and reporting vary by jurisdiction and asset class](#), 4 December 2018
- » [Structured finance – Global: Green finance sprouts across structured finance sectors](#), 13 November 2018
- » [Green bonds – Global: Repeat issuers drive volume as green bond market matures](#), 12 November 2018
- » [Green Bonds – Global: Adoption of UN Sustainable Development Goals to drive demand](#), 12 November 2018
- » [Green Bonds – Sovereign: Sovereign green bond market on course for critical mass, but challenges remain](#), 9 July 2018
- » [Green Bonds – Global: Global municipal green bond issuance will continue to rise](#), 19 March 2018
- » [Cross-sector – Global: FAQ: The green bond market and Moody's Green Bonds Assessment](#), 29 November 2017
- » [Green Bond Assessments – Global: Issuers exhibit strong organizational frameworks but differ on disclosure](#), 19 September 2017

To access any of these reports, click on the entry above. Note that these references are current as of the date of publication of this report and that more recent reports may be available. All research may not be available to all clients.

Endnotes

- 1 See [Intended Nationally Determined Contribution of the EU and its Member States, United Nations Convention on Climate Change](#) (UNFCCC), March 2015.

© 2019 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1192449

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454

DRAFT-CONFIDENTIAL